# MAKING APPROPRIATIONS FOR ENERGY AND WATER DE-VELOPMENT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2000, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

SEPTEMBER 27, 1999.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. PACKARD, from the committee of conference, submitted the following

# CONFERENCE REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 2605]

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2605) "making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, and for other purposes", having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment, insert:

That the following sums are appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, for energy and water development, and for other purposes, namely:

#### TITLE I

# DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

The following appropriations shall be expended under the direction of the Secretary of the Army and the supervision of the Chief of Engineers for authorized civil functions of the Department of the Army pertaining to rivers and harbors, flood control, beach erosion, and related purposes.

# General Investigations

For expenses necessary for the collection and study of basic information pertaining to river and harbor, flood control, shore protection, and related projects, restudy of authorized projects, miscellaneous investigations, and, when authorized by laws, surveys and detailed studies and plans and specifications of projects prior to construction, \$161,994,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use the remaining unobligated funds appropriated in Public Law 102–377 for the Red River Waterway, Shreveport, Louisiana, to Daingerfield, Texas, project for the feasibility phase of the Red River Navigation, Southwest Arkansas, study.

# Construction, General

For the prosecution of river and harbor, flood control, shore protection, and related projects authorized by laws; and detailed studies, and plans and specifications, of projects (including those for development with participation or under consideration for participation by States, local governments, or private groups) authorized or made eligible for selection by law (but such studies shall not constitute a commitment of the Government to construction), \$1,400,722,000, to remain available until expended, of which such sums as are necessary for the Federal share of construction costs for facilities under the Dredged Material Disposal Facilities program shall be derived from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund, as authorized by Public Law 104–303; and of which such sums as are necessary pursuant to Public Law 99-662 shall be derived from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund, for one-half of the costs of construction and rehabilitation of inland waterways projects, including rehabilitation costs for the Lock and Dam 25, Mississippi River, Illinois and Missouri; Lock and Dam 14, Mississippi River, Iowa; Lock and Dam 24, Mississippi River, Illinois and Missouri; and Lock and Dam 3, Mississippi River, Minnesota; London Locks and Dam; Kanawha River, West Virginia; and Lock and Dam 12, Mississippi River, Iowa, projects; and of which funds are provided for the following projects in the amounts specified:

Indianapolis Central Waterfront, Indiana, \$8,000,000;

Harlan Clover Fork including grading and landscaping of the disposal site at the Harlan floodwall, Pike County, Middlesboro, Martin County, Pike County Tug Forks Tributaries, Bell County, Harlan County, and Town of Martin elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project in Kentucky, \$14,050,000;

Jackson County, Mississippi, \$800,000; Natchez Bluff, Mississippi, \$2,000,000;

Passaic River Streambank Restoration, New Jersey, \$6,000,000; and

Upper Mingo County (including Mingo County Tributaries), Lower Mingo County (Kermit), Wayne County, and

McDowell County, elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project in West Virginia, \$4,400,000:

Provided, That no part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall be expended or obligated to begin Phase II on the John Day Drawdown study or to initiate a study of the drawdown of McNary Dam unless authorized by law: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, may use \$1,500,000 of funding appropriated herein to initiate construction of shoreline protection measures at Assateague Island, Maryland, subject to execution of an agreement for reimbursement by the National Park Service: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, may use Construction, General funding as directed in Public Law 105-62 and Public Law 105-245 to initiate construction of an emergency outlet from Devils Lake, North Dakota, to the Sheyenne River, except that the funds shall not become available unless the Secretary of the Army determines that an emergency (as defined in section 102 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5122)) exists with respect to the emergency need for the outlet and reports to Congress that the construction is technically sound, economically justified, and environmentally acceptable and in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.): Provided further, That the economic justification for the emergency outlet shall be prepared in accordance with the principles and guidelines for economic evaluation as required by regulations and procedures of the Army Corps of Engineers for all flood control projects, and that the economic justification be fully described, including the analysis of the benefits and costs, in the project plan documents: Provided further, That the plans for the emergency outlet shall be reviewed and, to be effective, shall contain assurances provided by the Secretary of State, after consultation with the International Joint Commission, that the project will not violate the requirements or intent of the Treaty Between the United States and Great Britain Relating to Boundary Waters Between the United States and Canada, signed at Washington January 11, 1909 (36 Stat. 2448: TS 548) (commonly known as the "Boundary Waters Treaty of 1909"): Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army shall submit the final plans and other documents for the emergency outlet to Congress: Provided further, That no funds made available under this Act or any other Act for any fiscal year may be used by the Secretary of the Army to carry out the portion of the feasibility study of the Devils Lake Basin, North Dakota, authorized under the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 1993 (Public Law 102-377), that addresses the needs of the area for stabilized lake levels through inlet controls, or to otherwise study any facility or carry out any activity that would permit the transfer of water from the Missouri River Basin into Devils Lake.

Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries, Arkansas, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, and Tennessee

For expenses necessary for prosecuting work of flood control, and rescue work, repair, restoration, or maintenance of flood control projects threatened or destroyed by flood, as authorized by law (33 U.S.C. 702a and 702g–1), \$309,416,000, to remain available until expended.

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, GENERAL

For expenses necessary for the preservation, operation, maintenance, and care of existing river and harbor, flood control, and related works, including such sums as may be necessary for the maintenance of harbor channels provided by a State, municipality or other public agency, outside of harbor lines, and serving essential needs of general commerce and navigation; surveys and charting of northern and northwestern lakes and connecting waters; clearing and straightening channels: and removal of obstructions to navigation, \$1,853,618,000, to remain available until expended, of which such sums as become available in the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund, pursuant to Public Law 99-662, may be derived from that Fund, and of which such sums as become available from the special account established by the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965, as amended (16 U.S.C. 460l), may be derived from that account for construction, operation, and maintenance of outdoor recreation facilities: Provided, That no funds, whether appropriated, contributed, or otherwise provided, shall be available to the United States Army Corps of Engineers for the purpose of acquiring land in Jasper County, South Carolina, in connection with the Savannah Harbor navigation project.

#### REGULATORY PROGRAM

For expenses necessary for administration of laws pertaining to regulation of navigable waters and wetlands, \$117,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use \$5,000,000 of funds appropriated herein to fully implement an administrative appeals process for the Corps of Engineers Regulatory Program, which administrative appeals process shall provide for a single-level appeal of jurisdictional determinations: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, shall, using funds provided herein, prepare studies and analyses of the impacts on Regulatory Branch workload and on cost of compliance by the regulated community of proposed replacement permits for the nationwide permit 26 under section 404 of the Clean Water Act and shall submit a report based upon the aforementioned studies and analyses to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate, the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee of the House, and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate.

#### FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM

For expenses necessary to clean up contamination from sites throughout the United States resulting from work performed as part of the Nation's early atomic energy program, \$150,000,000, to remain available until expended.

# General Expenses

For expenses necessary for general administration and related functions in the Office of the Chief of Engineers and offices of the Division Engineers; activities of the Coastal Engineering Research Board, the Humphreys Engineer Center Support Activity, the Water Resources Support Center, and headquarters support functions at the USACE Finance Center, \$149,500,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That no part of any other appropriation provided in title I of this Act shall be available to fund the activities of the Office of the Chief of Engineers or the executive direction and management activities of the division offices: Provided further, That none of these funds shall be available to support an office of congressional affairs within the executive office of the Chief of Engineers.

# Administrative Provision

Appropriations in this title shall be available for official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed \$5,000); and during the current fiscal year the Revolving Fund, Corps of Engineers, shall be available for purchase (not to exceed 100 for replacement only) and hire of passenger motor vehicles.

# GENERAL PROVISIONS

#### CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

SEC. 101. Notwithstanding any other provisions of law, no fully allocated funding policy shall be applied to projects for which funds are identified in the Committee reports accompanying this Act under the Construction, General; Operation and Maintenance, General; and Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries, appropriation accounts: Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to undertake these projects using continuing contracts, as authorized in section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of September 22, 1922 (33 U.S.C. 621).

SEC. 102. Agreements proposed for execution by the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works or the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers after the date of the enactment of this Act pursuant to section 4 of the Rivers and Harbor Act of 1915, Public Law 64–291; section 11 of the River and Harbor Act of 1925, Public Law 68–585; the Civil Functions Appropriations Act, 1936, Public Law 75–208; section 215 of the Flood Control Act of 1968, as amended, Public Law 90–483; sections 104, 203, and 204 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986, as amended (Public Law 99–662); section 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996, section 211 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996, Public Law 104–303, and any other specific project authority, shall be limited to credits and reimbursements per project not to exceed \$10,000,000 in each fiscal year, and total credits and reimbursements for all applicable projects not to exceed \$50,000,000 in each fiscal year.

SEC. 103. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to revise the Missouri River Master Water Control Manual when it is made known to the Federal entity or official to which the

funds are made available that such revision provides for an increase in the springtime water release program during the spring heavy rainfall and snow melt period in States that have rivers draining into the Missouri River below the Gavins Point Dam.

#### TITLE II

# DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

#### Central Utah Project

#### CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT

For carrying out activities authorized by the Central Utah Project Completion Act, and for activities related to the Uintah and Upalco Units authorized by 43 U.S.C. 620, \$38,049,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$15,476,000 shall be deposited into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account: Provided, That of the amounts deposited into that account, \$5,000,000 shall be considered the Federal contribution authorized by paragraph 402(b)(2) of the Central Utah Project Completion Act and \$10,476,000 shall be available to the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission to carry out activities authorized under that Act.

In addition, for necessary expenses incurred in carrying out related responsibilities of the Secretary of the Interior, \$1,321,000, to remain available until expended.

# BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

The following appropriations shall be expended to execute authorized functions of the Bureau of Reclamation:

# WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

#### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For management, development, and restoration of water and related natural resources and for related activities, including the operation, maintenance and rehabilitation of reclamation and other facilities, participation in fulfilling related Federal responsibilities to Native Americans, and related grants to, and cooperative and other agreements with, State and local governments, Indian Tribes, and others, \$607,927,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$2,247,000 shall be available for transfer to the Upper Colorado River Basin Fund and \$24,089,000 shall be available for transfer to the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund, and of which such amounts as may be necessary may be advanced to the Colorado River Dam Fund: Provided, That such transfers may be increased or decreased within the overall appropriation under this heading: Provided further, That of the total appropriated, the amount for program activities that can be financed by the Reclamation Fund or the Bureau of Reclamation special fee account established by 16 U.S.C. 460l-6a(i) shall be derived from that Fund or account: Provided further, That funds contributed under 43 U.S.C. 395 are available until expended for the purposes for which contributed: Provided further, That funds advanced under 43 U.S.C. 397a

shall be credited to this account and are available until expended for the same purposes as the sums appropriated under this heading: Provided further, That funds available for expenditure for the Departmental Irrigation Drainage Program may be expended by the Bureau of Reclamation for site remediation on a non-reimbursable basis: Provided further, That section 301 of Public Law 102–250, Reclamation States Emergency Drought Relief Act of 1991, as amended by Public Law 104–206, is amended further by inserting "1999, and 2000" in lieu of "and 1997": Provided further, That the amount authorized for Indian municipal, rural, and industrial water features by section 10 of Public Law 89–108, as amended by section 8 of Public Law 99–294, section 1701(b) of Public Law 102–575, and Public Law 105–245, is increased by \$1,000,000 (October 1998 prices).

#### BUREAU OF RECLAMATION LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For the cost of direct loans and/or grants, \$12,000,000, to remain available until expended, as authorized by the Small Reclamation Projects Act of August 6, 1956, as amended (43 U.S.C. 422a-422l): Provided, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans not to exceed \$43,000,000.

In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the program for direct loans and/or grants, \$425,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the total sums appropriated, the amount of program activities that can be financed by the Reclamation Fund shall be derived from that Fund.

# CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

For carrying out the programs, projects, plans, and habitat restoration, improvement, and acquisition provisions of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act, \$42,000,000, to be derived from such sums as may be collected in the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund pursuant to sections 3407(d), 3404(c)(3), 3405(f), and 3406(c)(1) of Public Law 102–575, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the Bureau of Reclamation is directed to assess and collect the full amount of the additional mitigation and restoration payments authorized by section 3407(d) of Public Law 102–575.

#### CALIFORNIA BAY-DELTA RESTORATION

#### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of the Department of the Interior and other participating Federal agencies in carrying out ecosystem restoration activities pursuant to the California Bay-Delta Environmental Enhancement Act and other activities that are in accord with the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, including projects to improve water use efficiency, water quality, groundwater and surface storage, levees, conveyance, and watershed management, consistent with plans to be approved by the Secretary of the Interior, in consultation with such Federal agencies, \$60,000,000, to remain avail-

able until expended, of which \$30,000,000 shall be used for ecosystem restoration activities and \$30,000,000 shall be used for such other activities, and of which such amounts as may be necessary to conform with such plans shall be transferred to appropriate accounts of such Federal agencies: Provided, That no more than \$5,000,000 of the funds appropriated herein may be used for planning and management activities associated with developing the overall CALFED Bay-Delta Program and coordinating its staged implementation: Provided further, That funds for ecosystem restoration activities may be obligated only as non-Federal sources provide their share in accordance with the cost-sharing agreement required under section 1101(d) of such Act, and that funds for such other activities may be obligated only as non-Federal sources provide their share in a manner consistent with such cost-sharing agreement: Provided further, That such funds may be obligated prior to the completion of a final programmatic environmental impact statement only if: (1) consistent with 40 CFR 1506.1(c); and (2) used for purposes that the Secretary finds are of sufficiently high priority to warrant such an expenditure.

# POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of policy, administration, and related functions in the office of the Commissioner, the Denver office, and offices in the five regions of the Bureau of Reclamation, to remain available until expended, \$47,000,000, to be derived from the Reclamation Fund and be nonreimbursable as provided in 43 U.S.C. 377: Provided, That no part of any other appropriation in this Act shall be available for activities or functions budgeted as policy and administration expenses.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Sec. 201. Appropriations for the Bureau of Reclamation shall be available for purchase of not to exceed six passenger motor vehicles for replacement only.

SEC. 202. Funds under this title for Drought Emergency Assistance shall be made available primarily for leasing of water for specified drought related purposes from willing lessors, in compliance with existing State laws and administered under State water priority allocation. Such leases may be entered into with an option to purchase: Provided, That such purchase is approved by the State in which the purchase takes place and the purchase does not cause economic harm within the State in which the purchase is made.

#### TITLE III

# DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

#### ENERGY PROGRAMS

# ENERGY SUPPLY

# (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For Department of Energy expenses including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment, and

other expenses necessary for energy supply, and uranium supply and enrichment activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of not to exceed one passenger motor vehicle for replacement only, \$644,937,953, of which \$820,953 shall be derived by transfer from the Geothermal Resources Development Fund, and of which \$5,000,000 shall be derived by transfer from the United States Enrichment Corporation Fund.

# Non-Defense Environmental Management

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for non-defense environmental management activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction or expansion, \$333,618,000, to remain available until expended.

# $\begin{array}{c} \textit{URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING} \\ \textit{FUND} \end{array}$

For necessary expenses in carrying out uranium enrichment facility decontamination and decommissioning, remedial actions and other activities of title II of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 and title X, subtitle A of the Energy Policy Act of 1992, \$250,198,000, to be derived from the Fund, to remain available until expended: Provided, That \$30,000,000 of amounts derived from the Fund for such expenses shall be available in accordance with title X, subtitle A, of the Energy Policy Act of 1992.

# SCIENCE

For Department of Energy expenses including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment, and other expenses necessary for science activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, and purchase of not to exceed six passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, \$2,799,851,000, to remain available until expended.

#### Nuclear Waste Disposal

For nuclear waste disposal activities to carry out the purposes of Public Law 97–425, as amended, including the acquisition of real property or facility construction or expansion, \$240,500,000 to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund: Provided, That not to exceed \$500,000 may be provided to the State of Nevada solely for expenditures, other than salaries and expenses of State employees, to conduct scientific oversight responsibilities pursuant to the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, (Public Law 97–425) as amended: Pro-

vided further, That not to exceed \$5,432,000 may be provided to affected units of local governments, as defined in Public Law 97–425, to conduct appropriate activities pursuant to the Act: Provided further, That the distribution of the funds as determined by the units of local government shall be approved by the Department of Energy: Provided further, That the funds shall be made available to the State and units of local government by direct payment: Provided further, That within 90 days of the completion of each Federal fiscal year, the State and each local entity shall provide certification to the Department of Energy, that all funds expended from such payments have been expended for activities as defined in Public Law 97-425. Failure to provide such certification shall cause such entity to be prohibited from any further funding provided for similar activities: Provided further, That none of the funds herein appropriated may be: (1) used directly or indirectly to influence legislative action on any matter pending before Congress or a State legislature or for lobbying activity as provided in 18 U.S.C. 1913; (2) used for litigation expenses; or (3) used to support multi-state efforts or other coalition building activities inconsistent with the restrictions contained in this Act.

# DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

For salaries and expenses of the Department of Energy necessary for departmental administration in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the hire of passenger motor vehicles and official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed \$35,000), \$206.365.000, to remain available until expended, plus such additional amounts as necessary to cover increases in the estimated amount of cost of work for others notwithstanding the provisions of the Anti-Deficiency Act (31 U.S.C. 1511 et seq.): Provided, That such increases in cost of work are offset by revenue increases of the same or greater amount, to remain available until expended: Provided further, That moneys received by the Department for miscellaneous revenues estimated to total \$106,887,000 in fiscal year 2000 may be retained and used for operating expenses within this account, and may remain available until expended, as authorized by section 201 of Public Law 95–238, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of miscellaneous revenues received during fiscal year 2000 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2000 appropriation from the General Fund estimated at not more than \$99.478.000.

# Office of the Inspector General

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$29,500,000, to remain available until expended.

# ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

#### Weapons Activities

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other incidental expenses necessary for atomic energy defense weapons activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of passenger motor vehicles (not to exceed three for replacement only), \$4,443,939,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That funding for any ballistic missile defense program undertaken by the Department of Energy for the Department of Defense shall be provided by the Department of Defense according to procedures established for Work for Others by the Department of Energy.

# Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for atomic energy defense environmental restoration and waste management activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of 35 passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, \$4,484,349,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That any amounts appropriated under this heading that are used to provide economic assistance under section 15 of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Land Withdrawal Act (Public Law 102–579) shall be utilized to the extent necessary to reimburse costs of financial assurances required of a contractor by any permit or license of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant issued by the State of New Mexico.

#### Defense Facilities Closure Projects

For expenses of the Department of Energy to accelerate the closure of defense environmental management sites, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other necessary expenses, \$1,064,492,000, to remain available until expended.

# Defense Environmental Management Privatization

For Department of Energy expenses for privatization projects necessary for atomic energy defense environmental management activities authorized by the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), \$189,000,000, to remain available until expended.

# OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for atomic energy defense, other defense activities, in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, \$1,722,444,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That not to exceed \$5,000 may be used for official reception and representation expenses for transparency, national security and nonproliferation activities.

#### Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal

For nuclear waste disposal activities to carry out the purposes of Public Law 97–425, as amended, including the acquisition of real property or facility construction or expansion, \$112,000,000, to remain available until expended.

# POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

# BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION FUND

Expenditures from the Bonneville Power Administration Fund, established pursuant to Public Law 93–454, are approved for the Northeast Oregon Hatchery Master Plan, and for official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed \$1,500.

During fiscal year 2000, no new direct loan obligations may be made.

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of power transmission facilities and of marketing electric power and energy, including transmission wheeling and ancillary services, pursuant to the provisions of section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), as applied to the southeastern power area, \$11,594,000; in addition, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302, not to exceed \$28,000,000 in reimbursements for transmission wheeling and ancillary services and for power purchases, to remain available until expended.

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

#### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of power transmission facilities and of marketing electric power and energy, and for construction and acquisition of transmission lines, substations and appurtenant facilities, and for administrative expenses, including official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed \$1,500 in carrying out the provisions of section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), as applied to

the southwestern power area, \$28,773,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$773,000 shall be derived by transfer from unobligated balances in "Operation and Maintenance, Southeastern Power Administration"; in addition, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302, not to exceed \$4,200,000 in reimbursements, to remain available until expended.

# Construction, Rehabilitation, Operation and Maintenance, Western Area Power Administration

For carrying out the functions authorized by title III, section 302(a)(1)(E) of the Act of August 4, 1977 (42 U.S.C. 7152), and other related activities including conservation and renewable resources programs as authorized, including official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed \$1,500, \$193,357,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$182,172,000 shall be derived from the Department of the Interior Reclamation Fund: Provided, That of the amount herein appropriated, \$5,036,000 is for deposit into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account pursuant to title IV of the Reclamation Projects Authorization and Adjustment Act of 1992.

# FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND

For operation, maintenance, and emergency costs for the hydroelectric facilities at the Falcon and Amistad Dams, \$1,309,000, to remain available until expended, and to be derived from the Falcon and Amistad Operating and Maintenance Fund of the Western Area Power Administration, as provided in section 423 of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1994 and 1995.

# FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to carry out the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, the hire of passenger motor vehicles, and official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed \$3,000), \$174,950,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, not to exceed \$174,950,000 of revenues from fees and annual charges, and other services and collections in fiscal year 2000 shall be retained and used for necessary expenses in this account, and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated from the General Fund shall be reduced as revenues are received during fiscal year 2000 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2000 appropriation from the General Fund estimated at not more than \$0.

# GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 301. (a) None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to award a management and operating contract unless such contract is awarded using competitive procedures or the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation. The Secretary may not delegate the authority to grant such a waiver.

(b) At least 60 days before a contract award, amendment, or modification for which the Secretary intends to grant such a waiver, the Secretary shall submit to the Subcommittees on Energy and Water Development of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report notifying the subcommittees of the waiver and setting forth the reasons for the waiver.

SEC. 302. (a) None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to award, amend, or modify a contract in a manner that deviates from the Federal Acquisition Regulation, unless the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation. The Secretary may not delegate the authority

to grant such a waiver.

(b) At least 60 days before a contract award, amendment, or modification for which the Secretary intends to grant such a waiver, the Secretary shall submit to the Subcommittees on Energy and Water Development of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report notifying the subcommittees of the waiver and setting forth the reasons for the waiver.

Sec. 303. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to—

(1) develop or implement a workforce restructuring plan

that covers employees of the Department of Energy; or

(2) provide enhanced severance payments or other benefits for employees of the Department of Energy,

under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102–484; 106 Stat. 2644; 42 U.S.C. 7274h).

SEC. 304. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to augment the \$24,500,000 made available for obligation by this Act for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102–484; 106 Stat. 2644; 42 U.S.C. 7274h).

SEC. 305. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to prepare or initiate Requests For Proposals (RFPs) for a program if the program has not been funded by Congress.

#### (TRANSFERS OF UNEXPENDED BALANCES)

SEC. 306. The unexpended balances of prior appropriations provided for activities in this Act may be transferred to appropriation accounts for such activities established pursuant to this title. Balances so transferred may be merged with funds in the applicable established accounts and thereafter may be accounted for as one fund for the same time period as originally enacted.

SEC. 307. Notwithstanding 41 U.S.C. 254c(a), the Secretary of Energy may use funds appropriated by this Act to enter into or continue multi-year contracts for the acquisition of property or services under the head, "Energy Supply" without obligating the estimated costs associated with any necessary cancellation or termination of

the contract. The Secretary of Energy may pay costs of termination or cancellation from—

(1) appropriations originally available for the performance

of the contract concerned;

(2) appropriations currently available for procurement of the type of property or services concerned, and not otherwise obligated; or

(3) funds appropriated for those payments.

SEC. 308. Of the funds in this Act provided to governmentowned, contractor-operated laboratories, not to exceed four percent shall be available to be used for Laboratory Directed Research and Development: Provided, That none of the funds in the Environmental Management programs are available for Laboratory Directed Research and Development.

SEC. 309. (a) Of the funds appropriated by this title to the Department of Energy, not more than \$150,000,000 shall be available for reimbursement of management and operating contractor travel

expenses.

siders appropriate.

(b) Funds appropriated by this title to the Department of Energy may be used to reimburse a Department of Energy management and operating contractor for travel costs of its employees under the contract only to the extent that the contractor applies to its employees the same rates and amounts as those that apply to Federal employees under subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code, or rates and amounts established by the Secretary of Energy. The Secretary of Energy may provide exceptions to the reimbursement requirements of this section as the Secretary con-

SEC. 310. (a) None of the funds in this Act or any future Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act may be expended after December 31 of each year under a covered contract unless the funds are expended in accordance with a Laboratory Funding Plan that has been approved by the Secretary of Energy. At the beginning of each fiscal year, the Secretary shall issue directions to the laboratories for the programs, projects, and activities to be conducted in that fiscal year. The Secretary and the Laboratories shall devise a Laboratory Funding Plan that identifies the resources needed to carry out these programs, projects, and activities. Funds shall be released to the Laboratories only after the Secretary has approved the Laboratory Funding Plan. The Secretary of Energy may provide exceptions to this requirement as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(b) For purposes of this section, "covered contract" means a contract for the management and operation of the following laboratories: Argonne National Laboratory, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, and

Sandia National Laboratories.

SEC. 311. As part of the Department of Energy's approval of laboratory funding for prime contractors responsible for management of Department of Energy sites and facilities, the Secretary shall review and approve the incentive structure for contractor fees, the amounts of award fees to be made available for next year, the

allowable salaries of first and second tier laboratory management, and the overhead expenditures. The Secretary of Energy may provide exceptions to this requirement as the Secretary considers appropriate.

SEC. 312. None of the funds provided in this Act may be used to establish or maintain independent centers at a Department of Energy laboratory or facility unless such funds have been specifically identified in the budget submission.

SEC. 313. None of the funds made available in this or any other

Act may be used to restart the High Flux Beam Reactor.

SEC. 314. No funds are provided in this Act or any other Act for the Administrator of the Bonneville Power Administration to enter into any agreement to perform energy efficiency services outside the legally defined Bonneville service territory, with the exception of services provided internationally, including services provided on a reimbursable basis, unless the Administrator certifies that such services are not available from private sector businesses.

SEC. 315. None of the funds in this Act may be used to dispose of transuranic waste in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant which contains concentrations of plutonium in excess of 20 percent by weight for the aggregate of any material category on the date of the enact-

ment of this Act, or is generated after such date.

Sec. 316. Limiting the Inclusion of Costs of Protection of, Mitigation of Damage to, and Enhancement of Fish and Wildlife, Within Rates Charged by the Bonneville Power Administration, to the Rate Period in Which the Costs are Incurred. Section 7 of the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 839e) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(n) Limiting the Inclusion of Costs of Protection of, Mitigation of Damage to, and Enhancement of Fish and Wildlife, Within Rates Charged by the Bonneville Power Administration, to the Rate Period in Which the Costs are Incurred by the Administrator, under this section shall recover costs for protection, mitigation and enhancement of fish and wildlife, whether under the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act or any other Act, not to exceed such amounts the Administrator forecasts will be expended during the fiscal year 2002–2006 rate period, while preserving the Administrator's ability to establish appropriate reserves and maintain a high Treasury payment probability for the subsequent rate period."

# TITLE IV

# INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

# APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

For expenses necessary to carry out the programs authorized by the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965, as amended, for necessary expenses for the Federal Co-Chairman and the alternate on the Appalachian Regional Commission, for payment of the Federal share of the administrative expenses of the Commission, including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, and hire of passenger motor vehicles, \$66,400,000, to remain available until expended.

# DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

#### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board in carrying out activities authorized by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended by Public Law 100–456, section 1441, \$17,000,000, to remain available until expended.

#### DENALI COMMISSION

For expenses of the Denali Commission including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment as necessary and other expenses, \$20,000,000, to remain available until expended.

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Commission in carrying out the purposes of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended, and the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, including official representation expenses (not to exceed \$15,000), \$465,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the amount appropriated herein, \$19,150,000 shall be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund: Provided further, That revenues from licensing fees, inspection services, and other services and collections estimated at \$442,000,000 in fiscal year 2000 shall be retained and used for necessary salaries and expenses in this account, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That \$3,850,000 of the funds herein appropriated for regulatory reviews and other assistance provided to the Department of Energy and other Federal agencies shall be excluded from license fee revenues, notwithstanding 42 U.S.C. 2214: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of revenues received during fiscal year 2000 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2000 appropriation estimated at not more than \$23,000,000.

#### Office of Inspector General

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$5,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of revenues received during fiscal year 2000 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2000 appropriation estimated at not more than \$0.

# NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

#### Salaries and Expenses

For necessary expenses of the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board, as authorized by section 5051 of Public Law 100–203,

\$2,600,000, to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund, and to remain available until expended.

# TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

The Tennessee Valley Authority is directed to use up to \$3,000,000 from previously appropriated funds to pay any necessary transition costs for Land Between the Lakes.

# TITLE V—RESCISSIONS

# DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

# DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

# CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

#### GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

#### (RESCISSIONS)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 105–245 and prior Energy and Water Development Acts, the following amounts are hereby rescinded in the amounts specified:

Calleguas Creek, California, \$271,100;

San Joaquin, Caliente Creek, California, \$155,400;

Buffalo Small Boat Harbor, New York, \$15,100;

City of Buffalo, New York, \$4,000;

Geneva State Park, Ohio Shoreline Protection, \$91,000;

Clinton River Spillway, Michigan, \$50,000;

Lackawanna River Basin Greenway Corridor, Pennsylvania. \$217.900; and

Red River Waterway, Index, Arkansas, to Denison Dam, Texas. \$125,000.

#### CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

# (RESCISSIONS)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 105–245, and prior Energy and Water Development Acts, the following amounts are hereby rescinded in the amounts specified:

Sacramento River Flood Control Project, California (Defi-

*ciency Correction)*, \$1,500,000;

Melaleuca Quarantine Facility, Florida, \$295,000;

Lake George, Hobart, Indiana, \$3,484,000;

Anacostia River (Section 1135), Maryland, \$1,534,000;

Sowashee Creek, Meridian, Mississippi, \$2,537,000;

Platte River Flood and Streambank Erosion Control, Nebraska, \$1,409,000;

Rochester Harbor, New York, \$1,842,000;

Columbia River, Seafarers Museum, Hammond, Oregon, \$98,000; and

Quonset Point, Davisville, Rhode Island, \$120,000.

# DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 105–245 and prior Energy and Water Development Acts, \$3,000,000, are rescinded.

# Nuclear Waste Disposal

# (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under the heading "Department of Energy—Energy Programs—Nuclear Waste Disposal Fund" in the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 1998 (Public Law 105–62), \$4,000,000 is rescinded, to be derived from the amount specified under such heading for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to license a multi-purpose canister design.

# TITLE VI—GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 601. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used in any way, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in section 1913 of title 18, United States Code.

Sec. 602. (a) Purchase of American-Made Equipment and Products.—It is the sense of the Congress that, to the greatest extent practicable, all equipment and products purchased with funds

made available in this Act should be American-made.

(b) Notice Requirement.—In providing financial assistance to, or entering into any contract with, any entity using funds made available in this Act, the head of each Federal agency, to the greatest extent practicable, shall provide to such entity a notice describ-

ing the statement made in subsection (a) by the Congress.

(c) Prohibition of Contracts With Persons Falsely Labeling Products as Made in America.—If it has been finally determined by a court or Federal agency that any person intentionally affixed a label bearing a "Made in America" inscription, or any inscription with the same meaning, to any product sold in or shipped to the United States that is not made in the United States, the person shall be ineligible to receive any contract or subcontract made with funds made available in this Act, pursuant to the debarment, suspension, and ineligibility procedures described in sections 9.400 through 9.409 of title 48, Code of Federal Regulations.

SEC. 603. (a) None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used to determine the final point of discharge for the interceptor drain for the San Luis Unit until development by the Secretary of the Interior and the State of California of a plan, which shall conform to the water quality standards of the State of California as approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, to minimize any detrimental ef-

fect of the San Luis drainage waters.

(b) The costs of the Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and the costs of the San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program shall be classified by the Secretary of the Interior as reimbursable or non-reimbursable and collected until fully repaid pursuant to the "Cleanup Program—Alternative Repayment Plan" and the "SJVDP—Alternative Repayment Plan" described in the report entitled "Repayment Report, Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program, February 1995", prepared by the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation. Any future obligations of funds by the United States relating to, or providing for, drainage service or drainage studies for the San Luis Unit shall be fully reimbursable by San Luis Unit beneficiaries of such service or studies pursuant to Federal Reclamation law.

SEC. 604. Section 6101(a)(3) of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990, as amended, (42 U.S.C. 2214(a)(3)) is amended by striking "September 30, 1999" and inserting "September 30,

2000".

SEC. 605. Title VI, division C, of Public Law 105–277, Making Omnibus Consolidated and Emergency Supplemental Appropriations for Fiscal Year 1999, is repealed.

ŠEC. 606. Section 211(e)(2)(A) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–303, 110 Stat. 3682) is amended

by striking "in advance in appropriations Acts".

SEC. 607. None of the funds appropriated by this Act shall be used to propose or issue rules, regulations, decrees, or orders for the purpose of implementation, or in preparation for implementation, of the Kyoto Protocol which was adopted on December 11, 1997, in Kyoto, Japan at the Third Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, which has not been submitted to the Senate for advice and consent to ratification pursuant to article II, section 2, clause 2, of the United States Constitution, and which has not entered into force pursuant to article 25 of the Protocol.

Sec. 608. United States Enrichment Corporation Fund.
(a) Withdrawals.—Subsections (b) and (c) of section 1 of Public Law 105–204 (112 Stat. 681) are amended by striking "fiscal year"

2000" and inserting "fiscal year 2002".

(b) Investment of Amounts in the USEC Fund.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Treasury shall invest such portion of the United States Enrichment Corporation Fund as is not, in the judgment of the Secretary, required to meet current withdrawals. Investments may be made only in interest-bearing obligations of the United States.

(2) ACQUISITION OF OBLIGATIONS.—For the purpose of investments under paragraph (1), obligations may be acquired—

(A) on original issue at the issue price; or

(B) by purchase of outstanding obligations at the market price.

(3) SALE OF OBLIGATIONS.—Any obligation acquired by the Fund may be sold by the Secretary of the Treasury at the market price.

(4) CREDITS TO FUND.—The interest on, and the proceeds from the sale or redemption of, any obligations held in the

Fund shall be credited to and form a part of the Fund.

Sec. 609. Lake Cascade. (a) Designation.—The reservoir commonly known as the "Cascade Reservoir", created as a result of the building of the Cascade Dam authorized by the matter under the heading "Bureau of Reclamation" of the fifth section of the Interior Department Appropriation Act, 1942 (55 Stat. 334, chapter 259) for the Boise Project, Idaho, Payette division, is redesignated as "Lake Cascade".

(b) References.—Any reference in any law, regulation, document, record, map, or other paper of the United States to "Cascade Reservoir" shall be considered to be a reference to "Lake Cascade".

SEC. 610. Section 4(h)(10)(D) of the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 839b(h)(10)(D)) is amended by striking clauses (vii) and (viii) and inserting the following:

"(vii) Cost limitation.—The annual cost of this provision shall

not exceed \$500,000 in 1997 dollars.".

SEC. 611. (a) The Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, in carrying out the program known as the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program, shall undertake the following functions and activities to be performed at eligible sites where remediation has not been completed:

(1) Sampling and assessment of contaminated areas.

(2) Characterization of site conditions.

- (3) Determination of the nature and extent of contamination.
- (4) Selection of the necessary and appropriate response actions as the lead Federal agency.

(5) Cleanup and closeout of sites.

(6) Any other functions and activities determined by the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, as necessary for carrying out that program, including the acquisition of real estate interests where necessary, which may be transferred upon completion of remediation to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of Energy.

(b) Any response action under that program by the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, shall be subject to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.) (in this section referred to as "CERCLA"), and the National Oil and Hazardous Substances

Pollution Contingency Plan (40 CFR part 300).

- (c) Any sums recovered under CERCLA or other authority from a liable party, contractor, insurer, surety, or other person for any expenditures by the Army Corps of Engineers or the Department of Energy for response actions under that program shall be credited to the amounts made available to carry out that program and shall be available until expended for costs of response actions for any eligible site.
- (d) The Secretary of Energy may exercise the authority under section 168 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2208) to make payments in lieu of taxes for federally owned property at which activities under that program are carried out, regardless of which Federal agency has administrative jurisdiction over the property and notwithstanding any reference to "the activities of the Commission" in that section.

(e) This section does not alter, curtail, or limit the authorities, functions, or responsibilities of other agencies under CERCLA or, except as stated in this section, under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2011 et seq.).

(f) This section shall apply to fiscal year 2000 and each suc-

ceeding fiscal year.

This Act may be cited as the "Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2000".

And the Senate agree to the same.

RON PACKARD,
HAROLD ROGERS,
JOE KNOLLENBERG,
RODNEY P. FRELINGHUYSEN,
SONNY CALLAHAN,
TOM LATHAM,
ROY BLUNT,
BILL YOUNG,
PETER VISCLOSKY,
CHET EDWARDS,
ED PASTOR,
MIKE FORBES,
DAVE OBEY,

Managers on the Part of the House.

PETE DOMENICI, THAD COCHRAN, SLADE GORTON, MITCH MCCONNELL, ROBERT F. BENNETT, CONRAD BURNS, LARRY E. CRAIG, TED STEVENS, HARRY REID, ROBERT C. BYRD, ERNEST F. HOLLINGS, PATTY MURRAY, HERB KOHL, Byron L. Dorgan, Daniel Inouye. Managers on the Part of the Senate.

# JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and the Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2605) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and the Senate in explanation of the effects of the action agreed upon by the managers and rec-

ommended in the accompanying conference report.

The language and allocations set forth in House Report 106-253 and Senate Report 106-58 should be complied with unless specifically addressed to the contrary in the conference report and statement of the managers. Report language included by the House which is not contradicted by the report of the Senate or the conference, and Senate report language which is not contradicted by the report of the House or the conference is approved by the committee of conference. The statement of the managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein. In cases where both the House report and Senate report address a particular issue not specifically addressed in the conference report or joint statement of managers, the conferees have determined that the House and Senate reports are not inconsistent and are to be interpreted accordingly. In cases in which the House or Senate have directed the submission of a report, such report is to be submitted to both House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

Senate amendment: The Senate deleted the entire House bill after the enacting clause and inserted the Senate bill. The con-

ference agreement includes a revised bill.

#### TITLE I

# DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Corps of Engineers. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

# DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

#### GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

The conference agreement appropriates \$161,994,000 for General Investigations instead of \$158,993,000 as proposed by the House and \$125,459,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$100,000 for the Pima County, Arizona, project. To the extent appropriate, the study is to proceed with particular reference to recommendations and findings included in the Sonoran Desert Conservation Plan, Pima County, Arizona, dated October 21, 1998.

The conference agreement includes \$780,000 for the Metro Atlanta Watershed, Georgia, project. The additional funds have been included for investigations of flood damage prevention along: Utoy, Sandy and Proctor Creeks; Long Island, Marsh and Johns Creek; and Indian, Sugar, Intrenchment and Federal Prison Creeks Watershed.

The conference agreement includes \$400,000 for an interim feasibility study of the LaQuinta Channel, Texas, to be accomplished separately from the Corpus Christi Ship Channel study. The study will investigate potential extension of the existing

project.

Of the amount provided for Other Coordination Programs, \$100,000 is for the Corps of Engineers to provide assistance and support of the preservation and revitalization plans associated with the Wheeling, West Virginia National Heritage Area. These funds will allow the Corps to objectively analyze the planned and ongoing design and construction work connected with these restoration efforts. The conferees direct the Corps to conduct an analysis of the sedimentation buildup behind Santa Cruz Dam in New Mexico. In undertaking this work, the Corps is to prepare a report: describing the nature of the problem and possible solutions; discussing the economic viability and estimated cost of potential solutions; and identifying existing authorities pursuant to which the Corps could undertake corrective measures or describing the need for additional legislative authority that may be required to accomplish the work.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House directing the Corps of Engineers to use previously appropriated funds to continue the feasibility phase of the Red River

Navigation, Southwest Arkansas, project.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate appropriating funds for a study of the Yellowstone River at Glendive, Montana. Funds for this project have been included in the Section 205 program of the Construction, General account.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing funds for the Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsend's Inlet, New Jersey, project. The amount appropriated for

General Investigations includes \$226,000 for this project.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing funds for a project for flood control at Park River, Grafton, North Dakota. The amount appropriated for General Investigations includes \$50,000 for a general reevaluation report to determine whether the project is technically sound, environmentally acceptable and economically justified.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing funds for the Hunting Bayou element of the Buffalo Bayou and Tributaries, Texas, project. The amount appropriated for General Investigations includes \$328,000 for this project

element.

# CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates \$1,400,722,000 for Construction, General instead of \$1,412,591,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,086,586,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees are aware of previous efforts by the Corps of Engineers to address sedimentation and other water resource issues along the Dog River in Alabama. The conferees direct the Corps to continue these and other efforts, using available funds, to the extent authorized by law.

The conferees are fully supportive of the San Timoteo feature of the Santa Ana River Mainstem, California, project and expect the Corps to commit funds required to maintain the most efficient

construction schedule for this feature's completion.

The conferees direct the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, to credit toward the non-Federal share of the project cost the cost of any work performed by non-Federal interests on the Panama City Beaches, Florida, project, subsequent to project authorization, to the extent the Secretary determines that work to be compatible with, and integral to, the project, consistent with existing statutory authority.

The conferees direct that the value of flowage easements acquired in the East Reach Remediation Area of the Little Calumet River, Indiana, project, be credited toward the non-Federal share of the project cost, to the extent the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, determines that the acquisition of the easements is compatible with, and integral to, the project, con-

sistent with existing statutory authority.

The conferees concur with the House direction on mitigation associated with the Inner Harbor Navigation Canal Lock, Louisiana, project. The conferees note the significant differences in the estimates of the fair market value of property to be transferred to the Corps of Engineers by the local sponsor, and expect the Corps to work in good faith to arrive at an equitable solution to this issue in accordance with current law.

The conferees urge the Corps of Engineers to expedite, to the fullest extent possible, the completion of the Post Authorization Change for the Larose to Golden Meadow (Hurricane Protection),

Louisiana, project.

The conferees are aware that the Corps of Engineers has determined, pursuant to the requirements of Section 533(d) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996, that additional work to be carried out on the Southeast Louisiana, Louisiana, project is technically sound, environmentally acceptable, and economically justified. The conferees expect the Corps of Engineers to continue work on this project in fiscal year 2000 using continuing contracts as provided for in the Act.

Of the funds available for the Houston-Galveston Navigation Channels, Texas, project, such sums as are necessary shall be used to plan and construct barge lanes immediately adjacent to either side of the Houston Ship Channel from Bolivar Roads to Morgan

Point.

The Corps of Engineers is directed, using the latest hydrology data available, to maintain in fiscal year 2000 an appropriate level

of protection at Longview, Kelso, Lexington, and Castle Rock, Washington, that is not less than that: described in the October 1985 Decision Document (the basis for the project cost sharing agreement with the non-Federal sponsors); authorized in Public Law 99–88; or recommended pursuant to the Mount St. Helens Sediment Control Study, Washington.

The conference agreement includes \$25,150,000 for the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River, West Virginia, Virginia, and Kentucky, project. Project elements are funded at the levels specified in the House and Senate reports. \$700,000 is included for a Detailed Project Report for the

Dickenson County, Virginia, element.

The conference agreement includes \$6,260,000 for the Section 206 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House and Senate reports. Of the amount provided for the Section 206 program, \$100,000 is for the Lake St. Clair, Metro Beach, Michigan, project.

The Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, shall allow credit toward the costs of the Koontz Lake, Indiana, project for the design and implementation of aquatic ecosystem measures by the non-Federal sponsor accomplished prior to the execution of the project cooperation agreement, to the extent the Secretary determines such work to be compatible with, and integral to, the project, consistent with existing statutory authority.

The conference agreement includes \$6,500,000 for the Section 14 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed

to proceed with the projects described in the House report.

The conference agreement includes \$35,800,000 as proposed by the House for the Section 205 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House and Senate reports. Of the amount provided for the Section 205 program, \$100,000 is for the City of Augusta, Kansas, project.

The conference agreement includes \$7,500,000 for the Section 107 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House and Senate reports.

The conference agreement includes \$10,000,000 for the Section 1135 program. Using those funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to proceed with the projects described in the House and Sen-

ate reports.

The conferees have included language in the bill earmarking funds for the following projects in the amounts specified: Indianapolis Central Waterfront, Indiana, \$8,000,000; Harlan/Clover Fork, Pike County, Middlesboro, Martin County, Pike County Tug Forks Tributaries, Bell County, Harlan County and Town of Martin elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project in Kentucky, \$14,050,000; Jackson County, Mississippi, \$800,000; Natchez Bluff, Mississippi, \$2,000,000; Passaic River Streambank Restoration, New Jersey, \$6,000,000; Upper Mingo County (including Mingo County tributaries), Lower Mingo County (Kermit), Wayne County, and McDowell County elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big

Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project in West Vir-

ginia, \$4,400,000.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate prohibiting the use of funds to begin Phase II on the John Day Drawdown study or to initiate a study of the drawdown of McNary Dam unless authorized by law.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate permitting the Corps of Engineers to use \$1,500,000 for the Assateague Island, Maryland, project, amended to subject the ex-

penditure to reimbursement by the National Park Service.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate subjecting the expenditure of previously appropriated funds on the Devils Lake, North Dakota, project to a number of conditions.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for: the Norco Bluffs, California, project; the Brevard County, Florida, project; the Everglades and South Florida Ecosystem Restoration, Florida, project; the St. John's County, Florida, project; the Ohio River Flood Protection, Indiana, project; the Lake St. Clair, Metro Beach, Michigan, project; the Rochester Harbor, New York, project; the Brays Bayou element of the Buffalo Bayou and Tributaries, Texas, project; the Virginia Beach, Virginia (Hurricane Protection), project; and the Dickenson County, Virginia, element of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River, West Virginia, Virginia, and Kentucky, project. The amount appropriated for Construction, General includes funding for these projects as detailed elsewhere in the statement of managers.

FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, ARKANSAS, IL-LINOIS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, MISSOURI, AND TEN-NESSEE

The conference agreement appropriates \$309,416,000 for Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries instead of \$313,324,000 as proposed by the House and \$315,630,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees are aware of the difficulties the Corps of Engineers is having in finalizing a project cost sharing agreement (PCA) for the Grand Prairie Region Project in Arkansas. Given these difficulties, the conferees have not included additional funding for the project in FY 2000. This action is taken without prejudice and in recognition that the Corps has previously appropriated funds available for its use in fiscal year 2000. If the issues delaying finalization of the PCA are resolved in FY 2000, the conferees expect the Corps of Engineers to use its reprogramming authority to resume construction.

Of the amount provided for construction of the Mississippi River Levees, Arkansas, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, and Tennessee, project, up to an additional \$2,000,000 is for construction of the Commerce to Birds Point, Missouri, grade raise.

The Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to permit credit toward the non-Federal share of the project cost for any work performed by non-Federal interests on

the Louisiana State Penitentiary Levee, Louisiana, project, subsequent to project authorization, to the extent the Secretary determines that work to be compatible with, and integral to, the project,

consistent with existing statutory authority.

The conferees note that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Vicksburg District, did not conduct necessary dredging and environmental assessment and impact studies for the initial components of the Sardis Lake development at Shady Cove, Mississippi, in accordance with the specific provisions relating to this project under Title I of P.L. 105–62. The conferees direct the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Vicksburg District, to take all actions necessary to complete such work as required by P.L. 105–62.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates \$1,853,618,000 for Operation and Maintenance, General instead of \$1,888,481,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,790,043,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees strongly urge the Corps of Engineers to use available funds to upgrade and maintain the water monitoring gages in the Alabama, Coosa, and Tallapoosa (ACT) Rivers, and Apalachicola, Chattahoochee and Flint (ACF) Rivers in Alabama, Florida, and Georgia for the purpose of accurately monitoring water flows. The purpose of these water-monitoring gages is to accurately monitor water flow in the rivers and to use the data in the negotiations and implementation of the Congressionally authorized ACT/ACF Water Compacts.

The Corps of Engineers is directed to complete safety related dredging in the vicinity of shoals number one and number two in the lower end of the dredging area of the Chena River, Alaska,

project.

The conference agreement includes \$3,200,000 for maintenance dredging of the St. Petersburg, Florida, project. These funds are to be used to dredge to sponsor-constructed depths and to dispose of spoil material on Egmont Key, consistent with existing authorities.

Of the amount provided for the Mississippi River Between Missouri River and Minneapolis, Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, and Wisconsin, project, \$6,000,000 is for urgent bank stabilization

work along the Sny Island Levee system.

The conferees are very concerned about safety problems resulting from the use of outdated hydrographic surveys in coordination with the Lower Mississippi River Vessel Trafficking System for the Mississippi River, Baton Rouge to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana, project. Therefore, the Secretary, acting through the Chief of Engineers, shall expedite updated hydrographic survey of the portion of the Lower Mississippi River coordinated by a Vessel Trafficking System.

The conferees understand that the Corps of Engineers recently released a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed placement of eighteen million cubic yards of dredged material in an open water site, known as Site 104, located just northeast of the William Preston Lane, Jr. Memorial Bridge (the Chesapeake Bay Bridge) in Maryland. The conferees are concerned about the potential approval of this site and impose upon the Corps an obligation to thoroughly analyze and review all practicable alternatives. In re-

viewing the alternatives, the Corps should conduct an exhaustive analysis of each site to include how re-suspension of sediments will affect nutrient loading and whether there is a resident population of shortnose sturgeon that would be impacted by the proposed

placement of dredged material.

Within available funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to complete an environmental assessment, prepare plans and specifications, and coordinate with State and Federal agencies for the purpose of proceeding with maintenance dredging of the Little River Harbor, New Hampshire, project, and to proceed if determined to be in the Federal interest.

Of the amount provided for the Garrison Dam, Lake Sakakawea, North Dakota, project, \$50,000 is for continued mosquito control activities.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House permitting the use of funds from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate prohibiting the use of funds for land acquisition in Jasper County, South Carolina, in connection with the Savannah Harbor

navigation project.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing \$1,500,000 for the development of technologies for control of zebra mussels and other aquatic nuisance species in and around public facilities. The amount appropriated for Operation and Maintenance, General includes \$1,000,000 for the zebra mussel

control program of the Corps of Engineers.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for the Matagorda Ship Channel, Point Comfort Turning Basin, Texas, project. The amount appropriated for Operation and Maintenance, General includes \$100,000 for the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, to study the economic justification and environmental acceptability of maintaining the Matagorda Ship Channel, Point Comfort Turning Basin, Texas, project, in accordance with section 509(a) of Public Law 104–303.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing that the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, may use not to exceed \$300,000 for expenses associated with the commemoration of the Lewis and Clark Bicentennial. The Corps of Engineers is directed to use available funds, not to exceed \$300,000, for expenses associated with national coordination of the commemoration of the Lewis and Clark Bicentennial.

#### REGULATORY PROGRAM

The conference agreement appropriates \$117,000,000 for the Regulatory Program as proposed by the House instead of \$115,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees strongly urge the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to review the need to revise Section 404(b)(1) guidelines to recognize existing land uses and the prior investments made on farmed wetland.

The conferees have provided \$5,000,000 to fully implement an administrative appeals process for the Regulatory Program of the Corps of Engineers. This process shall provide for a single-level appeal of jurisdictional determinations, the results of which shall be considered final agency action under the Administrative Procedures Act. This language is not intended to create a new cause of action or legal mechanism that would result in additional litigation.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House providing that the results of a single-level appeal of jurisdictional determinations shall be considered final agency action under

the Administrative Procedures Act.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House requiring the Corps of Engineers to prepare a report regarding the impacts of proposed replacement permits for the nationwide permit 26 on Regulatory Branch workload and compliance costs, amended to delete language requiring that the report be submitted to certain committees of Congress before the Secretary of the Army may adopt replacement permits or terminate the current nationwide permit 26, and amended to delete a deadline of December 30, 1999, for submission of the report to certain committees of Congress.

# FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM

The conference agreement appropriates \$150,000,000 for the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP) as proposed by the House and the Senate, and makes the funds available until expended as proposed by the Senate. The remaining statutory provisions proposed by the Senate are contained in section 611 of the bill.

#### GENERAL EXPENSES

The conference agreement appropriates \$149,500,000 for General Expenses instead of \$148,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$151,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House prohibiting the use of funds to support an office of congressional affairs within the executive office of the Chief of Engineers.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House prohibiting the use of funds to support more than one regional office in each division.

# REVOLVING FUND

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate authorizing the use of amounts available in the Revolving Fund to renovate office space in the General Accounting Office (GAO) headquarters building in Washington, D.C. for use by the Corps of Engineers and the GAO.

# GENERAL PROVISIONS

# CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

SEC. 101. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the Senate directing the Secretary of the Army, acting

through the Chief of Engineers, to undertake work funded in the conference agreement using continuing contracts and providing that no fully allocated funding policy shall apply to projects for which funds are provided in the conference agreement.

SEC. 102. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the Senate limiting funding of credits and reimbursements to \$10,000,000 per project per fiscal year and a total of \$50,000,000 per year for all applicable projects, amended to delete a limitation of reimbursements and credits to a single agreement

per project.

SEC. 103. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate providing that none of the funds made available in the conference agreement may be used to revise the Missouri River Master Water Control Manual if such revision provides for an increase in the springtime water release program during the spring heavy rainfall and snow melt period in states that have rivers draining into the Missouri River below the Gavins Point Dam.

Provision not included in the conference agreement.—The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate directing continued funding of wildlife habitat mitigation work for the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, and State of South Dakota, and earmarking \$3,000,000 to fund activities authorized under the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule Sioux Tribe and State of South Dakota Terrestrial Wildlife Habitat Restoration Act. The amount appropriated for Construction, General includes \$1,500,000 for these activities.

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	- GENERAL INVEST	IGATIONS		-	11:43	9/24/99 PAGE	-
PROJECT TITLE INVESTIGATIONS PLANIN	BUDGET REQUEST CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	UEST PLANNING	CONFEREN INVESTIGATIONS	PLANNING			
ALABAMA RIVER BELOW CLAIBORNE LOCK AND DAM, AL BALOWIN COUNTY WATERSHEDS, AL BLACK WARRIOT-TOMBIGEE WATERWAY, AL BREWTON AND RAST BREWTON, AL DOG RIVER, AL DOG RIVER, REFORM, AL PERDIDO KEY BRACHES, AL VILLAGE CREEK JEFFERSON COUNTY (BIRMINGHAM WATERSHED)	2 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		2 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8				
AKUCHAR HARBOR ADEEPENING AK ANIZAK HARBOR DEEPENING AK ANIZAK HARBOR DEEPENING AK ANIZAK AK HARBOR DEEPENING AK BARROW CARSION. AK BARROW CARSION. AK CHENA RIVER WATERSHED AK COASTAL STUDIES NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENT AK COASTAL STUDIES NAVIGATION AK FALSE PASS HARBOR AK ANTANINGAL HARBOR EXPANSION. AK KENAI RIVER WATERSHED. AK MATANINGAR RIVER WATERSHED. AK NAKNEK RIVER WATERSHED. AK PORT LIONS HARBOR AK SHURROVEMENTS. AK SHUR CREEK WATERSHED. AK VALDEZ HARBOR EXPANSION. AK	150 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	75	1000000000000000000000000000000000000	7			
AMERICAN SAMDA WESTERN DISTRICT HARBOR, ASARIZONA	125	-	126				
COLONIAS ALONG U.S. MEXICO BORDER, AZ & TX. GILAR RIVER, NORTHEAST PHOENIX DASIMAGE AREA. AZ GILAR RIVER, SAMTA CRUZ RIVER BASIN. AZ LITLE COLORADO RIVER, AZ FIRM COUNTY, AZ RILLIO RIVER PIMA COUNTY, AZ RIO BE FLAG, FLAGGYAFE, AZ RIO SALADO, PHOENIX REACH, AZ	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	800 200 200 200 100 250 263 263				

R\$GGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS	- GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	TIGATIONS		-	11:43	9/24/99 PAGE	8
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	QUEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	CEPLANNING			-
RIO SALADO, TEMPE REACH, AZ SANTA CRUZ NIVER AZSENS SANTA CRUZ NIVER (PASEO DE LAS IGLESIAS), AZ SANTA CRUZ NIVER (PASEO DE LAS IGLESIAS), AZ TRES RIOS, AZ TRES RIOS, AZ TUCSON DRAINAGE AREA, AZ	1 1 2 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100	250 250 300 486	233 111 150 420 420			
ARKANSAS RIVER (NAVIGATION STUDY), FORT SMITH, AR MAY BRANCH, FORT SMITH, AR WHITE RIVER NAVIGATION TO NEWDORT, AR CALIFORNIA	200	307	1,000 2,000 1	307			
ALISO CREEK WATERSHED MANAGEMENT, CA AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED CA AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED CA AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED CA COLAT OF CALLERNING CONTY CA COLAT OF CALLERNING CANGELE'S COUNTY CA HUNTILON ALITEL WITTELL WITTELL WATERSHED CA LAGAS CREEK CA LAGAS CREEK CA LOS ANGELES COUNTY CA LOS ANGELES CAN CREEK CA MARTILL DAM MART	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200		181 182 183 183 183 183 183 183 183 183 183 183	6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.00000 6.00000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.00000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.00000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.00000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.00000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.00000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.00000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.00000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.00000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.00000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.00000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.00000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.00000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.0000 6.00000 6.00000 6.00000 6.00000 6.00000 6.00000 6.00000 6.00000 6.000000 6.00000 6.00000 6.00000 6.00000 6.00000 6.000000 6.000000 6.00000 6.00000 6.000000 6.0000000 6.00000000			

6	
9/24/99 PAGE	
11:43	
S	
INVESTIGATION	
GENERAL	
OF ENGINEERS -	
CORPS	

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	CONFERENCE G INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	ENCE S PLANNING	
ORANGE COUNTY COAST BEACH EROSION, CA.		200	}	
OKANGE COUNTY SPECIAL MANAGEMENT PLAN, CA			1 0	
TOURS STORE BASEN STILLS, CO	1 !		067	
PAJARO RIVER MAINSTEM, CA.	1 1 1			
PENINSULA BEACH, CA				
PINE FLA! DAM, FISH AND WILDLIFE HABIIA! RESIDEA ION,	1 6		! !	
RANCHO PALOS VERDES. CA.			000	
REDWOOD CITY HARBOR, CA	200		9 1	
RUSSIAN RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA	125			
SACRAMENTO - SAN COADCIN DELLA, CA	2 000	ď	! ! ! !	
SAN ANTONIO CREEK, CA		ì		
SAN BERNARDING COUNTY, CA	100			
MAN CHEMENIE GEGRELINE, CA				
SAN DIEGO HARBOR (DEEPENING), CA			111	
SAN DIEGO HARBOR (DEEPENING), CA	116			
SAN DIEGO HARBOR, NATIONAL CITY, CA	200		       	
SAN GABRIEL TO NEWPORT BAY, CA.	0			
SAN JACINTO RIVER, CA	16	001		
SAN JOAGUIN R BASIN, CORRAL HOLLOW CREEK, CA			!!	
SAN JOAQUIN R BASIN, FRAZIER CREEK, CA				
SAN JOAQUIN R BASIN, PINE FLAT DAM, FEWL HABITAT RESTO	126		100	
SAN JOAQUIN R BASIN, STOCKTON METROPOLITAN AREA, CA	200		! !	
SAN JOAQUIN BASIN THOUNNE RIVER CA	0 0			
SAN JOAQUIN R BASIN, WEST STANISLAUS COUNTY, CA	250		1	
SAN JUAN CREEK WATERSHED MANAGEMENT, CA	414		1 1	
SAN EQUA COULSPO COUNTY SIREAMS, CA			! !	
SANTA MARGARITA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, CA	700		1001	
SANTA ROSA CREEK WATERSHED, CA	200			
SANTA YNEZ RIVER, CA	100		! !	
SOUTH SACRAMENTO COUNTY STREAMS, CA			200	
SOUTHAMPTON SHOAL CHANNEL AND EXTENSION, CA	70		1 1	
SOLEMN MARSH CA	0 1			
SUTTER BASIN, CA.	09		11	
TAHOE BASIN, CA & NV.	150	200	: :	
TULE RIVER, CA	2		800	
UPPER GUADALUPE RIVER, CA			300	
UPPER SANTA ANA RIVER WATERSHED, CA	100			
VENTURA HARBOR SAND BYPASS, CA	100		1	

R\$GGPOC

R\$GGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS	CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS		11:49 9/24/99 PAGE 4
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	DNI
WHITE RIVER, POSO AND DEER CREEKS, CA. YUBA RIVER BASIN, CA.	60 150	500	009
COLORADO			
CHATFIELD, CHERRY CREEK AND BEAR CREEK RESERVOIRS, CO.	340	340	-
CONNECTICUT			
COASTAL CONNECTICUT ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CT	200	150	-
DELAWARE			
C&D CANAL, BALTIMORE HBR CONN CHANNELS, DE & MD (DEEPE DELAWARE BAY CASTLINE, DE & NJ	500	0,1	1,076 1,076 349
FLORIDA			
BISCAYNE BAY FL. HILLSBORDUGH RIVER BASIN FL. LAKE WORTH INLET PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL. MILE POINT JACKSONVILLE, FL. PORT EVERGALOES HARBOR, FL. TAMPA HARBOR FL. WITHLACOCHEE RIVER BASIN, FL.	400	6400011110	1.05 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10
GEORGIA			
ALLATOWA LAKE (ETOWAH RIVER), GA- ALLATOWA LAKE (LITTLE RIVER), GA- ALGUSTOWA CARROW (LITTLE RIVER), GA- BRUNSWICK GARROW (LITTLE RIVER), GA- BRUNSWICK GARROW (LITTLE RIVER), GA- BRUNSWICK GARROW (LITTLE RIVER), GA- REW SOANNAH HARBOR EXPRONSION (LAW GA- SAVANNAH HARBOR EXPRONSION (LAW GA- SAVANNAH HARBOR WASIN COMPREHENSIVE, GA- BRANCH GARROW (LITTLE RANGE), MOSIFICATION (MOSIFICATIONS) HARBOR MOSIFICATIONS (MAIL HIT HARBOR MOSIFICATIONS) KAHULLI HARBOR MOSIFICATIONS (MAIL HIT HARBOR MOSIFICATIONS)	1 1 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	466   100

R\$GGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS	CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	SN S		11:49 9/24/99 PAGE	LO.
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING		CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	ANNING	!
ІВАНО					
BOISE RIVER, ID. BONNERS FERRY, ID. KOOTENAL MOOD RIVER, ID.			555		
ILLINOIS					
ALEXANDER AND PULASKI COUNTIES, IL DES PLAINES RIVER, IL ILLINDIS RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION IL KANKAKE RIVER BASIN, IL & IN PEGINA RIVERFRONT DEVELOPMENT, IL	0000 0000 0000 0000		000000111	375 147 117 117 117 117 117 117 117 117 117	
UPPER MISS RVR SYS FLOW FREQUENCY STUDY IL IA, MN, M UPPER MISSISPIE & ILLINOIS NAV STUDY, IL, IA, MN, MO WAUKEGAH HARBOR IL. WOOD RIVER LEVEE, IL.			2,100	450	
INDIANA					
HAMMOND. IN. INDIANA HARBOK IN. JOHN T WERS LOCKS AND DAM, IN & KY MISSISSINEWA RIVER, MARION, IN. MINOIE, WHITE RIVER, IN. ST. JOSEPH RIVER AND SPY RUN CREEK, IN.	1	110111	88   889	1,000	
IOMA					
DES MOINES AND RACCOON RIVERS, IAINDIAN CREEK, COUNCIL BLUFFS, IA	400		300		
KANSAS					
TOPEKA, KS. TURKEY CREEK BASIN, KS & MO	211	266	211	400	
KENTUCKY					
ALGUSTA, KY. ALGUSTA, KY. BANKLIOK CREEK, KENTON COUNTY, KY. GREEN ALGO BARREN RIVERS NAVIGATION DISPOSITION, KY. GREEN ALGO BANKLION COUNTY, KY. METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE MILL PREE BASIN KY. METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE SOUTHERS T KY. OHIO RIVER MAIN STEM SYSTEMS STUDY, KY, IL IN, PA. WV.	150 70 150 150 204 7,167		150 100 200 200 200 200 304 400 7,157	111111111	

R\$GGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	GENERAL INVEST	IGATIONS		11:49	9/24/99 PAGE	9
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	UEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS P	CE PLANNING		
RUSSELL, KY	-	1	200			
LOUISIANA						
AMITE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, LA	13	!	100	-		
CALCASIEU LOCK, LA	1691		1001			
CAMERON LOOP, CALCASIEU PASS, LA	300	134	200	134		
INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY LOCKS, LA	700	3	700	5		
LAFAYERTE PARISH, LA.	415		4415			
COLSTANA COASTAL AKEA, LA.	225	!!	225	1		
WEST SHORE, LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN, LA	200		200	1 1 1 1 1 1		
MARYLAND						
ANACOSTIA RIVER FEDERAL WATERSHED IMPACT ASSESSMENT, M	700	-	700	1		
ANACOSTÍA RIVER, NORTHWEST BRANCH, MD & DC	900	300	900	300		
BALTIMORE METROPOLITAN, DEEP RUN/TIBER HUDSON, MD BALTIMORE METROPOLITAN, GWYNNS FALLS, MD	200	400	200	400		
EASTERN SHORE, MD	150	1 1	100			
PATUXENT RIVER, PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD.	153	1 12	163	6		
SMITH ISLAND ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, MD.	156		156	3		
MASSACHUSETTS						
BLACKSTONE RIVER WATERSHED RESTORATION, MA & RI	300		300			
MICHIGAN					-	
DETROIT RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING. MI	}	ļ	001	ļ		
KALAMAZOO, MI			000	-		
SAULT STE MARIE, MI.			B   9	200		
······			2			
MINNESOTA						
UPPER MISSISSIPPI R FROM LAKE ITASCA TO LOCK DAM 2, MN		!	100			

R\$GGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS	- GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS		11:49 9/24/99 PAGE 7
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	PLANNING
MISSOURI	}		
Can Charles of the Control of the Co			
BLUE RIVER BASIN, KANSAS CITY, MO	150 377	0 1	377
CHESTERFIELD, MO.		!!!	
CHESTERFIELD, MO		1200	100
KANSAS CITY, MO & KS.	315	315	0
RIVER DES PERES, MO		0   1	200
ST LOUIS FLOOD PROTECTION, MO. ST LOUIS HARBOR, MO & IL. SWODE PARK INDISTRIAL MARFA KANSAS CITY MO.	285	1 2 3 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1	322
NEBRASKA			
ANTELOPE CREEK, LINCOLN, NE. ANTELOPE CREEK, LINCOLN, NE. LOWER PLATTE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, NE.	72 153 350	72 608	) 53     92
NEVADA			
CARSON RIVER, NV. FALLOW NV. LOWER LAS VEGAS WASH WETLANDS, NV. LOWER TRUCKEE RIVER. PYRAMID LAKE PAIUTE RESERVATION. LOWER TRUCKEE RIVER. PYRAMID LAKE PAIUTE RESERVATION. TRUCKEE MEADOWS, NV.	16 100 100 103 87 87 550	500 500 87	
NEW JERSEY			
BARNEGAT INET TO LITTLE EGG INTET NU & NY BARNEGAT INET TO LITTLE EGG INTET NU BRICANTINE INLET TO CREAT EGG HARBOR INLET NU GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET TO TOWNSENDS INLET NU HUDSON-FARITAN ESTUARY NU & NY LOWER CAPE MAY MACADOWS TO CAPE MAY POINT NU NEW JERSEY INTERCOASTAL INLET NU NEW JERSEY INTERCOASTAL MATERWAY, ENV RESTORATION NU RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, NU SHARWSBURY RIVER AND TRIBUTALISS, MOMMOUTH COUNTY NU STONY BROOK, NU STONY BROOK, NU STONY BROOK, NU OPPER PASSALC RIVER AND INTERS, LONG HILL MORRIS COUNT UPPER ROSSALC RIVER AND INTERS, LONG HILL WOODBRIDGE AND RAWAY, NU WOODBRIDGE AND RAWAY, NU	0.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	7 4 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2000 2000 1788 1788 1788 1788 1788 1788 1788 1

R\$GGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS	CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS		11:49 9/24/99 PAGE	æ
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	NNING	
9		;		
ESPANOLA VALLES, MIO GRANDE AND TRIBUTATIES, NM. NORTH LAS GRAUGES, NM. CO. & TX. SID GRANDE WATER MANAGEMENT, NM. CO. & TX. SW. VALLEY FLOOD DAWAGE REDUCTION STUDY. ALBUDUERQUE, N	250	200 200 250	!!!!	
NEW YORK				
ADDISON NY ARTHUR KILL CHANNEL HOWLAND HOOK WARINE TERMINAL NY AUGABLE RILDER BASIN, ESSEX AND CLINTON COUNTES, NY	-	•	1,312	
BROWN RIVER BASIN'NY. CHEMUNG RIVER BASIN'NY. CHEMUNG RIVER BASIN ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NY & PA	900	888	! ! !	
CLINTON COUNTY, NY. ELLIZOTT CREEK, NY. ELLIZOTT CREEK, NY.		000		
HAMILIN BEACH AND LAKESIDE BEACH, NY HUGSON RIVER HABITAT RESTORATION, NY HUGSON BIVED LABORATION, NY		00   00	100	
HUDSON RIVER, HUDSON, NY.	000	80	1 1 1	
JAMAICA BAY, MARINE PARK AND PLUMB BEACH, NY				
NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR, NY & NJ	984 2,534 980		2,534	
NORTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, NY		200		
ONONDAGA LAKE, NY. OTSEGO LAKE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NY. SAMMILL RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES. NY.	130	130 150	<b>! ! !</b>	
SOUTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, NY. SOUTH SHORE OF STAFFN ISLAND, NY. SUSQUEHANNA RIVER PAST MATER MANAGEMENT NY DAR. MIT	350	320	1 1 0	
. ¥ 	300	200	8	
NORTH CAROLINA				
BOGUE BANKS NC BACHES NC BRUNSWICK COUNTY BEACHES NC DARE COUNTY BEACHES NC DARE COUNTY BEACHES NC COUNTY BEACH COUNTY BAND CHEROKEE NATIO	400 350 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	81  881  88	850 100 11111111111111111111111111111111	

R\$GGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS	CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	SHOITA		=	11:49 9/24/99 PAGE	0.
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	ł	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	PLANNING		
TENNESSEE RIVER AND TRIBS, FRANKLIN, MACON COUNTY, NC. NORTH DAKOTA	199	!	100	1		
DEVILS LAKE, ND. PARK RIVER, GRAFTON, ND.	300		300	1 1		
ASHTABULA RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, OH- BERLIN LAKE COLUMBUS METROPOLITAN ÁREA, OH- COLUMBUS RIVER BASIN ENV RESTORATION, SUNDAY CREEK, OH- HOCKING RIVER BASIN ENV RESTORATION, SUNDAY CREEK, OH- MANME RIVER OH- MICHAEL JA KIRMAN DAW ÄND RESERVOIR, OH- MISKINGUM BASIN SYSTEM STUDY, OH- RICHARD COUNTY, OH- RICHARD COUNTY, OH-	408	000	1000110000	000		
OKLAHOMA CIMARRON RIVER BASIN, OK & KS. SOUTHEAST OKLAHOMA, OK. WARR ACRES AND BETHANY, OK. OREGON			900			
COLUMBIA RIVER NAVIGATION CHANNEL DEEPENING, OR & WA. COLUMBIA SICUONA, OR TILLAMOOR BAY AND ESTUARY ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OR WALLA WALLA HYCE WITERSHED, OR & WA. WILLAMETTE RIVER BASIN REVIEW, OR WILLAMETTE RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, OR WILLAMETTE RIVER FLOODPLAIN RESTORATION, OR.	200 200 291 291 300	8111111	100 100 100 100 100	883		
BLOOMSBURG, PA. ASIN, NANTY GLO ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATI CONEMAUGH FOR BASIN, NANTY GLO ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, PA. TURTLE CREEK BASIN, LYONS RIN ENV RESTORATION, PA. TURTLE CREEK BASIN, UPPER TURTLE CREEK ENV RESTORATION UPPER SUSQUEHANA RIVER BASIN, PA & NY.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4	300 255 260			
PUERTO RICO RIO GUANALIBO, PR. RIO NIGNA AT SALINAS, PR.	11	403 463		403 463		

A\$GGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS	S - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	S	11:49 9/24/99 PAGE	PAGE 10	
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	CONFERENCE G INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	XE PLANNING	         	
RHODE ISLAND					
RHODE ISLAND ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, RIRRM DMG REDU RHODE ISLAND SOUTH COAST, HABITAT REST & SRTM DMG REDU	177	177			
SOUTH CAROLINA					
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC. CHARLESTON ESTUARY, SC. PAWLEYS ISLAND SC. SANTEE, COOPER, CONCARE RIVERS - GOOSE CREEK RESERVOI YADKIN - PEE DEE RIVER WATERSHED, SC & NC. YADKIN - PEE DEE RIVER WATERSHED, SC & NC.	400 150 150 151 182	400 1150 1150 182			
SOUTH DAKOTA					
JAMES RIVER, SD & ND	100	100	96		
TENNESSEE					
DUCK RIVER WATERSHED IN NOLICHUCK WATERSHED IN NORICHUCKAMAUCA GREEK, IN	394 200 200 288	100 200 288			
TEXAS					
BOIS D'ARC CREEK, BONHAM, TX BUIS D'ARC CREEK, BONHAM, TX BURFALO BAYOU AND TRIBUTARIES, WHITE OAK BAYOU, TX CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CORPUS CHRISTI TX CORPUS CHRISTI CORPUS CHRISTI TX CORPUS CHRISTI TX CORPUS CHRISTI TX CORPUS CHRISTI TX CORPUS CHRISTI CHRISTI CORPUS CHRISTI CHRISTI CORPUS CHRISTI CO	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	25   1   25   25   25   25   25   25   2			

CORPS OF ENGINEERS	CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	SNOIL		11:51	9/24/99 PAGE 11	_
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING		CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	ANNING		!
UPPER TRINITY RIVER BASIN, TX	720	l l	1,195	-		
VIRGIN ISLANDS						
CROWN BAY CHANNEL, VI	:	241	1	241		
VIRGINIA						
AIWW BRIDGES AT DEEP CREEK, VA. CHESABEAKE BAY SHORELINE HAMPTON WAS JAMES RIVER LALANEL. VA. JAMES RIVER GLANNEL. VA. LOWER RAPPAHANNOCK RIVER BASIN, VA. HORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS, CRANEY ISLAND. VA. POROJOSON, VA. POWELL RIVER WATERSHED, VA. POWELL RIVER WATERSHED, VA. RAPPAHANNOCK RIVER, VA. RAPPAHANNOCK RIVER, EMBREY DAM, VA. RAPPAHANNOCK RIVER, EMBREY DAM, VA.	1 339 370 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	196	6 4 6 1			
WASHINGTON						
BELLINGHAM BAY, WA CENTRALIA, WA CHENTALIS RIVER BASIN, WA CHENTER AND GREEN RIVER BASIN, WA DUWAMISH AND GREEN RIVER BASIN, WA LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA LAKE SHOWD GON'INDE DISPOSAL SITES, WA SHOWD NEARSHORE MARINE HABITAL RESTORATION WA SKORMISH RIVER BASIN, WA SILLLAGUARISH RIVER BASIN, WA TRI-CITIES AREA RIVERSHORE ENHANCEMENT, WA	31000000000000000000000000000000000000		01100 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 10	2,000		
WEST VIRGINIA						
LOWER MUD RIVER, WV NAMAMARA RIVER NAVIGATION, WV MERCER COUNTY WV NORTH BRANCH POTOMAC RIVER ENVIRON RESTORATION, WV, MD	1881	09	650 403	200		
WISCONSIN						
FOX RIVER, WI		1	100			

R\$GGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	S - GENERAL INVES	STIGATIONS		11:1	11:51 9/24/99 PAGE	12
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	COUEST PLANNING	CONFINVESTIGATION	ERENCE ONS PLANNING		ļ
WYOMING						
JACKSON HOLE RESTORATION, WY	!	340	!	-		
MISCELLANEOUS						
COASTAL FIELD DATA COLLECTION	1,500	-	1,200	1		
ENVIRONMENTAL DATA STUDIES	000	1	86			
FLOOD DIATE MANAGEMENT SERVICES	6		8			
GREAT LAKES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM (SEC. 401)	9	-	200	;		
HYDROLOGIC STUDIES	500	1	900			
INTERNATIONAL WATER STUDIES	1,900	!	900	ł		
OTHER COORDINATION PROGRAMS	8,100	-	8,000	-		
PLANNING ASSISTANCE TO STATES	6,500		5, 800	1111		
PRECIPILATION SIDDIES (NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE)	26		966	1		
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	27.000		26.200			
SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTERS	100	1	100	1		
STREAM GAGING (U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY)	200	!!	700	!		
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS	200	1	200	-		
TRI-SERVICE CADD/GIS TECHNOLOGY CENTER	650	1	650	1		
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE	-23,496		-23,846	1 1 1		
TOTAL GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	102.362		123.949			

13:44 9/25/99 PAGE 1	CONFERENCE	3,000 1,730 1,750 3,600	4 357 - 7 500 2 568 1,000	645	10 464 45 000 47 000	4
FRAL	BUDGET	3,000 700 750 3,600	4,357 500 2,568 500	645	11,964 3,080 20,000	44 V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V
R\$CGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL	PROJECT TITLE	ALABAMA BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, VICINITY OF JACKSO MOBILE HARBOR, AL. TENESSEE-TOMBIGBEE WILDLIFE MITIGATION, AL & MS.  WALTER F GEORGE POWERHOUSE AND DAM, AL & GA (MAJOR REH WALTER F GEORGE POWERPLANT, AL & GA (MAJOR REH	ALASKA CHIGNIK HARBOR, AK COOK INLET, AK KAKE HARBOR, AK ST PAUL HARBOR, AK	CLIFTON, AZARKANSAS	DARDANELLE LOCK AND DAM POWERHOUSE, AR (MAJOR REHAB). MCCLELLAN FRER ARKANASA RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, AR. MCNICAMEN POINT LOCK AND DOW, AR. RED RIVER EMERGENCY BANK PROTECTION; AR & TX. RED RIVER WATERNAY (INDEX, AR TO DENISON DAM, TX) CALIFORNIA	AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED (NATOMAS), CA AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED CA CANTEL CAN RIVER WATERSHED CA GUADALUPE RAYER CA GUADALUPE RAYER CA THOROLUP

R\$CGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL	IERAL	13:44 9/25/99 PAGE 3	6
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET	CONFERENCE	
ILLINOIS			
CHAIN OF ROCKS CANAL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL (DEF CORR) CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL DISPERSAL BARRIER, IL. CHICAGO SHORELINE, IL. DES PLAINES WETLANDS DEMONSTRATION IL. EAST ST LOUIS INTERIOR FLOOD CONTROL. CAND DAM 24. MISS RIVER, IL & MO (MAJOR REHABILITA	1,600 7,629 2,000 5,044	2 c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	
LOCK AND DAM 25, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL & MO (MAJUR REH LOVES PARK, IL MCCOOK AND THORKION RESERVOIRS IL MELVIN PRICE LOCK AND DAM, IL & MO OLMSTED LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER IL & KY UPPER MISS RVR SYSTEM ENV MGMT PROGRAM, IL, IA, MO, MN	4,56 3,888 2,560 22,500 18,634 18,634	34,588 2,500 34,000 36,000 18,000	
INDIANA			
FORT WAYNE METROPOLITAN AREA, IN. INDIANAPOLIS CENTRAL WATERFRONT, IN. INDIANA SHORELINE FROSION, IN. LITTLE CALUMET RIVER, IN. OHIO RIVER FLOOD PROTECTION (INDIANA SHORELINE) IN. PATOKA LAKE IN (MAJOR REHAB). WHITE RIVER, INDIANAPOLIS (NORTH), IN.	3, 900	3,600 8,000 9,400 8,000 2,000 5,000	
IOWA			
LOCK AND DAM 12 MISSISSIPPI RIVER IA (MAJOR REHAB). LOCK AND DAM 14 MISSISSIPPI RIVER IA (MAJOR REHAB). MISSOURI RIVER LEYER SYSTEM, IA. NE, NS & MO. MUSCATINE ISLAND VILDIJE WITICATION IA. NE MUSCATINE ISLAND IA. KANSAS	2,500 2,000 2,500 6,000 6,000	923833 6000 6000 6000 6000 6000 6000	
ARKANSAS CITY, KS	4.300	4,300	
KENTUCKY		<u> </u>	
BARKLEY DAM AND LAKE BARKLEY, KY & TN DEWEY LAKE, KY (DAM SAFETY) KENTUCKY LOCK AND DAM, TENNESSEE RIVER, KY KENTUCKY LOCKS AND DAM, OHJO RIVER, KY & IN METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, POND CREEK, KY SOUTHERN AND EASTERN KENTUCKY, KY	1,450 2,500 7,750 2,800 3,261	1,450 16,000 10,800 10,800 2,000	

R\$CGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL	NERAL	13:44 9/25/99	99 PAGE	4	
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET	CONFERENCE	   1   1   1   1	 	1
LOUISIANA					
ALOHA – RIGOLETTE, LA. COMITE RIVER, LA. INNER HARBOR NAVIGATION CANAL LOCK, LA. LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN AND VICINITY, LA (HURRICANE PROTECT	581 4,000 13,000	581 4,000 15,900 16,887			
LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN STORWMATER DISCHARGE, LA	1,500	2,000 1,500 2,000			
RED RIVER WÄYTERWÄY, MISSISPIPPI RIVER TO SHREVEPORT, L SOUTHEAST LOUISIANA WEST BANK VICHNITY OF NEW ORLEANS, LA	21,113 47,066 7,000	23,600 47,066 15,070			
MARYLAND					
ANACOSTIA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MD & DC. ATLANTIC COAST OF MARTVIAND, MD. BALTIMORE HARBOR AND CHANNELS (BREWERTON CHANNEL), MD. CHESAPEAKE BAY ENVIRON RESTOR AND PROT, MD, VA, & PA. CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RECOVERY, MD.	4,031 9,500 9,500 9,502	4,031 200 9,578 350 14,000			
MASSACHUSETTS					
BOSTON HARBOR, MA. RAITROAD BRIDGE, MA. (MAJOR REHAB). CAPPE COD CANAL RAITROAD BRIDGE, MA. (MAJOR REHAB). TOWN BROOK, QUINCY AND BRAINTREE, MA.	1,000 5,000 3,257 1,500	1,000 3,000 1,500			
MICHIGAN					
CLINTON RIVER, MI SPILLWAY (DEFICIENCY CORRECTION)	!	250			
MINNESOTA					
LOCK AND DAM 3, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MN (MAJOR REHAB) MARSHALL, MN. PINE RIVER DAM, CROSS LAKE, MN (DAM SAFETY) ST. CROIX RIVER, STILLWATER, MN.	3,200	3,200 2,275 3,190 1,158			
IddIssissiw					
JACKSON COUNTY, MS. NATCHEZ BLUFF, MS. RASCAGOULE HARBOR, MS. WOLF AND JORDAN RIVERS AND BAYOU PORTAGE, MS.	7,792	800 7,782 1,000			

99 PAGE 5													
13:44 9/25/99 PAGE	CONFERENCE		13,700 1,900 3,200 3,000 7,000		1,000		29,000		7-0 2-0 8-0 8-0 8-0 8-0 8-0 8-0 8-0 8-0 8-0 8		1,500 2,400 600 600		3 300 3 300 4 4 300 4 0 000 4 1 000 4 1 000
RAL	BUDGET		13,700 1,900 3,500 3,000 7,000		100		20,100		16,500 16,500 1,800 1,800 1,300 1,000 9,000		1,500 2,400 600 600		83,320 80,220 60,000
R\$CGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL	PROJECT TITLE	MISSOURI	BLUE RIVER CHANNEL, KANSAS CITY, MO. CAPE GIRARDEAU, JACKSON, MO. MERAMEC RIVER BASIN, VALLEY PARK LEVEE, MO. MISS RIVER BIN THE OHIO AND MO RIVERS (REG WORKS) MO. STE GENEVIEVE, MO. TABLE ROCK LAKE, MO. & AR (DAM SAFETY)	NEBRASKA	MISSOURI NATIONAL RECREATIONAL RIVER, NE & SDWOOD RIVER, GRAND ISLAND, NE	NEVADA	TROPICANA AND FLAMINGO WASHES, NV	NEW JERSEY	BRIGANTINE INLET TO GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET. NJ. CAPE MAY INLET TO LOWER TOWNSHIP P. NJ. CAPELWARE STYCER MAIN CHANNEL. NJ. CREAT EGG HARBOR INLET AND FERS N. PASSAIC RIVER STRESENVIN OF WATURAL STORAGE AREAS. N PASSAIC RIVER STREAMBANK RESTORATION, NJ. RAMAFOR RIVER STREAMBANK RESTORATION, NJ. RAMAFOR RIVER STREAMBANK RESTORATION, NJ. RAMAFOR NAVE SANDY HOOK BAY, NJ. RAMATAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, NJ. RAMATAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, NJ. RAMATAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, NJ. RAMATAN RAW SANDY HOOK BAY, NJ.	NEW MEXICO	ACEDUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEM, NM. ALAMOGORDO, NM. TO GANDE FLOOD PROTECTION BERNALILO TO BELE MIDDE FLOODWAY, SAN ACACAA TO BOSQUE DEL APPACHE.	NEW YORK	ATANIE COAST OF NC, PROCKAMAY INLET TO NORTON POINT. FOR TRICKAMY INLET TO ROCKAMAY INLET AND JAMAICA BAY. FIRE ISLAMD INLET TO MOVIES INLET NY KILL VAN KUL AND NEWARK BAY CHANNEL. NAN KUL AND NEWARK BAY CHANNEL. NEW YORK HARBOR COLLECTION AND REMOVAL OF DRIFT NORTH NORK STATE CANAL SYSTEM, NY.

13:44 9/25/99 PAGE 6	RENCE		6,300 18,200				1,400 2,266 915 16,000		5,400		10.800 1.810 1.80 1.700 1.700		6,800 45,000 3,500 3,000 20,000
13	CONFERENCE		9. 8.		rg rg 054 +		2 2 16		ı,		0.6		94 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80
IERAL	BUDGET		7,000		10,000 10,000 10,000 3,000 10,000		1,400 2,266 915 8,000		6,800		10,800 6,368 500 262 1,700		6,800 21,600 3,520 3,500 20,000
R\$CGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL	PROJECT TITLE	NORTH CAROLINA	AIWW, REPLACEMENT OF FEDERAL HIGHWAY BRIDGES, NOBRUNSWICK COUNTY BEACHES, NCWILMINGTON HARBOR, NC.	NORTH DAKOTA	BUFORD-TRENTON IRRIGATION DISTRICT LAND ACQUISITION, N DEVLIS LAKE EMERGENCY OUTLET, ND GARRISON DAM AND POWER PLANT, ND (MAJOR REFARS) GRAND FORKS, ND LEAST GRAND FORKS, WN HOMME LAKE, ND CAM SAFETY, LAKE ASHTABULE AND BALDHILL DAM, ND (MAJOR REHAB) SHEYENE RIVER, ND (BALDHILL POOL RAISE).	OHIO	BEACH CITY LAKE, MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES, OH (DAM SAFETY METROPOLITAN REGION OF CINCINNATI, DUCK CREEK, OH MILL CREEK, OH WEST COLUMBUS, OH	OKLAHOMA	SKIATOOK LAKE, OK (DAM SAFETY)TENKILLER FERRY LAKE, OK (DAM SAFETY)	OREGON	BONNEVILLE POWERHOUSE PHASE II. OR & WA (MAJOR REHAB). COLUMBIA RIVER TREATY FISHING ACCESS SITES, OR & WA ELK CREEK LAKE, OR BASIN BANGEN CHORDER COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN BANGEN CONTROL, OR WA WILLAMETER RIVER TEMPERATURE CONTROL, OR.	PENNSYLVANIA	JOHNSTOWN, PA (MAJOR REHAB), WONDKAHELA RIVER, PA LOCKS AND DAMS 2, 3 AND 4, MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA PRESQUE ISEE PENINSULA, PA (PERMANENT) SAW MILL RUN, PITTSBURGH, PA SOUTHEASTERN PENINSYLVANIA, PA WYOMING VALLEY, PA (LEVEE RAISING)

13:44 9/25/99 PAGE 7	BUDGET REQUEST CONFERENCE		0.000 0.000		37,284 37,284		2.000 1.500 10.000 7.500		2,000 1,500		9,900 3,700 3,700 3,700 5,900 6,000 5,000 5,000 18,300 4,756 7,756	0.000 0.0000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.00000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.
R&CGPUC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL	PROJECT TITLE	1 2	ARECIBO RIVER, PR. PORTUGUES AND BUCANA RIVERS, PR. RIO DE LA PLATA. RIO DUERTO NUERO, PR. SAN UNAN HARBOR, PR.	SOUTH CAROLINA	CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC (DEEPENING & WIDENING)	SOUTH DAKOTA	BIG SIOUX RIVER, SIOUX FALLS, SD. OHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBE, LOWER BRULE SIOUX, SD. PIERRE, SD.	TENNESSEE	BLACK FOX, MURFREE SPRINGS, AND OAKLAND WETLANDS, TNTENNESSEE RIVER, HAMILTON COUNTY, TN	TEXAS	BRAYS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX. CLANNEL TO VICTORIA, TX. CLEAR CREEK, HOUSTON, TX. CYPRESS CREEK, HOUSTON, TX. CYPRESS CREEK, HOUSTON, TX. CYPRESS TOREK, HOUSTON, TX. CYPRESS TO TAIGNAL WILDLIFE REFUGE, TX. HOUSTON, GALVESTON MAYIGATION CHANNELS, TX. SAN ANTONIO CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, TX. SIMS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX. WALLISVILLE SALTWATER BARRIER, TX. WALLISVILLE SALTWATER BARRIER, TX.	Alww, BRIDGE AT GREAT BRIDGE, VA

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL 13:44 9/25/99 PAGE	BUDGET CONFERENCE REQUEST CONFERENCE	*	4, WA, OR & ID	IIA	5,400	2,900	A MIVEK, WV 1,400 1,400	WI 3,000	sno	PROGRAM ORATION (SECTION 206)  9,000  1,000	
C CORPS OF ENGIL	PROJECT TITLE	WASHINGTON	COLUMBIA RIVER FISH MITIGATION, WA, OR & ID	WEST VIRGINIA	BLUESTONE LAKE, WV (DAM SAFETY)  GERENBRIER SIVER BASIN, WV. V LEVISA AND TUG FORKS AND UPPER CUMBER AND RIVER, WV. V LONDON LOCKS AND DAM, KANAWHA RIVER, WV (MAJOR REHAB).	ROBERT COCK AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, WV & OH ROBERT COCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, WV & OH SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA, WV TYCART LEKE WV (DAM SAFETY) WEST VIRGINIA AND PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD CONTROL, WV & PA	IELU LOCKS AND DAM, KANAWHA WISCONSIN	LAFARGE LAKE, KICKAPOO RIVER, WI	MISCELLANEOUS	AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL PROGRAM GECTION 206)  AQUATIC ECONYSTRA RESTORATION SECTION 206)  BENETIE ROLL USE OF DREEDED MATERIAL SECTION 204)  BENETIE OF DREEDED MATERIAL SECTION 204)  EMERCAL DREEDED MATERIAL DEPOCAL FACILITIES PROGRAM 204)  EMERCAL MATERIAL DEPOCAL FACILITIES PROGRAM 204)  EMERCAL MATERIAL DEPOCAL FACILITIES PROGRAM 204)  EMERCAL DREEDED MATERIAL DEPOCAL PROTECTION (SEC. 14)  FILODO CONTROL PROJECT SECTION 306)  INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD — BOARD EXPINSE  INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD — CORPS EXPINSE  NAVIGATION PROJECT (SECTION 107)  RIVERIOR FOOD FACILITION AND FLOOD HAZARD MITIGA  REDUCTION FOR ANTICEPATED SAVINGS AND SIPPAGE AND CARRYOVER BALANCES.	

11:42 9/24/99 PAGE		
TRIBUTARIES	1,1,000 1,000 1,00	37 688 30 7000 30 1900 22 1900 22 1900 22 1900 30 1900 4 8 9300 4 1 1500 5 1 1500 6 1
I RIVER AND BUDGET REQUEST	7,000 1,7676 1,7676 1,000 1,00	3.7 688 2.1 900 2.1 900 2.3 250 4.3 250 19, 500 10, 400 10, 40
R\$MGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES  BUDGET  PROJECT TITLE  REQUEST  CONFERENCE	SURVEYS: GENERAL STUDIES: MISSISSIPPLY MISSISSIPPLY MISSISSIPPL MI	CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR. IL. KY, LA. MS. MO. & TN. GRAND PRAIRIE REGION. AR. GRAND PRAIRIE REGION. AR. HELENA AND LIGHTY, AR. MS. MO. & TN. ST FRANCIS BASIN. AE MO. XYSTEM. LA. ATCHARALAYA BASIN. FLOODWAY SYSTEM. LA. ATCHARALAYA BASIN. FLOODWAY SYSTEM. LA. ATCHARALAYA BASIN. AR. MO. & TN. MISSI SIZAR SYSTEM. REGION. EVECE LA. MISSI SIZAR SYSTEM. BASIN. AR. MISSI SIZAR SYSTEM. AR. MISSI SIZAR SYSTEM. BASIN. AR. MISSI SIZAR SYSTEM. AR. MISSI SIZAR SYSTEM. AR. MISSI SIZAR SYSTEM. AR. MISSI SIZAR SYSTEM. AR. MISSI SYSTEM. MISSI SYSTEM. AR. MISSI SYSTEM. MISSI SYSTEM. AR. MISSI SYSTEM. AR. MISSI SYSTEM. AR. MISSI SYSTEM. MISSI SYSTEM. MISSI SYSTEM. MISSI SYSTEM. MISSI SYSTEM. MI

R\$MGPOC

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET	CONFERENCE	       
MAINTENANCE			
IMPROVEMENT, AR. IL. KY. LA. MS. MO & TN.	55.876	55.876	
LLIPS COUNTY, A	284	284	
щ	443	443	
RIVER,	99	99	
ARKANSAS RIVER, SOUTH BANK, AR	108		
MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN.	3,736	6,500	
ST FRANCIS BASIN, AR & MO	6,300		
BASIN, BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, AR & LA	2,344	2,344	
IVER BACKWATER, AR	964	964	
ION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL	45	45	
ION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY	25	25	
LAYA BASIN, FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA	644	644	
AYA BASIN LA	10.560	12.810	
BATON ROUGE HARBOR, DEVIL SWAMP, LA.	157	157	
SOCODRIE AND TRIBUTARIES. LA	101	101	
CARRE	1.068	1.068	
TON OF COMPLETED WORKS LA	373	373	
LOWER RED RIVER SOUTH BANK LEVEES LA	84	8	
IPPI DELTA REGION LA	436	436	
LD RIVER LA	4.027	4.027	
ENSAS BASIN, RED RIVER BACKWATER, LA	2,927	2,927	
LLE HARBOR, MS	333	333	
ION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS	193	193	
RG HARBOR, MS	661	199	
YAZOO BASIN:	(20,475)	(24,506)	
UTLA LAKE, MS	3,265	4,265	
UNFLOWER RIVER, MS	209	209	
LAKE, MS	3,214	4,214	
WOOD, MS	946	946	
GRENADA LAKE, MSGRENADA LAKE,	4,280	5,280	
Z STEM, RS	1,059	1,059	
SARDIS LAKE, MS	4,334	5,334	
TARIES, MS	1,269	1,300	
M WHITTINGTON AUXILIARY CHANNEL, MS	493	493	
YAZOO BACKWATER AREA, MS	980	560	
YAZOO CITY, MS.	846	846	
ION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MO.	202	202	
LEG LAKE MOTOR TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	3,500	3,500	
5	500	F C	
MANBOA, MCNELLAN LANE, IN	900	900	
SUBTOTAL, MAINTENANCE	117,500	128,945	
DENICATION COD AUTICIDATES CANITAGO AND CLIPDACE	240		
:	700'81-	14, 302	
TOTAL, FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND	000	300 416	

R\$MGPOC

R\$GGPGC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	40 MAINTENAN	40E	11:53	9/24/99 PAGE	-
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET	CONFERENCE			
ALABAMA					
ALABAMA - COOSA COMPREHENSIVE WATER STUDY, AL BAXCHAMA - COOSA RIVER, AL	3,000	3,000			
BAYOU LA BATRE, AL BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, AL	15,	19,200			
BON SECOUR, AL. DAUPHIN ISLAND BAY, AL.		500			
DOG AND FOWL RIVERS AL	4,000	5,500			
MINISTERIO OF COMPLETED WORNS, ALTHOUGH THE DANNELLY LA MOBILE HARBOR, AL.	17,5	5,560 19,562			
PENDIDO PASS, AL. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AL. BECTONAL SEPTIMENT MANAGEMENT DITAL BECTONAL	300	300 300 4			
NOGENT F HENRY LOCK AND DAM AL	e,	. 6 . 8 . 8 . 8			
SCHEDULIN ESSENDOLINOS, AL & MS. TENNESSEE - TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL & MS. WALTER F GEORGE LOCK AND DAM, AL & GA	-	21,000 7,910			
ALASKA					
ANCHORAGE HARBOR, AK	1.794	1,794			
DILLINGHAM HARBOR, AK. HOMER HARBOR, AK	188	401			
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AK	35	1,000 081			
NOME HARBOR, ACTION OF HARBOR, ACTION OF HARBOR, ACTION OF HARBOR ACTION O	- 4 0 8 0 8	- 4 0 0 8 0 8			
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AK WEADALL HARBOR AK WEANGEL NARROWS. AK	384 384 1.024	3502 384 1024			
ARIZONA					
ALAMO LAKE, AZ	1,180	1,180			
PAINTED ROCK DAM, AZ S SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, AZ	1,118	1,118			
WHITLOW RANCH DAM, AZ	155	155			
ARKANSAS					
BEAVER LAKE, AR LAKE QUACHITA, AR BLUE MOUNTAIN LAKE, AR.	3,702 6,585 1,117	3,702 5,585 1,117			

R\$OGPOC

PROJECT TITLE		CONFERENCE
BULL SHOALS LAKE, AR.  BURL SHOAL SLAKE, AR.  DEGNER AR.  DEGNER AR.  DEGNER AR.  DEGNER AR.  DEGNER AR.  DEGNER AR.  MOCLETCLAN - KERR ARANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM AR.  MATLEWOOD LAKE, AR.  DARROW SDAM, LAKE GREESON, AR.  NARROD LAKE, AR.  DOGGED ARROW ARROW ARROW AR.  DOGGED ARROW A	00444 0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0	0.044 0.000 0.
BLACK BUTTE LAKE, CA.  CHANNAN DAM, H. V. ESTHAN, LAKE, CA.  CHANNEL ISLANDS HARBOR CA.  CHANNEL ISLANDS HARBOR CA.  DAY CREEK (WARM SPRINGS) LAKE AND CHANNEL. CA.  DAY CREEK (WARM SPRINGS) LAKE AND CHANNEL. CA.  HIDDEN DAM, HENSLEY LAKE, CA.  HIDDEN DAM, CA.  ISSELL LAKE, CA.  ISSELL LAKE MITIGATION CA.  ISSELL LAKE MITIGATION CA.  ISSELL LAKE MITIGATION CA.  ISSELL LAKE CA.  LONG BEACH HARBOR WODEL. CA.  LOS ANGELES - LONG BEACH HARBORS, CA.  MARTING DEL REY, CA.  LOS ANGELES - LONG BEACH HARBORS, CA.  MARTING DEL REY, CA.  MARTING DAY HARBOR, CA.  MOJANC BAY HARBOR, CA.  MOJANC RIVER DAM, CA.  NOYO RIVER & HARBOR, CA.  NOYO RIVER & HARBOR, CA.  NOYO RIVER & HARBOR, CA.  OCENNISIDE HARBOR, CA.  PREWPORT BAY HARBOR, CA.  NOYO RIVER & LARBOR, CA.  PREWPORT LAKE, CA.  NOYO RIVER & LARBOR, CA.  PREWPORT LAKE, CA.  NOYO RIVER & LARBOR, CA.  PREWPORT LAKE, C	2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	4 5 6 7 4 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	U MAINTENAN	CE	11:53	9/24/99 PAGE	ო
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE	 		
RICHMOND HARBOR, CA. FOOT PROJECT) CA. SACRAMENTO RIVER (30 FOOT PROJECT) CA. SACRAMENTO RIVER AND TRIBUTARLES (DEBRIS CONTROL) CA. SACRAMENTO RIVER SHALLOW DRAFT CHANNEL. CA. SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR AND DADEL STRUCTURE. CA. SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR AND DADEL STRUCTURE. CA. SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR CA. CA. SAN TAGANER THER BASIN CA. SAN TAGANER HARBOR. CA. SANTA AND RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, CA. SALICES LAKE. CA. SOLICES LAKE. CA. TERMITKUS DAM. LAKE KAMEAH. CA. TERMITKUS DAM. LAKE KAMEAH. CA. TERMITKUS DAM. LAKE KAMEAH. CA. VUBA. RIVER. CA. CA. CA. CA. CA. CA. CA. CA. CA. CA	60000000000000000000000000000000000000	8 524-6			
BEAR CREK LAKE, CO CHART CELE LAKE CHART CREK LAKE CHART CREK LAKE COHERTOR OF COMPLETED WORKS CO INSPECTION OF COMPLETED SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OFERATIONS TRINIDAD LAKE, CO CONNECTICUT	464 778 778 530 129 2,061 702	454 778 778 129 2.051 702			
BLACK ROCK LAKE, CT COLEBROOK RIVER LAKE, CT HANCOCK BROOK LAKE, CT HANCOCK BROOK LAKE, CT HANSFIELD BROOK LAKE, CT NORTHIELD BROOK LAKE, CT THOMASTON DAM, CT THOMASTON DAM, CT THOMASTON LAKE, CT THOMPSON LAKE, CT  BELAMARE	328 232 232 290 290 290 40 656 41	328 4312 2312 2512 5490 566 666 666			
CEDAR CREEK DE DELAWARE CANAL ST GEORGE'S BRIDGE REPLENTRACASTAL WATERWAY DELAWARE R TO CHESAPEARE BAY. DINTRACASTAL WATERWAY, REHOBOTH BAY TO DELAWARE BAY. DEMANSTRACASTAL WATERWAY, REHOBOTH BAY TO DELAWARE BAY. DEMANSTRILLE NATURE, DEMANSTRILLE NATURE, DEMANDENTILL NATURE, DEMAND	265 4,000 19,518 305 430 3,395	265 19,518 19,518 456 3,395 3,395			

PRO JECT TITIE	RIDGET	
	REQUEST	CONFERENCE
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
POTOWAC AND ANACOSTIA RIVERS (DRIFT REMOVAL), DC	980 985	880 985
, vogur	ñ	ò
AIWW, NORFOLK, VA TO ST JOHNS RIVER, FL, GA, SC, NC &.	30	30
	7.332	7,332
FLORI	8,470	8,470
ن:	1,023	1,023
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, FL	100	00.00
, JACKSONVILLE TO MIAMI, FL	٠	3,286
JACKSONVILLE HARBUR, FL	5,693	5,693
DU, WALTON COUNTY, FL		250
HARBOR, FL	2,620	2.620
OKEECHOBEE WATERWAY, FL	4.680	4,680
OKLAWAHA RIVER, FL	0;	10
PANAMA CITY HARBOR. FL.	1,300	1,300
PONCE DE LEON INLET, FL	7,696	7,696
PORT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FL	2,900	2,900
AQUATIC G	3.130	3.130
HEDULI		•
LUCIE INLET, FL	2,242	2,242
	7.041	•
THLACOOCHIÉ RIVER,		
GEORGIA		
ALLATOONA LAKE, GAADALATAHOOCHEF AND FITNT BIVERS GA ALA	6,328	6,328
STAL WATERWAY, GA	2,310	
BRONSWICK HARBOR, GABUFORD DAM AND LAKE SIDNEY LANIER. GA	7,000	
NKE GA	9,150	8,150
PLETED WORKS	•	
J STROM THURMOND LAKE, GA & SC	8,750	8,750
HARBOR, GA	• •	
₹	•	ო.

R\$GGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	O MAINTENAN	ICE	13:50	9/25/99 PAGE	IO.
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET	CONFERENCE			
WEST POINT DAM AND LAKE, GA & AL	6,200	6,200			
BARBERS POINT HARBOR, HI WARKS, HI INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WARKS, HI PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, HI INTERPROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, HI INTERPROPERTY.	121 279 750	121 279 750			
ALBENI FALLS DAM. ID. DWORSHAK DAM AND	2,759 2,304 82 1,238 1,66	2,759 2,304 1,238 1,236 63			
CALUMET HARBOR AND RIVER, IL & IN CARLUE LAKE, IL. CHICAGO HARBOR. IL. CHICAGO RIVER, IL. ILLINDIS AND MISSISSIPDI CANAL IL. ILLINDIS AND MISSISSIPDI CANAL IL. ILLINDIS AND MISSISSIPDI CANAL ILLE IN KASKASKA REWAY, ILL & IN KASKASKA REWAY, IL. LAKE SHELBYTOW DIVERSION, IL. LAKE SHELBYTOW RAND MINNEAPOLIS IL. IA. MN MO & NORTH BRANCH CHICAGO RIVER, IL. REND LINE, IL. SURVETILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS IL. SURVEGILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS IL. SURVEGILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS IL. SURVEGILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS IL. RAND LAKE LINE AND MINNEAPORT INDIANA	24. 2 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	247 2 - 00 c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c			
BROOK WITE LAKE AND IN BROOK I	1, 844 1, 266 1, 064 1,	7. 8.7. 428. 7.0. 7.0. 8.0. 7.0. 8.0. 8.0. 8.0. 8.			

RSOGPOC

PROJECT TITLE	REQUEST	CONFERENCE		
PATOKA LAKE, IN SPOJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IN SALAMONIE LAKE IN SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IN.	730 42 741 154	730 42 741 154		
IOWA				
CORALVILLE LAKE JAFED WORKS, IA TO STONY IA MISSOUR RIVER SIOUX CITY, IA MISSOUR RIVER SIOUX CITY TO MOUTH, IA NET ROUNE LAKE SIOUX CITY TO MOUTH, IA NE RED ROCK DAM AND LAKE RED ROCK, IA SAVLORVILLE LAKE, IA LAKE RED ROCK, IA	2,756 109 211 7,182 2,147 3,577 3,905	2,755 109 7,182 2,147 3,577 3,905		
KANSAS				
CLINTON LAME, KS. COUNCIL GROVE LAKE, KS. ELY DARAO LAKE, KS. ELK CITY LAKE, KS.	1,582 1,130 560 716	1,582 1,130 560 716		
FALL RIVER LAKE, KS. HILLSDALE LAKE, KS. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KS.	1,184 938 275	1,184 938 275		
JOHN REDMOND DAM AND RESERVOIR, KSKANDPOLIS LAKE, KS	975	1,500		
MELFORD LAKE KS.	1,856	2,016 1,856		
PERRY LAKE, KS. POMONA LAKE, KS.	2,089	2,089 1,752	·	
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, KS. TORONIO LAKE, KS. TUTILE CREEK LAKE, KS. WILSON LAKE, KS.	347 468 1,767	347 468 1,767		
KENTUCKY				
BARKLEY DAM AND LAKE BARKLEY, KY & TN	7,382	7,382		
BUCKHORN LAKE, KY. CARR CREEK LAKE, KY.	1,209	1,209		
	819	819		
ELVIS STAME (HICKMAN) HARBOR, KY.		340		
GRAYSON LAKE, KT. GRAYSON LAKE, KT. GRAFEN AND RABBEN RIVERS KY	200	1.113		

CONFERENCE	1
BUDGET	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
PROJECT TITLE	GREEN RIVER LAKE, KY. LINGIN OF COMPLETE WORKS, KY LINGIN OF COMPLETE WORKS, KY LINGIN OF COMPLETE KY LINGIN OF COMPLETE KY LINGIN OF COMPLETE KY LINGIN OF COMPLETE KY MADDLESSOR CUMBERLAND RIVER BASIN, KY MADDLESSOR CUMBERLAND RIVER BASIN, KY MADDLESSOR CUMBERLAND RIVER KY MADDLESSOR CUMBERLAND KY MADDLESSOR COMPLETE LAKE, KY MADDLESSOR DEREK LAKE, KY LOUISIANA ATCHARALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE, BOEUF AND BLACK MATERWA MATERWA CA BAYOU LECHE LAKE BAYOU LECHE LAKE BAYOU LECHE LAKE BAYOU LECHE LAND LAKE CLABE BAYOU LECHE LAND LAKE LAND BAYOU LECHE LAND BAYOU LECHE LAND LAKE LAND BAYOU LECHE LAND LAKE LAND BAYOU LECHE LAND

### MARYLAND #### MARY	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE	
### A CONTRIVE DEPOSITS)   440	MARYLAND		! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !	ł
TOTAL OF GO POOT INC. TO THE TATAL TO THE TA	HARBOR (DRIFT REMOVAL), MD	440	440	
140   140   140   140   140   140   1616   140   1616   140   1616   140   1616   140   1616   140   1616   140   1616   140   1616   140   1616   140   1616   140   1616   16	ION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITINES (50 FOOT). MD	ω.	9	
FORK MD 1-616 1.  FORK MD 2 NEPUXENT BAY MD 380 450 450 450 450 450 450 450 450 450 45	EY, W	140	140	
FORK, MD.  ET AND SINEPUXENT BAY, MD.  ATTOONS, MD.  ATTOONS, MD.  ATTOONS, MD.  ATTOONS, MD.  BEGGOOD  ACTOONS MD.  ACTOONS MD.  BEGGOOD  BEGGOOD  ACTOONS MD.  BEGGOOD  BEGOOD  ACTOONS MD.  BEGOOD	2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.	1.616	1,616	
FORK, MO.  FIT DAND SINEPUXENT BAY, MO.  FIT DAND SINEPUXENT BAY, MO.  FOR SINEPUXENT BAY, MO.		770	270	
HUSETTS 5,800 5,80	FORK, MD.	920	1820	
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	FT AND SINFPLIXENT	380	380	
### 1998   143   143   143   143   143   143   143   143   144   1	O	450	450	
HUSETTS 5,800 5,800 6,80	NATIONS, MD			
HUSETTS  LEY STORAGE AREA, MA  10, 4423 4423 4423 4423 4423 4423 10, 202 118 118 118 118 118 118 118 118 118 11	:	•	•	
MASSACHUSETTS  10.494 424 424 424 424 424 424 424 424 424		0	000	
10.484 423 423 423 61.404LEY STORAGE AREA MA 10.4816 62.202 62.202 63.37 63.37 63.31 63.32 63.32 63.32 63.33 64.14				
10.423 11.42(LEY STORAGE AREA, MA 10.4161 10.41(LEY STORAGE AREA, MA 202 12.63 13.73 13.73 13.73 13.73 10.41(LER STORAGE AREA, MA 10.41(LER STORAGE AREA, MA 203 10.73 1	S DAM.	494	494	
10.443 10.4143 10.4306 10.443 10.4169 10.443 10.4168 1	DAM, MA.	423	423	
10, VALLEY STORAGE AREA MA 2028 10. 308. MA 203 16. 8 3.75 3.75 3. 3.75 3.75 3. 3. 3.75 3. 3. 3.75 3. 3. 3.75 3. 3. 3.75 3. 3. 3.75 3. 3. 3.75 3. 3. 3.75 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	E LAKE, MA	44		
2016 2016 2016 2017 2018 2018 2019 3019	WANAL, MA.	ום, מיני	5	
MAA 168 1168 1168 1168 1168 1168 1168 116	30R. MA	212	212	
MA 918  MA 918  1819	(A	168	168	
MAA 375  MED WORKS, MA 3375  NAND ACUSHNET HURRICANE BARRIER 2380  JIVEYS, MA 3,2380  MICHIGAN 441  MICHIGAN 441  MICHIGAN 441  MICHIGAN 1333  CLAIR, MI 133	ż	-18	118	
MICHIGAN  MACHIRA MA  MICHIRA MA  MICHIRA MA  MICHIRA MA  MICHIRA MI  MI  MICHIRA MI	₩	375	375	
TED WORKS, WA  328 348 348 348 348 348 358 378 3891 3891 3891 3891 3891 3891 3891 389		255	700	
MICHIGAN  MICHIG	TEL WORKS MA	100	125	
#N AND ACUSHNET HURRICANE BARRIER, 395  MA  RVEYS, MA  MICHIGAN  441  MICHIGAN  MICHIGAN  MICHIGAN  441  MICHIGAN  441  MICHIGAN  MICHIG		362	362	
MICHIGAN  MICHIG		382	395	
MICHIGAN  MICHIG	AND ACUSHNET HURRICANE BARKI	280	280	
DAM	MA. 92/2/101	36		
MAK, MA, MA, MA, MA, MA, MA, MA, MA, MA, MA	ARBOR MA	*	•	
DAM, MA	AKE. MA	391	391	
LAKE, MA.  MICHIGAN  MICHIGAN  441  KRBOR, MI.  1ARBOR, MI.  1ARBOR, MI.  1ARBOR, MI.  1ARBOR, MI.  1ARBOR, MI.  133	HILL DAM	250	550	
MICHIGAN  BDR, MI.  RRBOR, MI.  AAA1  AAA1  AAA1  AAA100N, MI.  RIARBOR, MI.  RIARBOR, MI.  RIARBOR, MI.  RIARBOR, MI.  RIARBOR, MI.  133	VILLE LAK	414	414	
HBOR, MI. RRBOR, MI. 227 HARBOR, MI. 233 HARBOR, MI. 268 217 1133 1133	MICHIGAN			
KROCK MI. 237 HARBOR MI. 237 HARBOR MI. 333 N LAKE ST CLAIR, MI. 513	HARBOR	441	441	
ARBOR, MI. 227 HARBOR, MI. 333 IR HARBOR, MI. 512 IN LARE ST CLIR, MI. 512 IN LARE ST MI. 512	RBOR.	68	68	
TATROCK MI.	Y PORT HARBOR, MI	227	227	
HARBOR, MI	HARBOR	50 I	m C	
HARBOR, MI 133	N LAKE ST CLAI	512	512	
	HARBOR, MI	133	133	

R\$OGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	ND MAINTENAN	ICE	11:53 9/24/99 PAGE	9 PAGE
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET	CONFERENCE		
CLINTON RIVER, MI	368	368		
DETROIT RIVER, MI	3,235	3,235		
	615	615		
GRAND TRAVERSE BAY HARBOR, MI	345	345		
HARRISVILLE HARBOR, MI	142	142		
HOLLAND HARBOR, MI	2,5	D 40		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MI	205	205		
KEWEENAW WATERWAY, MI	291	291		
LAC LA BELLE, MI.	50	0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		
FETAND BARBOR, BI	247	247		
LITTLE LAKE HARBOR, MI.	6	97		
LUDINGTON HARBOR, MI	1,152	1,152		
MANISTEE HARBOR, MI	1 52	1 356		
MACONINE HARBOR MI & WI	288	28		
MONROE HARBOR MI	137	137		
MUSKEGON HARBOR, MI.	120	120		
NEW BUFFALO HARBOR, MI	444	444		
ON-IONAGEON HAKBON, MIL	1004	1 708		
POINT LOOKOUT HARBOR MI	328	328		
PORTAGE LAKE HARBOR, MI	579	579		
PRESQUE ISLE HARBOR MI.	134	4.00		
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MI	9.6	0 10		
SAGINE MI	1,387	1,387		
SAUGATUCK HARBOR, MI	2,042	2,042		
SEBEWAING RIVER (ICE JAM REMOVAL), MI	0	0.0		
COULT DAYBOR TAKEOR, MI	1 400	1 150		
ST JOSEPH HARBOR MI	667	667		
S	21,957	21,957		
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MI	2,426	2,426		
SH.	115	115		
MINNESOTA				
_	209	209		
SUPERIOR HARBOR, MN &	2,480	2,480		
	527	527		
MINNESOTA RIVER, MN	155	155		
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MN.	527	527		
RED LAKE RESERVOIR, MN	3,219	3,219		

R\$GGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	D MAINTENAN	4CE	11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 10
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET	CONFERENCE	
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MN	64	64	
BILOXI HARBOR, MS. CLAIDONE COUNTY PORT MS. EAST FORK. COUNTY PORT MS. GULFPORT HARBOR, MS. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MS. MOUTH OF YAZOO RIVER, MS. OKATIBBEE LAKE, MS. FASAGOULA HARBOR, MS. FOSEGAL HARBOR, MS. FOSEGAL HARBOR, MS.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 108 1 108 2 1 108	
MISSOURI			
CARUHERSVILLE MARBOR MO. CLARENCE CANNON DAM AND MARK TWAIN LAKE MO. CLEARRY'S TRUNKN DAM AND RESERVOIR, MO. HARRY'S TRUNKN DAM AND RESERVOIR, MO. LITTLE BLUE RIVER LAKE'S MO. MISS RIVER BYNN THE OHIO AND MO RIVERS (REG WORKS) MO. NEW MARID HARBOR, MO. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MO. SMITHVILLE LAKE, MO. SMITHVILLE LAKE, MO. SMITHVILLE LAKE, MO. SMITHVILLE LAKE, MO. SMOTHERST LAKE, MO. SMOTHERST MASSISSIPPI RIVER, MO. MAPPAPLLO LAKE, MO. MAPPAPLLO LAKE, MO. MAPPAPLLO LAKE, MO. MAPPAPLLO RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MT. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MT. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MT. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MT.	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
GAVINA POINT DAW, LEWIS AND CLARK LAKE, NE & SD. HARLAN COUNTY LAKE, NE. HARLAN COUNTY LAKE, NE. HARLAN COUNTY LAKE, NE. HARLAN COMPLETED WORKS, NE. MISSOURI R MASTER WITR CONTOKE, NE. IA, KS, MO, MISSOURI NATIONAL RIVER.	7,184 2,379 150 150	7, 184 2, 379 150 900 250	

REDGEOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	ND MAINTENA	<b>VCE</b>	11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 11
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET	CONFERENCE	
MISSOURI RIVER BASIN COLLABORATIVE WATER PLANNING, NE. PAPILLION CREEK & TRIBUTARIES LAKES, NE. SALT CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, NE. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR DEVADA	250 678 796 106	250 678 796 106	
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NV. MARTIS CREEK LAKE, NV. PINE AND MATHEWS CARYONS LAKES, NV. NEW HAMPSHIRE	37 532 181	37 532 181	
BLACKWATER DAM, NH EDWARD MACDOWEL LAKE, NH FRANKLIN FALLS DAM, NH HORKINTON - EVERETT LAKES, NH OTTER BROOK LAKE, NH SURRY MOUNTAIN LAKE, NH SURRY MOUNTAIN LAKE, NH NAWHEREN, NH SURRY MOUNTAIN LAKE, NH NAWHEREN	361 396 402 472 111 186	361 3994 9094 971 470 485	
BARNEGAT INLET NJ STATE SEA NJ PA & DE COLO SPRING INLET NJ PA LODE DELAWARE RIVER PHILADELPHIA TO THE SEA NJ PA & DE DELAWARE RIVER PHILADELPHIA TO THE SEA NJ PA & DE DELAWARE RAYER PHILADELPHIA TO THE SEA NJ PA & DE STATEN RAITAN RIVER NJ SARIAN RIVER NJ SALEM RIVER NJ SALE	1 270 1 246 3 2356 1 356 1 165 1 191 1 191	2 9 8 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	·
ABIGUIU DAM, NM. COCHII LAKE, NM. GOCHII LAKE, NM. GALISTEO DAM, NM. GALISTEO DAM, NM. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NM. JEMEZ CANYON DAM, NM. SANTA ROSA DAM AND LAKE, NM. SCHEDULING RESENVOIR OPERATIONS, NM. UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL.  NEW YORK	1,198 1,1926 1,1926 1,150 1,03 1,03 1,03 1,03 1,03 1,03 1,03 1,0	1, 1928 1, 1956 1, 1956 1, 1956 103 103 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105	
ALMOND LAKE, NY	451	451	

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET	CONFERENCE
 		, ! ! ! ! !
	228	228
BAY KIDGE AND KED HOCK CHANNELS, NY	100,0	1 053
יוסמיטון עסייטויטייסיי	22	200
	1,425	1,425
:	200	200
CATTARAUGUS CREEK HARBOR, NY	200	200
DUNKIRK HARBOR, NY	210	
. ≥	250	250
EAST SIDNEY LAKE NY.	463	463
	2,000	2,000
CAES LA	0.00	0000
FLUSHING BAY AND CREEK NY	372	325
	125	125
	200	2007
;≱	200	200
	2.575	2.575
D WORKS,		808
CAMAICA BAY, NY	250	250
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		7,200
LONG ISLAND INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY NY	200	38 28
	220	220
	200	2 070
Y CHANNELS	•	•
REMOVAL), NY & NJ	4,955	4,955
NTION OF OR		
NEW YORK HARBOR, NY	6,105	6,105
:	ה ה ה	900
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NY	1,706	1,706
:	815	815
	800	908
	100	100
Q.	728	728
SICKGEON FOLKI HARBOR, NY	26.5	26.0
	70	22
WHITNEY POINT LAKE, NY	542	542
NORTH CAROLINA		
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NC	1,346	1,346
DGUE INLET AND CHANNEL.	550	220

COMPS OF ENGINEERS - OFERALION AND MAINIEMANCE	NU MAINIENA	Č.	FG: 1-	8/24/39 PAC	ť
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET	CONFERENCE		           	i
CAPE FEAR RIVER ABOVE WILMINGTON, NC. CAROLINA BEACH INLET, NC. FALLS LAKE, NC.	707 1,346 1,029	707 1,346 1,029			
UNSFECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NC. LOCKWOODS FOLLY RIVER, NC. MANTEO (SHALLDWBAG) BAY, NC.	22 380 4,998	222 3880 4.998			
MASONBORD INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC	3,709 825	3,709 825			
NEW TOPSAIL INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC. AMALICO AND TAR RIVERS, NC. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NC.	210 139 59	210 139 59			
ROANDKE RIVER, NC. W KERR SCOTT DAM AND RESERVOIR, NC. WILBINGTON HARBOR, NC.	100 1,660 6,431	1,660 6,431			
NORTH DAKOTA					
BOWMAN - HALEY LAKE, ND	7,997	204			
HOMME LAKE, ND	174	174			
PIPESTEM LAKE, ND SOURIS RIVER, ND	1,460 802 368	1,460 802 368			
0H10					
ALTABULA HARBOR, OH	667 845	667 845			
BERLIN LAKE, OH	4,503	4,503			
CLARENCE J BROWN DAM, OH.	719	5,535			
CONNEAUT HARBOR, OH	1,352	1,352			
DELAWARE LAKE, OH	1.917	1.917			
FAIRPORT HARBOR, OH.	481 840	8481 1040			
LOSAIN HARBOR, OH	790	780			
MICHAEL J KIRWAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, OH.	1,200	1,200			
MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES, OH. MORTH RRANCH KOKORING RIVER LAKE OH.	7,078	7,078			
PAINT CREEK LAKE, OH PORTWAUDTH HARBOR, OH PROJECT CONDITION SHYEYS, OH	673 80 74	673 80 74			

R\$OGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	ND MAINTENA	Щ. Q	11:53	9/24/99 PAGE	# <b>7</b>
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET	CONFERENCE			
ROCKY RIVER, OH. ROTECTION PROJECT. OH.	340	1,400			
SANDUSKY HARBOR, OH	1,037	1,037			
TOLEDO HARBOR, OH.	3,385	3,385			
WEST FORK OF MILL CREEK LAKE, OH	574 856	574 856			
OKLAHOMA					
ARCADIA LAKE, OK	403	403			
BIRCH LAKE, OK	1,508	1,508			
CANDY LAKE, OK.	300	2 487			
COPAN LAKE, OK.	1,020	1,020			
EUFAULA LAKE, OK.	7,366	7,366			
FORT SUPPLY LAKE, OK	751	751			
GREAT SALT PLAINS LAKE, OK	259 697	259 697			
ě	1,404	1,404			
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OK	4 00 - t-				
KAW LAKE, OK	2,740	2,740			
OOLOGAH LAKE, OK	2,947	3,447			
PENSACOLA RESERVOIR, LAKE OF THE CHEROKEES, OK	32	32			
PINE CREEK LAKE, OK	1,414	1,414			
SARDIS LAKE, OK	1.287	1,287			
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, OK	1.084	1.084			
TENKILLER FERRY LAKE, OK.	3,400	3,400			
WEBBERS FALLS LOCK AND DAM, OK	3,066	3,066			
WISTER LAKE, OK	B/0	6/9			
OREGON					
APPLEGATE LAKE, OR.	872 297	872 297			
CHETCO RIVER, OR	442	442			
COLUMBIA & LWR MILLMEILE N BIM VANCOUREN, WA & PUNILY COLUMBIA RIVER AT THE MOUTH, OR & WA AND THE DALLES; O COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN VANCOUVER, WA AND THE DALLES; O COOS BAY OR.	7.426 7.426 4,112	7,476 7,426 356 4,112			

(\$0GP0C CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	ND MAINTENA	, ce	11:53	9/24/99 PAGE	7 5
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET	CONFERENCE	 		1
COQUILLE RIVER, OR	434	434			
COTTAGE GROVE LAKE, OR	913	⊕ 013 003			
DEPOE BAY. OR	178	178			
DETROIT LAKE, OR.	609	609			
DORENA LAKE, OR.	226	556			
TALL CAREN DAY ON THE OB	953	000			
GREEN PETER - FOSTER LAKES, OR	1,001	1,001			
HILLS CREEK LAKE, OR.	334	eo.			
Σ.	163	163			
JOHN DAY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	3,450	0.44.			
LOST CREEK AKE OR	3.594	500			
MCNARY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	4, 501	4,501			
PORT ORFORD, OR.	737	787			
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, UK	750	75.0			
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS. OR.	105	- - - - - - - - -			
SIUSLAW RIVER OR	808	608			
SKIPANON CHANNEL, OR	1,013	1,013			
SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OR	,	٠,			
INTERPORT BY OR OR OR	1 254	1 254			
WILLAMETTE RIVER AT WILLAMETTE FALLS OR	51.0	4-100			
WILLAMETTE RIVER BANK PROTECTION, OR	99	996			
WILLOW CREEK LAKE, ORYAQUINA BAY AND HARBOR, OR	3,691	4,000			
PENNSYLVANIA					
ALLEGHENY RIVER. PA.	9,789	9.789			
ALVIN R BUSH DAM, PA	749	749			
AYLESWORTH CREEK LAKE, PA	232	232			
BELLE LAKE PA.	900	3 8/2			
DELOG MAKNOT LANE FOR TOWN TOWN THE PART OF	2007	940			
NE SO	1,824	1,824			
REEK	2,312	2,312			
CURWENSVILLE LAKE, PA	6669	000			
ERIE HARBOR. PA.	123	- 23			
FOSTER JOSEPH SAYERS DAM, PA	712	712			
FRANCIS E WALTER DAM, PA	796 248	796 248			
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, PA	143	143			
:₹	1,388	1,388			
MAHONING CREEK LAKE DA	1,086	1,086 879			
	;	,			

R\$0GPOC

CONFERENCE	12.395 9.86 9.35 3.900 2.121 2.121 1.968 2.65	3,906		6.853 6.44 2.23 8.091 1.30 10.812 61 5.704
BUDGET	2,395 9,866 13,967 2,565 12,1 1,960	3,906	3,391 5,779 3,375 2,26 4,064 1,424 1,424 3,16 3,16 3,16 3,16 3,16 3,16 3,16 3,16	6,853 8,021 8,021 13 130 10,812 6,157 5,704
PROJECT TITLE	MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA. PROJECT CONDITION SURYEYS, PA. PROMPTON LACE, PA. PROMPTON LACE, PA. RAYSTOWN LACE, PA. RAYSTOWN LACE, PA. SCHUYKALL RIVER, PA. SHENANGO RIVER LAKE, PA. SHENANGO RIVER LAKE, PA. SHENANGO RIVER LAKES, PA. LIONESTA LAKE, PA. UNION CITY LAKE, PA. WOODCOCK CREK LAKE, PA. RHODE ISLAND	BLOCK ISLAND HARBOR OF REFUGE, RI PROVIDENCE RIVER AND HARBOR, RI	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY. SC. CHARLESTON HARBON, SC. CHARLESTON HARBON, SC. FOLDER RIVER, CHARLESTON HARBON, SC. FOLDER TOWN HARBON, SC. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS SC. PORT WATER HARBON, SC. PROJECT COMPLETED WORKS SC. SHIPYARD RIVER, SC. SOUTH DAKOTA	BIG BEND DAW, LAKE SHARPE, SD. COLD BROOK LAKE, SD. COLD SHROKE LAKE, SD. COTTONNOOD SPRINGS. LAKE, SD. COTTONNOOD SPRINGS. LAKE FRANCIS CASE, SD. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SD. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SD. MISSOURT, RETWEEN FORT PECK DAW AND GAVINS PT, SD. MISSOURT, RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, SD. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, SD. TENNESSEE CENTER HILL LAKE, TN. TENNESSEE CENTER HILL LAKE, TN.

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
CHICKAMAUGA LOCK, TN. CORDICL HULL DAM, AND RESERVOIR, TN DALE HOLLOW LAKE, TN. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED, WORKS, TN. DIN THOCKEN LOCK AND DAM, TN. TENNESSEE RIVER TN. WOLF RIVER HARBOR, TN.	4, 220 4, 200 4, 200 3, 396 6, 006 16, 123	2,800 4,220 4,220 3,506 6,151 16,153 388
TEXAS		
LAKE, TXS - RED RIVER BASIN	1,242	602
TX CHANK	1.436	436
HANNEL.	1,625	1,625
		1,896
BRAZOS ISLAND HARBOR, TX	1,062 2,034	1,062
LAKE, TX		2,265
LINGEN. TX	•	1,131
CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX	4,690	4,690
TEXOMA, TX	6,728	6,728
PROJECT, TX	14 2 2 8 8	4 E C C
X	5,100	6,100
GALVESTON HARBOR AND CHANNEL, TX	1,985	1,985 315
(E, TX		1,652
:	2,267	2,267
	;-	1,201
NEL, TX		6,416
אור ה	1.045	1.045
POOL LAKE,	740	740
:		2 390
	3,123	3, 123
POINT COMFORT TURNING		100
2	2,950	2,950
RO MILLS LAKE, TX	1.456	1,456
TOUGH DAM AND TAKE IX	4.00	- , u
Š	1,974	1,974
S R	1,490	1,490

R\$OGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	O MAINTENAN	CE	11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 18	
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET	CONFERENCE		į
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TX RAY BABINE BERISLAND SURVEYS, TX SAM RAYBURN DAM AND RESERVOIR TX SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, TX STILLHOUSE HOLLOW DAM, TX STILLHOUSE HOLLOW BAN TX STILLHOUSE HOLLOW BAN TX RAND TAKE TX WACU LAKE TX WALLISYLLE LAKE TX WALLISYLLE LAKE TX WALISYLLE LAKE TX WALISYLLE TX	22 23 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	25 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, UT	63 414	63 414		
BALL MOUNTAIN LAKE, VT BURLINGTON HARDES BREAKHTER, VT NARROWS OF LAKE CHAMPLAIN, VT & NY NORTH HARTLAND LAKE, VT NORTH SPRINGFIELD LAKE, VT TOWNSHEND LAKE, VT VILLAGE DAM, VT	703 160 536 631 724 520	- 703 630 631 631 724 728		
APPOMATIOX RIVER, VA. WATERWAY VA. ATLANITG INTRACOGSTAL WATERWAY VA. GHANNITG INTRACOGSTAL WATERWAY VA. GHANNITG INNET VA. GANNICL TO NEWPORT NEWS. VA. GANNICL TO NEWPORT NEWS. VA. GANNICL NEWPORT NEWS. VA. JAME REGE CHANNEL, VA. JAME REGER CHANNEL, VA. JAME REGER VA. JAME AND REVENTION OF DBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS) V. JAME REGER VA. PRICAN RIVER AT ALEXANDRIA, VA. POTOMAC RIVER AT MOUNT VERNON, VA. PROJECT CONJITON SURVEYS, VA. RUDEE INLET, VA.	2 - 61- 2 - 61	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		

R\$OGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	D MAINTENA!	*CE	11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 19	_
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET	COONFERENCE		
TANGIER CHANNEL, VA. THIMBLE SHOAL CHANNEL, VA. WATERMAY ON THE COAST OF VIRGINIA, VA.	648 3,347 1,185	648 3,347 1,185		
WASHINGTON				
BELLINGHAM HARBOR, WA	512	212		
COLUMBIA RIVER AT BAKER BAY, WA & OR.	4 50	- 0 € - 0.0		
EVERETT HARBOR AND SNOHOMISH RIVER, WA	1,225	1,225		
FRIDAY HARBOR, WA. GRAYS HARBOR AND CHEHALIS RIVER, WA.	13,150	300		
HOWARD HANSON DAM, WA	1,710	7,710		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WA	177	177		
LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA.	8,530	8,530		
LITTLE GOODS LOCK AND DAM WA	- 20	5 920		
LOWER MONUMENTAL LOCK AND DAM, WA	1,801	1,801		
MILL CREEK LAKE, WA	84 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	0470		
MUD MOUNTAIN DAWN WA	3,157	3,157		
OLYMPIA HARBOR, WA.	927	927		
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WA	1.041	1,00		
QUILLAYUTE RIVER, WA.	1.061	1,061		
SCHEDOLING RESERVOIR OPERALIONS, MA	3.400	3.450		
SEATTLE HARBOR, WA.	727	727		
STILLAGUAMISH RIVER, WA	- - 	- - 05 - 05		
TACOMA, PUYALLUP RIVER, WA	72	72		
THE DALLES LOCK AND DAM, WA & ORWILLAPA RIVER AND HARBOR, WA	2,402	2,402		
WEST VIRGINIA				
BEECH FORK LAKE WV	1.076	1.076		
BLUESTONE LAKE, WV.	1.218	2,000		
BURNSVILLE LAKE, WV.	390	1,390		
EAST LINE LAKE, MV		000,-		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WV.	8 6	480		
RANAWHA HIVEK LUCKK AND DAMS, NV.	1.643	1.643		
STONEWALL JACKSON LAKE, WV.	937			
SUMMERSVILLE LAKE, WV.	.000	1.648		
TYGART LAKE, WV.	1,923	1,923		

RSOGPOC

REGGPOC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	AND MAINTENA!	4CE	11:53 9/24/99 PAGE 20	
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET	CONFERENCE		
MISCONSIA				
ALGOMA HARBOR, WI	107	107		
ASHLAND HARBOR, WI.	195	195		
EAU GALLE RIVER LAKE, WI	685	999		
FOX RIVER, WI.	3,487	3,487		
KENOSHA HARBOR, WI.	496	496		
KEWAUNEE HARBOR, WI.	69	691		
MANITOWOC HARBOR, WI	226	226		
MILWAUKEE HARBOR, WI.	835	8315		
DECINIO HARBOR, WI	2 C			
SHEBOYGAN HARBOR, WI.	230	230		
STURGEON BAY HARBOR & LAKE MICHIGAN SHIP CANAL, WI.	207	507		
TWO RIVERS HARBOR, WI	117	117		
WYOMING				
JACKSON HOLE LEVEES, WY. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WY	1,126	1,126		
MISCELLANEOUS				
COASTAL INLET RESEARCH PROGRAM		2,500		
DREDGE WHEELER READY RESERVE	12,450	000,		
DREDGING OPERATIONS AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH (DOER).		6.500		
DREDGING OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT (DOTS) PROGRAM.		1,500		
HARBOR MAINTENANCE FEE DATA COLLECTION	878	575		
MANAGEMENT TOOLS FOR DAM		2000		
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM		040		
NATIONAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS PROGRAMS (NEEPS)	6,000	5,000		
PERFORMANCE BASED BUDGETING SUPPORT PROGRAM		200.1		
PROTECT, CLEAR AND STRAIGHTEN CHANNELS (SECTION 3) RELIABILITY MODELS PROGRAM FOR MAJOR REHABILITATION		2000		
REMOVAL OF SUNKEN VESSELS		200		
WATERBORNE COMMERCE STATISTICS. WETLANDS FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY.	1.000	4,000		
뇟	1,500	1,000		

RSOGPOC

ENANGE 13:52 9/25/99 PAGE 21	PROJECT TITLE BUDGET CONFERENCE	-19,284 -54,435	1,853,618
R\$GGPGC CORPS OF ENGINEERS - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	PROJECT TITLE BUDGET REQUEST	REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE19,28	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE 1,835,900 1,853,618

٠.

# TITLE II

# DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

# CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT

## CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT

The conference agreement appropriates \$39,370,000 to carry out the provisions of the Central Utah Project Completion Act as proposed by the Senate instead of \$37,190,000 as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement provides that the amount to be deposited into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account shall be \$15,476,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$17,047,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides that the amount available to the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission shall be \$10,476,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$12,047,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides that the amount available for administrative expenses shall be \$1,321,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$1,283,000 as proposed by the House.

# BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Bureau of Reclamation. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

# WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

The conference agreement appropriates \$607,927,000 for Water and Related Resources instead of \$604,910,000 as proposed by the House and \$612,451,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Of the amount provided for the American River Division of the Central Valley Project, \$3,000,000 is for construction of a permanent pumping facility for the Placer County Water Agency, and \$2,900,000 is to initiate construction of a temperature control device at Folsom Dam.

The conference agreement includes final year funding for the Equus Beds Groundwater Recharge Demonstration Project in Kansas.

The conferees direct the Bureau of Reclamation to use available funds to provide additional recreation facilities at Silo Campground on the southern end of the Canyon Ferry Reservoir in Broadwater County in Montana. The expenditure of these resources will be considered as an in-kind contribution to Broadwater County if consistent with Public Law 105–277.

The conference agreement includes \$1,500,000 for the Newlands Water Rights Fund authorized by the Truckee-Carson-Pyramid Lake Water Rights Settlement Act to be utilized to pay for purchasing and retiring water rights in the Newlands Reclamation Project.

The conferees prohibit the use of funds for any water acquisition undertaken by the Bureau of Reclamation for the Middle Rio

Grande or the Carlsbad Projects in New Mexico unless said acquisition is in compliance with the acquisition provisions contained in section 202 of this title for Drought Emergency Assistance.

The conferees are aware of the WateReuse Research Foundation's ongoing efforts to conduct research on the science and technology aspects of water reclamation and encourage the Bureau of Reclamation to provide assistance to support the WateReuse Foundation's research program.

The conference agreement provides that the amount available for transfer to the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund shall be \$24,089,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$24,326,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate extending the authority of the Reclamation States Emer-

gency Drought Relief Act of 1991.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate increasing the authorized level of appropriations for Indian municipal, rural and industrial features of the Garrison Unit Diversion project.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for the Lake Andes-Wagner/Marty II demonstration program. The amount appropriated for Water and Related Resources includes \$150,000 for this program.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for the Walker River Basin, Nevada, project. The amount appropriated for Water and Related Resources includes \$300,000 for this project.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for environmental restoration at Fort Kearny, Nebraska.

## BUREAU OF RECLAMATION LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The conference agreement appropriates \$12,425,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation Loan Program Account as proposed by the House and Senate.

#### CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

The conference agreement appropriates \$42,000,000 for the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund instead of \$47,346,000 as proposed by the House and \$37,346,000 as proposed by the Senate.

## CALIFORNIA BAY-DELTA RESTORATION

The conference agreement appropriates \$60,000,000 for the California Bay-Delta Restoration program instead of \$75,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$50,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides that the amount to be used for ecosystem restoration activities shall be \$30,000,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$45,000,000 as proposed by the House and that the amount to be used for other activities shall be \$30,000,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$20,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides that the amount to be used for planning and management shall not exceed \$5,000,000 instead of \$7,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,500,000 as proposed by the Senate.

## POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement appropriates \$47,000,000 for Policy and Administration instead of \$45,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$49,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

## ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Sec. 201. The conference agreement includes a provision making appropriations available for purchase of not more than six passenger motor vehicles as proposed by the House instead of not more than seven as proposed by the Senate.

SEC. 202. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the Senate imposing limitations on the use of appropriated funds for the leasing of water for specified drought related purposes, amended to limit the use of funds primarily for water leasing instead of exclusively for water leasing.

Provision not included in the conference agreement.—The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate permitting certain investments of advance payments to Indian tribes, tribal organizations, and tribal consortia.

R\$BGPOC BUREAU OF RECLAMATION	LAMATION				11:17 9/24/99 PAGE 1
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	REQUEST FACILITIES OMER	CONFERENCE RESOURCES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT OMSE	RENCE FACILITIES OMSR	
AK CHIN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT COLORADO BANDER BASIN SELLIEMENT ACT PROJECT TREES PROJECT HORSE MESA DEMONSTRATION TO THE STATE AND THE SELECT HORSE MESA DEMONSTRATION AND THE SELECT BASIN SOUTHER ACT ACT PROJECT TRESS RIOS WELARER RECLANDS DEMONSTRATION AND REUSE STUDY VUMA AREA MATER RECLANDS DEMONSTRATION ACT PROJECT CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAMS CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAMS CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAMS CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAMS CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIONS EXPRENDING PROJECT CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIONS EXPRENDING PROJECT CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATIONS EXPRENDING PROJECT CALIFORNIA INVESTIGATION AND THE SELECT PROGRAMS MISCELLANDS DEMONSTRATE DIVISION MISCELLANDS DEMONSTRATE SECLAMATION AND THE SELECT PROGRAMS SAN FELLE AND THE RECLAMATION AND THE SECLAMATION PROJECT COLORADO RIVER FRONT MORK AND LUIS ON IT YIELD FEASIBLITY RECLAMATION AND PROGRAMS MASSING MATER RECLAMATION AND PROGRAMS COLORADO RIVER FRONT WORK AND LUIS ON THE SECLAMATION PROJECT COLORADO RIVER FRONT WORK AND THE RECLAMATION PROJECT COLORADO RIVER FRONT WERE RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROGRAMS SAN DIEGO AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROGRAMS SAN JOSE CAREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROGRAMS SOUTHERN CALLE PROGRAMS SOUTH AND REUSE PROGRAMS SAN JOSE CAREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROGRAMS SAN JOSE CAREA WATER RECLAMAT	27.	6, 99 12, 12 18, 10 18, 10	4-	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	

R\$BGPOC BUREAU OF RECLAMATION	AMATION				11:17	9/24/99 PAGE	Ä 2
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE RESOURCES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT OMSE	FACILITIES OM&R			į
COLORADO							
ANIMAS-LAPLATA PROJECT, SECTIONS 5 AND 8 COLORADO INVESTIGATIONS PROJECT COLORADO INVESTIGATIONS PROJECT FRITAGROMERS DAM PROJECT FRYINGPAN-ARKANSA PROJECT FRYINGPAN-ARKANSA PROJECT FRYINGPAN-ARKANSA PROJECT FRYINGPAN-ARKANSA PROJECT FRYINGPAN-ARKANSA PROJECT FRYINGPAN-ARKANSA PROJECT FRYINGPAN-BAN IN TORBSCP MAKE AND FROJECT FRYINGPAN FROJECT FRIE RIVER FROJECT FRIE RIVER FROJECT FRIE RIVER FROJECT FRIE FROJECT FRIE RIVER FROJECT FRIE FROM FROJECT FRIE FROM FROJECT FRIE FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM	. 6 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0	7 4 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 49 1 2 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6			
IDAHO							
BOTSE AREA PROJECTS. COLUMBIA-SUAKE RIVER SALMON RECOVERY PROJECT DRAIN WATER MANAGEMENT STUDY. FORT HALL INDIAN RESERVATION. IDAHO INVESTIGATIONS RESERVATION. HEWISTON ORGARANS. RESERVATIONS TROUGH AND MINIDOKA AREA PROJECTS. MINIDOKA AREA PROJECTS. MINIDOKA AREA PROJECTS.	2,385 13,122 200 200 363 4,030 315	2,726	2,385 9,500 2200 250 325 4,030	2,726			
KANSAS							
EQUUS BEDS GROUNDWATER RECHARGE DEMONSTRATION PROJECT. KANSAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	1401	2112	423 400 	219			
MONTANA							
FORT PECK RUBAL WATER SYSTEM, MT HUNGRY HORSE PROJECT MILK RIVERSOLECT MONTANA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM ROCKY BOYS INDIAN WIR RIGHTS SETTLEMENT STUDY	69 145 1,000	353	3,000 69 117 250 500	353			
NEBRASKA							
MIRAGE FLATS PROJECT	150	28	150	28			

R\$BGPGC BUREAU OF RECLAMATION	AMATION				11:17 9/24/99 PAGE	e
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE RESOURCES FACI MANAGEMENT O	FACILITIES OMBR		į
NEVADA						
LAHONTAN BASIN PROJECT. LAKE MEAD AND LAS VEGAS WASH NEWLANDS WATER RIGHTS FUND WALKER RIVER BASIN PROJECT	6,352	1.098	6,652 1,400 1,500	1,098		
NEW MEXICO						
CARLEAD PROJECT PROJECT MADELE RIO GRANDE REALLD WATER SUPPLY PROJECT PECOS RIVER BASIN WATER SALVAGE PROJECT RIO GRANDE PROJECT SAN JUAN RIVER BASIN INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM SO NEW MEXICO/WEST FEAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM UPPER RIO GRANDE BASIN INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM VERENCE ACADE CATALONS PROGRAM VERENCE ACADE CORRADE CATALONS PROGRAM VERENCE CATALONS P	2,012 2,010 769 769 254 2,313	9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 250 2 250 2 250 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	9. 2 7. 26.6 8. 26.6 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		
NORTH DAKOTA						
DAKOTA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM, DAKOTA TRIBES INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM GARRISON DIVERSION UNIT, -988BP.	200 150 26,849	180	100 150 27,849	180		
OKLAHOMA						
ARBUCKE PROJECT  WOREE CREEK PROJECT  WOUNTAIN PARK PROJECT  NORMAN PROJECT  OKLAHOMA INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM  W.C. AUSTIN PROJECT  WASHITA BASIN PROJECT	11011	161 2530 1555 255 255 640	184	161 2530 1556 625 64		
OREGON						
CROOKED RIVER PROJECT DESCHUTES PROJECT DESCHUTES PROJECT GRANDE ROUBE WATER OPTIMIZATION STUDY KLAMATH PROJECT GRANDE WATER OPTIMIZATION STUDY GRANDE WATER PROJECT MALHEUR/OWNER/PROMER/PURNT RIVER BASINS ORGANI RIVEST BASIN PROJECT TOWN TO THE RASIN PROJECT TOWN TO THE REAL TO THE	1, 105 1, 106 12, 390 12, 390 165 165 165 36 36	297 122 292 292 292 292 676 97	105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105	292 122 292 292 626 626 97 1,270		

R\$BGPOC BUREAU OF RECLAMATION	AMATION				11:17 9/24/99 PAGE	4
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	CONFERENCE RESOURCES FACI MANAGEMENT OI	RENCE FACILITIES OMBR		
SOUTH DAKOTA						
LAKE ANDES - WAGNER/WARTY II MID-DAKOTA RUBAL WATER PROJECT MNI WICONI PROJECT RAPID CITY WASTEWATER REUSE STUDY RAPID VALLEY PROJECT TEXAS	5,000 23,873 50	5,627 23	14,000	5,527 23		
CANADIAN RIVER PROJECT EL PASO WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE NUEES RIVER PROJECT PALMITO BEND PROJECT SAN ANGELO PROJECT TEXAS INVESTIGATIONS UTAH	3 9 0	124 3387 2587 1255	, 10011112 10011110	124  387 387 265		
HYAUM PROJECT MOON LAKE DROJECT NOWTHEN UTAL INVESTIGATIONS PROOF RIVER PROJECT SOOFIELD PROJECT SOOFIELD PROJECT TIRAN UTAL INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM SOOFIELD PROJECT TIRANBERN UTAL INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM TIRANBERN UTAL INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM WEBER RIVER PROJECT WEBER RIVER PROJECT WASHINGTON	4-1004 4-0004 4-0000 00000 0000 1400 140	2   1   2   1   2   1   2   2   2   2	4 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2	21 1 2 2 8 8 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1		
COLUMBIA BASIN PROJECTWIER SUPPLY FEAS. STUDY	5,030 100 50 410 491 11,734	7,635	5,030 200 10,480	7, 536		
KENDRICK PROJECT NORTH PLATE PROJECT SHOSHONE PROJECT WYOMING INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	4 8 8 8 0 C	4,642 1,642 859	4 88 8 8	4,642 1,1642 859		

ı)																												
-,																												
9/24/99 PAGE																						٠						
11:17																												
	CONFERENCE RESOURCES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT OM&R		1 6	305		-	306			3,892	1 1	     	910	     	1	24.593	550		! !	1,600					40/	     	11	-
	CONFE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT		12,300	7,650	2.000	3,000	3,000	15,118	1,500	11	1,700	8,540	1 6	7,680	884	3.174	1,023	4,696	1,891		0.00		216	1,000	257	2,214	6,600	3,595
	REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R		1 1	4 1		1	308			3,892	1 1		910		-	24.593	642			1,600				1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	40/			-
RECLAMATION	BUDGET RESOURCES MANAGEMENT		-	10,650				-				13,540							2,053			i –	220	÷		-'0	100 8,836	ď.
BUREAU OF RECL	PROJECT TITLE	VARIOUS	COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL, TITLE II	COLORADO RIVER STORAGE, SECTION 8, RF&W	COLORADO RIVER WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT	DROUGHT EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE	EFFICIENCY INCENTIVES PROGRAM	ENDANGERED SPECIES RECOVERY IMPLEMENT PROGRAM.	ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION	EXAMINATION OF EXISTING STRUCTURES	GENERAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES.	LOWER COLORADO RIVER OPERATIONS PROGRAM	MISCELLANEOUS FLOOD CONTROL OPERATIONS	NATIVE AMERICAN AFFAIRS PROGRAM	NEGOTIATION AND ADMINISTRATION OF WATER MARKETING	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	POWER PROGRAM SERVICES	RECLAMATION LAW ADMINISTRATION.	RECLAMATION RECREATION MANAGEMENT - TITLE XXVIII	SAFELT OF DAMS: DEPARTMENT DAM SAFETY PROGRAM	SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY:	DESALINATION RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	HYDOROGICARIO INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION/ENHANCE	WATERSHOOP SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	SOIL AND MOISTURE CONSERVATION	TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO STATESTILE XVI WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE STUDY	UNITED STATES/MEXICO BORDER ISSUES - TECH SUPPORT WATER MANAGEMENT AND CONSERVATION PROGRAM	WETLANDS DEVELOPMENT

R\$BGPOC

<b>6</b>	 		
11:17 9/24/99 PAGE			
11:17			
	CONFERENCE RESOURCES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT OMER	-46,000	212.349
	CONF RESOURCES MANAGEMENT		395,678
	BUDGET REQUEST RESOURCES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT OM&R	30,80046,000	409,199 243,639 395,678 212,349
AMATION	BUDGET RESOURCES MANAGEMENT		409, 199
R\$BQPOC BUREAU OF RECLAMATION	PROJECT TITLE RESOURCES FACILITIES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT OWAR MANAGEMENT COMER	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION BASED ON ANTICIPATED DELAYS	TOTAL, WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

11:17 9/24/99 PAGE 7					
+	RENCE FACILITIES OMER			; i	
	CONFERENCE RESOURCES FACIL MANAGEMENT ON		2,600 11,700 6,408 1,175	425	12,425
	BUDGET REQUEST RESOURCES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT OM&R				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
AMATION	BUDGET RESOURCES MANAGEMENT		2,600 117 1,700 6,408 1,175	425	12,425
R\$BGPOC BUREAU OF RECLAMATION	PROJECT TITLE RESOURCES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT FACILITIES MANAGEMENT FACILITIES	LOAN PROGRAM CALIFORNIA	CASTROVILLE IRRIGATION WATER SUPPLY PROJECT SALING BASIN DESALING PROJECT SALING VALLEY MATER RECLAMATION SEXINE CREEK WATER PROJECT TEMESCAL VALLEY PROJECT	VARIOUS  LOAN ADMINISTRATION	TOTAL, LOAN PROGRAM

## TITLE III

# DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Department of Energy. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

#### HOUSE AND SENATE VIEWS

The reports accompanying the House and Senate passed bills include strongly held views of each body regarding the Department of Energy. The conferees have resolved all differences between the two bodies related to funding and where specific direction or requirements are provided. However, the conferees have not attempted to reconcile those portions of the reports that express the opinion of either body.

For example, the House and Senate reports express differing views on the external regulation of the Department's facilities. The conferees have not addressed this difference of opinion. However, where funding is involved, as it is with regard to providing funding within the Office of Environment, Safety and Health for external regulation, the conferees have agreed not to eliminate such funds as proposed by the Senate.

In cases where both the House report and Senate report address a particular issue not specifically addressed in the conference report or joint statement of managers, the conferees have determined that the House and Senate reports are not inconsistent and are to be interpreted accordingly.

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

The conferees expect the Department to reduce field office staffing by five percent from the current fiscal year 1999 aggregate levels. These reductions are not to be prorated, but should be based on an analysis of staffing needs at each individual office.

### EXTERNAL INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENTS OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

The conferees agree that none of the funds provided for fiscal year 2000 construction projects may be obligated until an external, independent assessment of the baseline cost and schedule has been performed and provided to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations for review. The Department is also directed to improve the corrective action plans prepared in response to these external reviews. The quality of the corrective action plans received by the Committees on Appropriations has been marginal at best.

#### CONTRACTOR TRAVEL

The conference agreement includes a statutory provision limiting reimbursement of Department of Energy management and operating contractors for travel expenses to not more than \$150,000,000 and requiring contractor travel to be consistent with the rules and regulations for Federal employees. This reduction is not to be prorated, but should be applied to those organizations which appear to have the most egregious travel practices. This is

not meant to restrict trips between laboratories to coordinate on program issues. The conferees are particularly concerned with the number of trips by laboratory employees to Washington, D.C., and the expense and excessive number of laboratory employees who travel to Russia.

The Department is also directed to ensure that reimbursements for contractor travel shall not exceed those costs which would be allowed for travel by employees of the Federal government. The conferees are aware that there is a cost difference because contractors cannot receive government rates for certain travel expenses. However, the regulations should ensure that contractors are not allowed to charge the government for business class or first class travel expenses, hotels which exceed the government per diem allowance, and other expenses and benefits such as the personal use of frequent flier miles which are not allowed if the traveler is a Federal employee. Guidelines that provide for deviations from Federal travel regulations may be approved by the Secretary.

## AUGMENTING FEDERAL STAFF

The conferees agree that a reduction is required in the number of Department of Energy management and operating contractors who are assigned to the Washington metropolitan area. Funding for management and operating contractors has been reduced by \$15,000,000. The conference agreement endorses the Department's proposed management plan to address this problem and to limit the current assignments to not more than 270 positions in fiscal year 2000. Those positions must perform functions that are highly technical and directly related to laboratory missions. Additionally, the Washington contractor offices (currently 13 for 9 laboratories) should be consolidated into one or two workplaces unless the Department finds that all of the offices can be eliminated by locating them in Department of Energy office space.

The conference agreement adopts the report requirement proposed by the House. This report, which is due on January 31, 2000, is to be augmented to include the status of the Department's proposed management reforms.

### REPROGRAMMINGS

The conference agreement does not provide the Department of Energy with any internal reprogramming flexibility in fiscal year 2000 unless specifically identified by the House, Senate, or conference agreement. Any reallocation of new or prior year budget authority or prior year deobligations must be submitted to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations in advance, in writing, and may not be implemented prior to approval by the Committees.

#### LABORATORY DIRECTED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The conference agreement modifies the current laboratory directed research and development (LDRD) program by reducing the allowable cost from six percent to four percent of the funds provided to the laboratories. None of the funds provided to laboratories for environmental cleanup activities may be taxed for LDRD purposes.

## COMPUTER SECURITY

The conference agreement does not withhold funding for information management systems as proposed by the House.

# ADDITIONAL DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY REQUIREMENTS

The conferees agree with the House report language on improving project management in the Department of Energy and overhead costs reviews, and the Senate report language on personnel security.

# GENERAL REDUCTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMMODATE SPECIFIC PROGRAM DIRECTIONS

The Department is directed to provide a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by January 31, 2000, on the actual application of any general reductions of funding or use of prior year balances contained in the conference agreement. In general, such reductions should not be applied disproportionately against any program, project, or activity. However, the conferees are aware there may be instances where proportional reductions would adversely impact critical programs and other allocations may be necessary.

# ENERGY SUPPLY

The conference agreement appropriates \$644,937,953 instead of \$615,317,304 as proposed by the House or \$721,233,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include the Senate bill language providing \$15,000,000 for civilian research and development.

## SOLAR AND RENEWABLE RESOURCES TECHNOLOGIES

The conference agreement provides \$362,240,000 instead of \$356,450,000 as proposed by the House or \$353,900,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Solar building technology research.—The conference agreement includes \$2,000,000, the amount provided by the Senate, instead of \$2,810,000 as proposed by the House. The conference agreement includes \$1,700,000 for technology development and \$300,000 for quality assurance.

Photovoltaic systems research and development.—The conference agreement includes \$69,847,000, instead of \$72,977,000 as proposed by the House or \$66,847,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within the \$67,000,000 provided to the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, the conferees have provided \$27,000,000 for advanced materials and devices, \$15,309,000 for fundamental research, and \$24,691,000 for collector research and systems development program of which up to \$1,500,000 may be used for "million solar roofs" activities. From the amount provided, the conferees have provided \$1,000,000 for the Materials Science Center in Tempe, Arizona.

Concentrating solar power systems.—The conference agreement includes \$15,410,000, the same amount as the House, instead of \$15,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have pro-

vided \$5,000,000 for distributed power system development, \$5,000,000 for dispatchable power system development, and \$2,900,000 for advanced component and system research. No funds have been provided here for strategic alliances and market awareness activities. The conferees have included \$2,500,000 for research and development for the U.S.-manufactured 22kw dish sterling program.

Biomass/biofuels research and development.—The conference agreement includes \$98,740,000, instead of \$98,960,000 as proposed by the House or \$99,690,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided \$26,740,000 for research to be managed by the Office of Science, the same as the amount in the budget request. The conference agreement includes \$32,500,000 for power systems and \$39,500,000 for the transportation program. The conferees have provided up to \$1,000,000 for the regional biomass program to be derived from the power program. The conferees have not included the House provision prohibiting further funding of the Vermont gasification project. The conference agreement includes up to \$5,000,000 for the final Federal contribution to this facility. The conferees have provided \$1,000,000 for the Consortium for Plant Biotechnology Research, to be derived from the power program. The conferees have included the House provision providing up to \$6,000,000 for the multi-agency biomass program. The Department is directed to include a competitive solicitation for projects that meet criteria for funding under the Department's unique role in this multi-agency effort.

The conference agreement does not include funds for the Vermont agriculture methane project or the Southern Illinois University project as provided in the Senate report. The conferees have included up to \$500,000 for the P-series fuel project at the University of Louisville. The conferees have not included any other new projects in the transportation program. The conferees note that the Department has funded several biomass energy projects during recent years whose timelines have been delayed for various reasons. The conferees believe it is time for the Department to complete these projects and related activities before initiating new projects. Accordingly, funds are included for the completion and/or termination costs of previously funded biomass projects. The conferees have provided \$3,000,000 for the Michigan Biotechnology Institute (MBI), to be derived equally from the power and transportation programs. The conferees direct that the Department and MBI submit a spending plan to the Committees on Appropriations for approval no later than November 30, 1999.

Wind energy research and development.—The conference agreement includes \$33,283,000, instead of \$31,243,000 as proposed by the House or \$34,283,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided \$283,000 for research to be managed by the Office of Science, the same as the budget request. Within the \$33,000,000 provided to the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, \$13,500,000 is for applied research, the same amount as the budget request. The conference agreement does not include prescriptive language specifying allocations as included in the Senate Report.

Renewable energy production incentive.—The conference agreement includes \$1,500,000, the amount of the budget request and

the amount provided by the Senate, instead of \$2,610,000 as pro-

posed by the House.

Solar program support.—The conference agreement includes \$5,000,000, a \$3,000,000 increase over the amount provided by the House and Senate. The conferees have included the House proposal to provide \$1,000,000 for electricity restructuring activities and \$1,000,000 for feasibility studies in preparation for a competitive solicitation. The conferees have provided an additional \$3,000,000 for the Department to conduct distributed power system integration research and development. This effort is to be part of the competitive solicitation program and shall include modeling, field testing and analyses to determine the best means of integrating distributed power resources, including renewable energy, combined heat and power, and hybrid systems into the electricity system in a manner that enhances reliability, safety and power quality.

International solar energy.—The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000 instead of \$4,950,000 as provided by the House or \$3,000,000 as provided by the Senate. Of this amount, \$3,000,000 is to be provided expeditiously to International Utility Efficiency Partnerships, Inc. (IUEP). IUEP shall competitively award all projects, continuing its leadership role in reducing carbon dioxide

emissions using voluntary market-based mechanisms.

National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL).—The conference agreement includes \$1,100,000, the amount of the budget request, as proposed by the Senate instead of \$2,800,000 as pro-

posed by the House.

Geothermal technology development.—The conference agreement includes \$24,000,000, the amount provided by the Senate, instead of \$24,310,000 as proposed by the House. The conference agreement includes \$6,000,000 for exploration research and development and \$5,500,000 for drilling technology research and development

opment.

Hydrogen research and development.—The conference agreement includes \$27,970,000, instead of \$24,730,000 as proposed by the House and \$29,970,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided \$2,970,000 for research to be managed by the Office of Science, the same as the amount in the budget request. The conference agreement does not include the specific funding items listed in the Senate report except for \$250,000 for the carbon dioxide/hydrogen production gas reforming facility in Nevada and \$350,000 for the Montana Trade Port Authority in Billings, Montana.

Hydropower.—The conference agreement includes \$5,000,000 as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$2,760,000 as proposed by the House. The amount provided is exclusively for cost-shared re-

search and development of "fish-friendly" turbines.

Renewable Indian energy resources.—The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000, the same amount as proposed by the Senate, instead of no funds as proposed by the House. The conferees have provided funds in accordance with the Senate report, except that \$1,000,000 is provided for the Nome diesel upgrade instead of the Kotzebue wind project.

Electric energy systems and storage.—The conference agreement includes \$38,410,000, instead of \$38,910,000 as proposed by

the House or \$33,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided \$31,910,000 for high-temperature superconducting research and development, \$3,500,000 for energy storage systems and \$3,000,000 to support a national laboratory/utility industry partnership to conduct research on reliability of the nation's electricity infrastructure including the impact of electricity restructuring on safety and reliability. The conference agreement includes \$500,000 for the distributed power demonstration project at the Nevada Test Site instead of \$1,000,000 as provided in the Senate report.

Program direction.—The conference agreement includes \$17,720,000, the same amount provided by the House, instead of

\$17,750,000 as proposed by the Senate.

## NUCLEAR ENERGY

The conference agreement provides \$288,700,000, instead of \$265,700,000 as proposed by the House or \$297,700,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Advanced radioisotope power systems.—The conference agreement includes \$34,500,000, instead of \$32,000,000 as provided by the House or \$37,000,000 as provided by the Senate.

Test reactor area landlord.—The conference agreement includes \$9,000,000, the same amount as proposed by the House and the Senate.

University reactor fuel assistance and support.—The conference agreement includes \$12,000,000, the same amount provided by the House and the Senate.

Nuclear energy plant optimization.—The conference agreement includes \$5,000,000, the same amount provided by the House and the Senate. The conferees direct that the Department ensure that projects are funded jointly with non-Federal partners and that total non-Federal contributions are equal to or in excess of total Department contributions to projects funded in this program.

Nuclear energy research initiative.—The conferees have provided \$22,500,000 for the nuclear energy research initiative, instead of \$25,000,000 as recommended by the Senate or \$20,000,000

as recommended by the House.

Civilian research and development.—The conference agreement includes \$9,000,000, instead of no funding as recommended by the House and \$15,000,000 as provided by the Senate. The conferees direct that funding be provided in accordance with the Department's Roadmap for Developing ATW Technology and encourage international participation and cooperation in the program.

Fast Flux Test Facility.—The conference agreement provides \$28,000,000 as proposed by the Senate, instead of \$30,000,000 as

proposed by the House.

Termination costs.—The conference agreement provides \$80,000,000 as provided by the Senate, instead of \$75,000,000 as provided by the House. The conference agreement provides the full amount of the budget request to complete draining and processing EBR-II primary sodium. The conferees direct the Department to notify the Committees immediately if any issues arise that would delay the Department's plan to complete these activities as stated in the budget justification documents. If additional funds are re-

quired, the Department should send a reprogramming request to the Committees as expeditiously as possible.

Uranium programs.—The conference agreement includes \$43,500,000, instead of \$40,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$39,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided an additional \$3,987,000 to address worker and public health and safety concerns at the gaseous diffusion plant sites.

Isotope support.—The conference agreement includes \$20,500,000, instead of \$18,000,000 as proposed by the House or \$23,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have included \$7,500,000 for the Isotope production facility, the same amount as provided by the Senate.

Program direction.—The conference agreement includes \$24,700,000, the same amount provided by the House and the Senate.

# ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH

The conference agreement includes \$38,998,000, instead of \$36,750,000 as recommended by the House or \$48,998,000 as recommended by the Senate. The conferees direct that the reduction from the budget request be directed to eliminate lower-priority activities currently funded in this program. The conference agreement does not preclude funding for external regulation-related activities.

# ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES

 $Technical\ information\ management\ program.$ —The conference agreement includes \$8,600,000, the same amount provided by the House and the Senate.

Transfer of funds to the Occupational Safety And Health Administration.—The conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 for safety and health activities related to non-Federal workers at Federal facilities and regulatory responsibilities at non-nuclear facilities. This is the same amount as the House, instead of no funding as recommended by the Senate.

Field operations.—The conference agreement includes the House provision transferring funding of field offices to sponsoring programs in accordance with the Department's management reorganization plan. Funding for the Chicago, Oakland and Oak Ridge offices has been provided in the Science account. Funding for the Idaho office has been moved to the Environmental Management account.

Oak Ridge landlord.—The conference agreement includes the House provision transferring funding to the Science account.

## FUNDING ADJUSTMENTS

The conferees have included the transfers totaling \$5,820,953 from the Geothermal Resources Development and United States Enrichment Corporation Funds as proposed in the budget request and included in the House and Senate bills. The conference agreement also includes \$47,100,000, the same amount as the budget request, for research performed by the Office of Science related to solar and renewable energy technologies.

The conference agreement does not include the Senate provision to use \$31,589,000 identified as prior year balances. The House did not include a prior year balance adjustment. The conference agreement includes a reduction of \$1,500,000 for contractor travel, a \$1,000,000 reduction for management and operating contractors in Washington, D.C., and a \$5,000,000 general reduction.

# NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

The conference agreement appropriates \$333,618,000 instead of \$327,223,000 as proposed by the House and \$327,922,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$595,000 for the National Low-Level Waste Program in fiscal year 2000. These funds are to be used to maintain Federal data bases for tracking and reporting on low-level waste disposal information.

The conference agreement includes an additional \$5,800,000 to complete cleanup at the Grand Junction site in Colorado in fiscal year 2000.

The conferees are aware of additional costs being incurred in the TMI Fuel Storage project related to compliance with Nuclear Regulatory Commission safety requirements. The Department should submit a reprogramming request as expeditiously as possible to remedy this shortfall.

# URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND

The conference agreement appropriates \$250,198,000 instead of \$240,198,000 as proposed by the House and \$200,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

An additional \$10,000,000 has been provided to accelerate cleanup activities at the gaseous diffusion plants in Paducah, Kentucky, and Portsmouth, Ohio, in an effort to deal with radioactive contamination of groundwater, surface water, and on-site burial grounds, as well as decontamination and decommissioning of facilities. The conferees are aware of over \$30,000,000 in additional cleanup work at the Paducah site alone that was identified in the Phase I preliminary investigation completed by the Department on September 14, 1999. The conferees urge the Department to substantially increase the funding request in fiscal year 2001 for the Paducah and Portsmouth facilities to fully characterize waste in and around the DOE reservations and to eliminate the existing threats to the residents, workers, and the environment.

Funding of \$30,000,000, the same as the budget request, has been provided for the uranium and thorium reimbursement program. The conferees recognize there are eligible uranium and thorium licensee claims under Title X of the Energy Policy Act that have been approved for reimbursement, but not yet paid in full. The conferees direct the Department of Energy to submit with the fiscal year 2001 budget request a current list of the licensees approved for payment, amounts paid to date, and remaining balances requiring reimbursement for each of the claimants.

# SCIENCE

The conference agreement appropriates \$2,799,851,000, instead of \$2,718,647,000 as provided by the House or \$2,725,069,000 as provided by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include the Senate bill language providing funding for Boston College, the University of Missouri or the Natural Energy Laboratory of Hawaii.

High energy physics.—The conference agreement provides \$707,890,000 for high energy physics, instead of \$715,525,000 as provided by the House or \$691,090,000 as provided by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include the Senate reduction for research and development of a TeV scale center of mass accelerator. The conferees do have concerns about the early cost projections of this planned facility and urge the Department to consider reasonable expectations of budgets and significant international participation during the early planning process for this proposed facility.

*Nuclear physics*.—The conference agreement provides \$352,000,000 for nuclear physics, instead of \$357,940,000 as provided by the House or \$330,000,000 as provided by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include the Senate provision elimi-

nating funding for the Bates Linear Accelerator Laboratory.

Biological and environmental research.—The conference agreement includes \$441,500,000, instead of \$406,170,000 as provided by the House or \$429,700,000 as provided by the Senate. The conferees have included \$19,500,000 for the low-dose effects program including a review of the Hiroshima dosimetry system. The conferees have not provided \$2,000,000 in the Defense Environment, Safety and Health account as proposed by the Senate for this review. The conferees have provided \$100,000 to study the effects of radiation on avian populations at the Nevada Test Site.

The conference agreement includes \$5,000,000 for improvements and optimum utilization of the University of Missouri research reactor and \$1,500,000 for the Natural Energy Laboratory in Hawaii. The conferees have provided \$2,500,000 for the bone marrow transplantation/radioimmunotherapy program at the City of Hope National Medical Center and \$1,000,000 for the Gallo Institute of the Cancer Institute of New Jersey. The conference agreement also includes \$1,000,000 for cancer research at the Burbank Hospital Regional Center in Fitchburg, Massachusetts; \$2,000,000 for the Midwest Proton Radiation Institute; \$1,000,000 for the Center for Research on Aging at Rush-Presbyterian-St. Luke's Medical Center in Chicago, Illinois; and \$1,000,000 for the breast cancer program at the North Shore-Long Island Jewish Health System.

The conference agreement includes \$1,500,000 for the Medical University of South Carolina's Cancer Research Center, \$1,500,000 for the West Virginia National Education and Technology Center, \$1,500,000 for the University of Las Vegas Science Complex, \$1,000,000 for the Science Center at Creighton University, and \$1,500,000 for the Utton Transboundary Center. The conference agreement includes \$10,000,000 to further development of technologies using advanced functional brain imaging methodologies, including magnetoencephalography, for conduct of basic research in

mental illness and neurological disorders. The conferees are aware of research into the molecular basis of disease and MicroPET at the University of California Los Angeles and encourage the Department to review this new technology and possible collaborations and

report back to the Committees.

Basic energy sciences.—The conference agreement includes \$783,127,000 instead of \$735,989,000 as recommended by the House or \$854,545,000 as recommended by the Senate. The conferees have included \$7,000,000 for the Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research, the same amount as provided by the House and the Senate. The conferees included very modest reductions to BES research programs and they strongly oppose any effort by the Department to target one laboratory when allocating this reduction.

Spallation Neutron Source.—The recommendation includes \$117,900,000, including \$100,000,000 for line-item construction costs and \$17,900,000 for related research and development. The amount provided is \$69,000,000 less than the amount provided by the Senate and \$50,000,000 more than the amount provided by the House. The conferees have provided the same amount authorized

in the House-passed authorization bill.

Computational and technology research.—The conference agreement includes \$132,000,000, instead of \$143,000,000 as provided by the House or \$129,000,000 as provided by the Senate. The conferees strongly support the Department's current supercomputer programs including ASCI, NERSC, and modeling programs. The conferees urge the Department to submit a comprehensive plan for a non-Defense supercomputing program that reflects a unique role for the Department in this multi-agency effort and a budget plan that indicates spending requirements over a five-year budget cycle.

Energy research analyses.—The conference agreement includes \$1,000,000, the same amount provided by the House and the Sen-

ate.

Multiprogram energy labs—facility support.—The conference agreement includes \$21,260,000, the same amount provided by the House and the Senate. The conference agreement includes the additional \$1,000,000 provided by the House to fully fund the Department's commitment to the payment-in-lieu of taxes program and does not include the additional \$1,000,000 provided by the Senate

for roofing improvements at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

Fusion energy sciences.—The conference agreement includes \$250,000,000, the same amount provided by the House instead of \$220,614,000 as provided by the Senate. The conferees are pleased with the highly supportive recent report on fusion energy science from the Secretary of Energy's Advisory Board and with the comprehensive scientific plan developed by the Fusion Energy Sciences Advisory Committee (FESAC). The FESAC plan should be used by the Department as guidance in the allocation of the resources provided for fusion energy sciences.

Oak Ridge landlord.—The conference agreement includes

\$11,800,000 as proposed by the House.

Program Direction.—The recommendation is \$131,108,000, instead of \$126,963,000 as proposed by the House or \$52,360,000 as

proposed by the Senate. The conferees have provided \$52,360,000 for headquarters program direction activities, the same amount provided by the House and Senate.

#### FUNDING ADJUSTMENTS

The conference agreement includes a reduction of \$10,834,000 for contractor travel, the same amount as the budget request. The conferees have also included a \$1,000,000 reduction for management and operating contractors in Washington, D.C.; a \$10,000,000 general reduction; and a \$10,000,000 reduction reflecting the House provision to include all funding for science education activities with program direction funding.

# NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement appropriates \$240,500,000 for Nuclear Waste Disposal instead of \$242,500,000 as proposed by the

Senate and \$169,000,000 as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement includes \$500,000 for the State of Nevada instead of \$4,727,000 as proposed by the Senate and no funds as proposed by the House. This funding will be provided to the Department of Energy which will reimburse the State for actual expenditures on appropriate scientific oversight responsibilities conducted pursuant to the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982. These funds may not be used for salaries and expenses for State employees in the oversight office.

The conference agreement includes \$5,432,000 for affected units of local government as proposed by the Senate instead of no funds as proposed by the House. Funding for the affected local governments is to be allocated in the same proportion as was provided

to each affected local government in fiscal year 1998.

The conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 for seismic evaluations instead of \$3,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. No funds are provided in this account for the development of accelerator transmutation of waste technology.

#### DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement appropriates \$206,365,000 for Departmental Administration instead of \$193,769,000 as proposed by the House and \$219,415,000 as proposed by the Senate. Funding of \$10,000,000 is to be transferred to this account from Other Defense Activities. Revenues of \$106,887,000, \$10,000,000 less than the budget request, are estimated to be received in fiscal year 2000, resulting in a net appropriation of \$99,478,000.

The conference agreement provides \$26,000,000 for the Chief Financial Officer, an increase over the budget request of \$23,792,000. These additional funds are to support the new engi-

neering and construction division.

The conference agreement provides \$1,000,000 as proposed by the House for severance payments for the office of field management.

Reprogramming Guidelines.—The conference agreement provides reprogramming authority of \$500,000 or 5 percent, whichever is less, within the Departmental Administration account without

submission of a reprogramming to be approved by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. No individual program account may be increased or decreased by more than this amount during the fiscal year using this reprogramming authority. This should provide the needed flexibility to manage this account. Congressional notification within 30 days of the use of this reprogramming authority is required. Transfers which would result in increases or decreases in excess of \$500,000 or 5 percent to an individual program account during the fiscal year require prior notification and approval from the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

# OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates \$29,500,000 for the Inspector General instead of \$30,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$29,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

# ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

# WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement appropriates \$4,443,939,000 instead of \$3,962,500,000 as proposed by the House and \$4,609,832,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate providing that funding for any ballistic missile defense program undertaken by the Department of Energy for the Department of Defense must be provided in accordance with procedures established for Work for Others by the Department of Energy.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate allowing the use of stockpile stewardship funds for regional economic development and language proposed by the House deferring the obligation of \$1,000,000,000 until certain conditions are met.

Stockpile stewardship.—The conferees have postponed the integrated strategy proposed by the Senate. From within available funds, the conference agreement provides \$10,000,000 to enhance or provide new microsystems capability at the Sandia National Laboratory and \$5,000,000 to begin the process of moving the Atlas pulsed power experimental facility to the Nevada Test Site.

Funding of \$316,000,000 has been provided for the accelerated strategic computing initiative (ASCI) program, a reduction of \$25,000,000 from the request of \$341,000,000.

Inertial Fusion.—The agreement includes the additional \$10,000,000 proposed by the House for the inertial fusion program to further development of high average power lasers.

National Ignition Facility.—The conference agreement does not include the additional funding proposed by the Senate for the National Ignition Facility.

The National Ignition Facility has been described as one of the cornerstones of the Stockpile Stewardship Program. The conferees understand that the most recent internal review of the project has concluded that the projected cost to complete the project has increased and the completion date will be delayed. The conferees are very disappointed by this. Additional reviews will be performed in

coming months to establish the appropriate future actions for pro-

ceeding with this project.

The conferees direct that the Secretary of Energy complete and certify a new cost and schedule baseline for the National Ignition Facility and submit that certification to the Committees by June 1, 2000. If the Secretary is unable to provide such a certification, the Department should prepare an estimate of the costs necessary to terminate the project.

Technology transfer.—The conference agreement provides \$14,500,000 for the technology transfer program. This includes \$5,000,000 for the Amarillo Plutonium Research Center, the same as the budget request. The remaining funds support the projects identified in the budget request. The conferees recognize that the funds provided for technology transfer have been reduced substantially in recent years and recommend that the Department concentrate the remaining funds on technology partnerships with small business.

Education.—The conference agreement provides \$18,600,000 for education programs, including the budget request of \$6,000,000 for the Northern New Mexico Educational Enrichment Foundation and \$8,000,000 for the Los Alamos School District.

Stockpile management.—For core stockpile management activities, the conference agreement provides \$1,965,300,000, which includes the following adjustment to the budget request. Additional funding of \$25,000,000 is to be distributed among the Y-12 plant in Oak Ridge, Tennessee; the Kansas City plant in Missouri; the Pantex plant in Amarillo, Texas; and, if necessary, up to \$1,000,000 may be provided to plan modifications of the nuclear materials vault at the Los Alamos TA-55 facility.

*Tritium.*—A total of \$175,000,000 is provided for continued research and development on a new source of tritium. Funding of \$36,000,000, an increase of \$5,000,000 over the budget request, has been provided for design only activities in Project 98–D–126, Accel-

erator Production of Tritium.

Chemical and Metallurgical Research (CMR) Building Upgrades.—The conference agreement provides \$15,000,000 for upgrades to the CMR building. The conferees direct the Department to initiate the conceptual design of a replacement facility using ex-

isting operating funds.

Transportation Safeguards Division.—The conference agreement establishes a separate account for the Transportation Safeguards Division, as proposed by the House, and provides the budget request of \$91,812,000. The conferees are aware that funding adjustments may be required in fiscal year 2000 to accommodate additional program activities.

Program direction.—The conference agreement provides \$209,000,000, a reduction of \$5,688,000 from the budget request after transferring \$31,812,000 to the Transportation Safeguards Di-

vision account.

Funding adjustments.—The conference agreement includes the use of \$7,668,000 of prior year balances, \$30,000,000 for contractor travel savings, \$5,000,000 for management and operating contractor savings, and a general reduction of \$29,800,000.

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

The conference agreement appropriates \$4,484,349,000 for Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management instead of \$4,157,758,000 as proposed by the House and \$4,551,676,000 as proposed by the Senate. Additional funding of \$1,064,492,000 is contained in the Defense Facilities Closure Projects account and \$189,000,000 in the Defense Environmental Management Privatization account for a total of \$5,737,841,000 provided for all defense environmental management activities.

In the event that the conference agreement requires a general reduction of available funding, such reductions shall be applied to the lowest priority projects and activities at each site in order to preserve critical program activities.

The conference agreement does not include statutory language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for a project in Idaho.

Site/Project Completion.—The conference agreement provides an additional \$10,000,000 to address funding shortfalls at the Hanford site in Richland, Washington.

Post 2006 Completion.—The conference agreement includes an additional \$10,000,000 for spent fuel activities related to the Idaho Settlement Agreement; \$13,000,000 to maintain schedules required by revised compliance agreements with the State of Washington; and \$10,000,000 to support high level waste removal activities at the Savannah River Site in South Carolina.

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP).—The conferees have included statutory language that would enable the Department to use funds otherwise available to the State of New Mexico to meet any bonding requirements that the State may impose on the operations of WIPP. The inclusion of such a provision should not be taken as a precedent. To the contrary, should such a requirement be imposed on the operation of WIPP, the conferees will recommend a statutory prohibition on such requirements.

The Department of Energy should review the role of the Environmental Evaluation Group to determine whether it is necessary to continue this oversight group now that WIPP has opened.

Health effects studies.—No funds are provided for health effects studies in the Environmental Management program. All funding for health effects studies is included in the Environment, Safety and Health (Defense) program.

Science and Technology Development.—The conference agreement provides \$230,500,000 for the technology development program, the same as the budget request. The Department is directed to provide \$5,000,000 from within available funds for the next round of new and innovative research grants in the environmental management science program in fiscal year 2000. The Department is urged to reallocate funds to the extent possible to provide up to \$10,000,000 for technology deployment activities.

The conference agreement provides \$4,500,000, an increase of \$500,000 over the budget request, for the Diagnostic Instrumentation and Analysis Laboratory.

Program direction.—The conferees have provided \$339,409,000 for the program direction account. The recommendation includes

funding for the Federal employees at the Idaho Operations Office consistent with the Department's new organization structure.

Economic development.—The conference agreement maintains the current policy that no cleanup funds are to be used for economic development activities. The conferees have provided \$24,500,000 in the worker and community transition program which was established and authorized to fund such activities, and expect all economic development activities to be funded from that program.

Funding adjustments.—The conference agreement includes the use of \$40,000,000 of prior year balances; \$6,000,000 for contractor travel savings; \$8,700,000 in offsetting collections; and \$2,000,000 for management and operating contractor savings.

# Defense Facilities Closure Projects

The conference agreement appropriates \$1,064,492,000 for the Defense Facilities Closure Projects account instead of \$1,054,492,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,069,492,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees expect the Department to request adequate funds to keep each of these projects on a schedule for closure by 2006 or earlier.

# DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION

The conference agreement provides \$189,000,000 for the environmental management privatization program instead of \$228,000,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate. The conferees are aware that funding requirements for the Disposal Cell at Oak Ridge, Tennessee, have been reduced by \$39,000,000.

#### OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement appropriates \$1,722,444,000 for Other Defense Activities instead of \$1,651,809,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,872,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Details of the conference agreement are provided below.

#### NONPROLIFERATION AND NATIONAL SECURITY

The conference agreement provides \$744,850,000 for non-proliferation and national security programs instead of \$691,050,000 as proposed by the House and \$822,300,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Competitive research.—The conferees direct the Department to initiate a free and open competitive process for 25 percent of its research and development activities during fiscal year 2000. In addition, 25 percent of the Department's treaty monitoring program is to be awarded through an open competitive process. The competitive process should be open to all Federal and non-Federal entities.

The conference agreement provides funds for Project 00–D–192, the Nonproliferation and International Security Center at Los Alamos. However, none of the funds may be obligated until an external, independent project assessment has been completed and provided to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations for review.

Federal employees.—The conferees are aware that the Department does not have enough qualified Federal employees available to manage the nonproliferation and national security programs, particularly the Russian programs. The conferees will favorably consider a reprogramming of funds from these program areas to the program direction account as Federal employees are hired to replace the contractor employees who currently oversee these programs contrary to proper role of contractor employees.

Arms Control.—The conference agreement includes \$41,152,000 for chemical and biological non-proliferation activities; \$150,000,000 for the materials protection, control and accounting program; \$22,500,000 for the Initiatives for Proliferation Program;

and \$7,500,000 for the Nuclear Cities Initiative.

Emergency Management.—The conference agreement includes the budget request of \$21,000,000 for emergency management.

Nuclear Safeguards and Security.—The conference agreement provides \$69,100,000, an increase of \$10,000,000 over the budget request. This funding is recommended to enhance protection of critical facilities and infrastructure against physical and cyber attacks. From within available funds, \$1,000,000 is provided to address the vulnerabilities of security equipment; \$1,000,000 is provided to procure safety locks to meet Federal specifications; and \$1,000,000 is to be used for an enhanced information assurance program.

Security Investigations.—The conference agreement provides \$33,000,000 for security investigations, an increase of \$3,000,000 over the budget request.

HEU Transparency Implementation.—The conference agree-

ment provides \$15,750,000, the same as the budget request.

International Nuclear Safety.—The conference agreement provides \$15,000,000 for the international nuclear safety program. This funding is to be used only for activities in support of completing the upgrades to Soviet-designed nuclear reactors. No funds are provided to initiate new programs in fiscal year 2000 or to expand new programs initiated in fiscal year 1999.

Program direction.—The conference agreement provides \$89,000,000 for the program direction account. The conferees are aware of and support the proposal to restructure the Moscow office by reducing the use of national laboratory employees.

#### INTELLIGENCE

The conference agreement includes \$36,059,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate to support the Department's intelligence program.

#### COUNTERINTELLIGENCE

The conference agreement includes \$39,200,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate to support the Department's counterintelligence program.

# INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT AND PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE

The conference agreement provides \$5,000,000 in support of the newly established office of independent oversight and performance assurance. This is in addition to the funds provided for this office in the budget for Environment, Safety and Health (Defense).

# ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH (DEFENSE)

The conference agreement provides \$98,000,000 for defense-related environment, safety and health activities instead of \$96,600,000 as proposed by the House and \$94,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement does not reduce funding for environmental evaluations and contractor support to the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board liaison. The budget request of \$13,500,000 has been provided for the Radiation Effects Research Foundation.

Health Effects Studies.—The conferees have provided \$48,956,000 for health effects studies. This amount includes the budget request of \$40,956,000 in this account and \$8,000,000 from the Defense Environmental Management program.

within available funds, the Department should reprioritize the funding for health effects studies to address the health concerns of current and former workers for the purpose of early identification of work-related diseases at the gaseous diffusion plants in Paducah, Portsmouth, and Oak Ridge. As part of this screening program, the Department is urged to make use of recent medical advances that detect lung cancers at an early stage. Medical screening results will be assessed by occupational medicine physicians, and the participants, where appropriate, will be provided referral assistance. The conferees also urge the Department to request sufficient funds for fiscal year 2001 to provide medical surveillance for those workers, both former and current, who were not screened under this accelerated program at the three gaseous diffusion plants.

# WORKER AND COMMUNITY TRANSITION

The conference agreement provides \$24,500,000 for the worker and community transition program instead of \$20,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$30,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Since there are no significant program funding decreases in the Department of Energy in fiscal year 2000, the conferees have reduced the funding allocated for enhanced severance benefits and local assistance grants.

The conferees do not agree that this program should share the infrastructure burden that is necessary to maintain test readiness at the Nevada Test Site, but support efforts to diversify technical activities at the Nevada Test Site.

#### FISSILE MATERIALS DISPOSITION

The conference agreement provides \$173,235,000 for fissile materials disposition instead of \$190,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$205,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include the budget request of \$21,765,000 for Project 00–D–142, Immobilization and Associated Processing Facility, which has been delayed. The conference agreement provides no long-lead procurement funds for Project 99–D–141, Pit Disassembly and Conversion Facility.

The conferees have included \$5,000,000 as proposed by the Senate to support the joint U.S.-Russian development program of advanced reactor technology to dispose of Russian excess weapons-derived plutonium. Of this funding, \$2,000,000 is available for work to be performed in the United States by the Department of Energy and other U.S. contractors, and \$3,000,000 is to be expended for work in Russia. The \$3,000,000 shall be made available for work in Russia on the gas reactor technology on the condition and only to the extent that the Russian Federation matches these contributions with either comparable funding or contributions-in-kind.

#### NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT

The conference agreement provides \$10,000,000 for national security programs administrative support instead of \$25,000,000 as proposed by the House and no funding as proposed by the Senate.

## OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

The conference agreement provides \$3,000,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate.

#### NAVAL REACTORS

The conference agreement includes \$677,600,000 as provided by the House and the Senate.

#### FUNDING ADJUSTMENTS

The conference agreement includes the use of \$49,000,000 of prior year balances; \$13,000,000 for contractor travel savings; \$20,000,000 offset to user organizations; and \$7,000,000 for management and operating contractor savings. Reductions to prior year balances should be applied to those programs which have uncosted balances which are nearly equal to the program expenditures for the entire fiscal year.

# DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement provides \$112,000,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$112,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. Funding proposed by the Senate for the accelerator transmutation of waste program has been included in the Energy Supply account.

# POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

The conference agreement does not include the Administration's proposal, included in the House bill, to eliminate the Department's purchase power programs. The conference agreement includes the Senate provision to fund these purchases in advance as in prior years.

The conference agreement does not include the House statutory provision prohibiting the power marketing administrations (PMAs) from installing fiber optic cable in excess of operational needs. Under current law, the PMAs have authority to install fiber optic cable as part of the authority to operate transmission services. The conferees note that the same authority exists for all the PMAs. Installed and planned fiber optic cable costs for Western

Area Power Authority (WAPA) amount to approximately \$6,000,000 and comparable costs of the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) are approximately \$140,000,000. Because WAPA manages approximately 17,000 miles of transmission and BPA manages approximately 15,000 miles, there are concerns about this level of spending on fiber optic cable installations.

The conferees direct the PMAs to prepare a comprehensive fiber optic cable plan that includes all activities relating to installation, operation, marketing and leasing of fiber optic cables and related communications operations. The plan should provide details on current and future operational needs, summary information of current leases, planned leasing costs and revenues, criteria used to determine where and when to install fiber optic cable, and criteria used to determine leasing agreements. The plan should include summary tables so that comparisons can be made among the PMAs. For example, the plan should include cost-per-mile figures, outyear projections and expected revenues for each of the PMAs. The Administrators should include justification for all fiber optic cable installation activities including the PMAs' specific statutory authority for the activities included in the plan. The plan shall be submitted to the appropriate committees of the House and Senate within 180 days of enactment of this Act.

## Bonneville Power Administration

The conferees have included the House provision providing \$1,500 for official reception and representation expenses, instead of \$3,000 as provided by the Senate.

## SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes \$39,594,000 as provided by the Senate, instead of no funding as recommended in the budget request or the House bill. The conferees have included a 3,000,000 rescisson instead of the \$5,500,000 rescission included in the Senate bill. The conference agreement includes the Administration's proposal, included in the House bill, to transfer \$773,000 from the Southeastern Power Administration to the Southwestern Power Administration.

# SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes \$28,773,000, instead of \$28,000,000 and as proposed by the Senate and \$27,167,000 as proposed by the House. The conference agreement includes the Administration's proposal, included in the House bill, to transfer \$773,000 from the Southeastern Power Administration to the Southwestern Power Administration.

# Western Area Power Administration

The conference agreement includes \$193,357,000, instead of \$171,471,000 as provided by the House or \$223,555,000 as provided by the Senate. It is the conferees' intent to fully fund the Western Area Power Administration (WAPA) including any necessary purchase power and wheeling costs. However, as the conferees at-

tempted to determine the appropriate level of funding in the absence of an Administration request for such funds, their efforts were frustrated by WAPA's inability to provide basic information such as WAPA's current level of unobligated previously appropriated purchase power and wheeling funds and by uncertainties regarding future requirements caused by potential or ongoing contract renegotiations. If WAPA later determines that the amount provided is insufficient, the conferees direct the Department to expeditiously submit a reprogramming request.

# FALCON AND AMISTAD FUND

The conference agreement includes \$1,309,000, the same amount provided by the House and Senate.

# FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes \$174,950,000, the same amount as provided by the House, instead of \$170,000,000 as provided by the Senate.

# GENERAL PROVISIONS

# DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

SEC. 301. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to award a management and operating contract unless such contract is awarded using competitive procedures, or the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation. Section 301 does not preclude extension of a contract awarded using competitive procedures.

SEC. 302. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House that none of the funds may be used to award, amend, or modify a contract in a manner that deviates from the Federal Acquisition Regulation, unless the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation.

Sec. 303. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to prepare or implement workforce restructuring plans or provide enhanced severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants for Federal employees of the Department of Energy under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993, Public Law 102–484.

SEC. 304. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to augment the \$24,500,000 made available for obligation for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants authorized under the provisions of section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993, Public Law 102–484.

SEC. 305. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to prepare or initiate Requests for Proposals for a program if the program has not been funded by Congress in the current fiscal

year. This provision precludes the Department from initiating activities for new programs which have been proposed in the budget request, but which have not yet been funded by Congress.

Sec. 306. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that permits the transfer and merger of unexpended balances of prior appropriations with appro-

priation accounts established in this bill.

SEC. 307. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House allowing the Secretary of Energy to enter into multi-year contracts without obligating the estimated costs associated with any necessary cancellation or termination of the contract. This provides the Department of Energy with the same flexibility provided to the Department of Defense.

SEC. 308. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the House pertaining to Laboratory Directed Research and Development (LDRD) funding. The provision caps funding for LDRD at four percent. Funds provided to the laboratories for programs such as environmental cleanup and restoration may not be

taxed for LDRD purposes.

SEC. 309. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the House and Senate limiting to \$150,000,000 the funds available for reimbursement of management and operating contractor travel expenses. The language also requires the Department of Energy to reimburse contractors for travel consistent with regulations applicable to Federal employees.

Sec. 310. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the House requiring the Department of Energy's laboratories to provide an annual funding plan to the Department for approval by the Secretary. This requirement has been expanded to all

of the Department's multi-purpose national laboratories.

SEC. 311. The conference agreement modifies a provision proposed by the House requiring the Secretary of Energy to review and approve the contract terms of all prime contractors who man-

age Departmental sites and facilities.

SEC. 312. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House prohibiting the expenditure of funds to establish or maintain independent centers at Department of Energy laboratories or facilities unless they are specifically identified in the budget submission. The Department should provide to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by November 30, 1999, a list of all such centers at each laboratory or facility, the annual cost, number of employees, and the source of funding.

SEC. 313. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House and Senate prohibiting the expenditure of

funds to restart the High Flux Beam Reactor.

SEC. 314. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the House limiting the activities of the Federal power marketing administrations in several areas. The conferees have prohibited the use of funds by the Bonneville Power Administration to perform energy efficiency services outside Bonneville's service territory, with the exception of services provided internationally.

SEC. 315. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the Senate limiting the types of waste that can be disposed of in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant in New Mexico. None

of the funds may be used to dispose of transuranic waste in excess of 20 percent plutonium by weight for the aggregate of any material category. At the Rocky Flats site, this provision applies to the five material categories addressed in the "Final Environmental Impact Statement on Management of Certain Plutonium Residues on Scrub Alloy Stored at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site", Table S–2, Notice of Intent Categories.

SEC. 316. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the Senate limiting the inclusion of costs of fish and wild-life protection within rates charged by the Bonneville Power Administration. The Administrator is directed to provide a report to the appropriate committees of the House and Senate which includes assumptions to be used in determining fish and wildlife costs during the 2002–2006 rate period. The report should be provided not later than December 31, 1999.

Provisions not adopted by the conferees.—The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House limiting the waiving of overhead or added factor charges for work performed for other Federal agencies.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House repealing section 505 of Public Law 102–377, the Fiscal Year 1993 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, and section 208 of Public Law 99–349, the Urgent Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1986.

The conference agreement deletes bill language proposed by the House limiting the use of funds by the Federal power marketing administrations in the area of fiber optic telecommunications.

# Conference Recommendations

The conference agreement's detailed funding recommendations for programs in title III are contained in the following table.

	Budget Request	Conference	
ENERGY SUPPLY			
SOLAR AND RENEWABLE RESOURCES TECHNOLOGIES			
Solar energy Solar building technology research	5,500	2,000	
Photovoltaic energy systems	93,309	67,000 2,847	
Subtotal, Photovoltaic	96,156	69,847	
Concentrating solar power	18,850	15,410	
Blomass/biofuels energy systems Tower systems Transportation	38,950 53,441	32,500 39,500	
Subtotal, Biomass/biofuels energy systems	92,391	72,000	
Biomass/biofuels energy research	26,740	26,740	
Subtotal, Biomass	119,131	98,740	
Wind energy systems	45,600	33,000 283	
Subtotal, Wind	45,883	33,283	
Renewable energy production incentive program.	10,000	1,500 5,000 4,000	
National renewable energy laboratorySolar photoconversion energy research	1,100	1,100	
Total, Solar Energy	318,380	245,140	

R\$GPOC

13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 1

Department of Energy (in thousands)

Budget Request Conference	24,000	2,970	30,970 27,970	7,000 5,000 4,000		41,000 38,410	19,171 17,720
Budget Request	Geothermal Geothermal technology development	Hydrogen research	Total, Hydrogen	Hydropower Renewable Indian energy resources.	Electric energy systems and storage Transmission reliability High temperature superconducting R&D Energy storage systems	Total, Electric energy systems and storage	Program direction

13:35 9/25/99 PAGE

Department of Energy (in thousands)

R&GPOC

R&GPOC Department of Energy (in thousands)	gy (in thousan	ds)	13:35 9/25/99 PAGE
	Budget	Conference	
NUCLEAR ENERGY			
Nuclear energy R&D Advanced radioisotope power system	37,000	34,500	
Test reactor area landlord	6,070	6,070	
Construction  Upgred Idea reactor area electrical utility upgred Idea and National Engineering Laboratory ID.	1,430	1,430	
95-E-201 Test reactor grea fire and life safety improvements, indano National Englishering Laboratory, 10.	1,500	1,500	
Subtotal, Construction	2,930	2,930	
Subtotal, Test reactor area landlord	000'6	000'6	
University reactor fuel assistance and support Nuclear energy Plant optimization. Nuclear energy research initiative. Civilian research and development.	- 0	12,000 5,000 22,500 9,000	
Total, Nuclear energy R&D	87,345	92,000	
Fast flux test facility (FFTF)  Termination costs Uranium programs	30,000 65,000 41,000	28,000 80,000 43,600	
Isotope support	13,000	13,000	
99-E-201 Isotope production facility (LANL)	8,000	7,500	
Total, Isotope support	000	20,500	
Program direction	24,960	24,700	
TOTAL, NUCLEAR ENERGY	269,305	288,700	

ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH  Environment, safety and health  Forgram direction  TOTAL, ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH  TOTAL, ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH  SO,750  Total, Tachnical information management program  Fransfer to OSHA  TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES  TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES  Subtotal, Energy supply  Change and Use Condition  Subtotal, Energy supply  General reduction research program  -1,000  General reduction research program  -1,000  Contractor travel savings  -1,000  Contractor travel savings  -1,000  -1,276  -1,276  -1,500  -1,276  -1,500  -1,276  -1,500  -1,276  -1,276  -1,500  -1,500  -1,276  -1,500  -1,276  -1,276  -1,500  -1,276  -1,500  -1,276  -1,500  -1,500  -1,276  -1,500  -1,500  -1,276  -1,276  -1,276  -1,276	WHEN STREET STRE
--	--

Conference		216,946	95,250	2,500	97,750	18,922	333,618	220,198 30,000	240,198 250,198
Budget		211,146	98,366	2,500	100,866	18,922 18,922	330,934 333,618	210,198	240,198 250,198
	NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT	Site closure	Site/project completion	Construction 93-E-900 Long-term storage of TMI-2 fuel, INEL	Subtotal, Site/project completion	Post 2006 completion	TOTAL, NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENTURANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND	Decontamination and decommissioning	TOTAL, URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget	Conference
High energy physics Research and technology	227,190	229,190
Facility operations	441,200	450,000
OD-G-307 SLAC office building	2,000	2,000
99-G-305 Wilson hall safety improvements.	4,700	4,700
98-G-304 Neutrinos at the main injector. Fermilab	22,000	22,000
Subtotal, Construction	28,700	28,700
Subtotal, Facility operations	469,900	478,700
Total, High energy physics	697,090	707,890
Nuclear physicsBlological and environmental research	352,826 411,170	352,000
Basic energy sciences Materials Criences Charlettals Criences Charlettals and sociences Charlettals and secondences Charlettals and secondences	407,636 215,577 37,545 31,226	405,000 209,582 37,545 31,000
Construction 99-E-334 Spallation neutron source (ORNL)	196,100	100,000
Total, Basic energy sciences	888,084	783,127

Department of Energy (in thousands)

RSGPOC

Department of Energy (in thousands) 13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 7	Budget Request Conference	196,875 132,000 1,000 1,000	1,160 2,160	18,351 18,351	749	suppor 20,260 21,260	218,136	222,614 250,000	52,360	52.360 131,108	2,842,278 2,831,685		
R\$GPOC Department of			Multiprogram energy labs - facility support Infrastructure support	MEL-001 Multiprogram energy laboratory infrastructure projects, various locations	Multiprogram general purpose facilities Construction 34-E-363 Roofing improvements (ORNL)	Subtotal, Multiprogram energy labs - fac. suppor	Total, Other energy research	Fusion energy sciences program	Program direction Field offices	Total, Program direction	Subtotal, Science	Contractor travel savings	

4, 940 9, 940 9, 940 6, 940 6, 940 1, 940 1, 960 1, 960		Budget Request	Conference	
4,940 23,772 3,772 3,772 3,270 6,046 6,046 6,046 1,440	DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION			
4, 834 8, 834 3, 772 3, 772 9, 100 1, 100	Administrative operations			
23,732 6,430 6,430 10,1423 10,1423 11,1423 11,1423 11,000 11,000 11,000 12,483 18,682 24,027 24,027 24,027 24,037 24,0	Office of the Secretary	4,940	4,940	
2,300 1,123 1,	Board of contract appeals.	838 23.792	838 26.000	
2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2		3,200	3,000	
28,124 101,124 17,125 17,126 17,126 17,126 17,126 18,127 18,127 18,127 18,127 19,138 11,138 1	Congressional and intergovernmental affairs	5,046	4, 700	
10, 233 1, 233 1, 233 1, 200 1, 200 1	Field management	8,080	000	
3,943 1,943 1,700 1,700 13,000 13,000 18,682 24,516 27,516 24,516 24,516 24,516 24,516 118,687 118,687 118,687 118,687	Management and administration	101.273	98,000	
1,700 2,432 1,400 13,000 13,000 18,682 24,516 24,516 24,516 24,516 24,516 111,887 111,887	Policy office.	17,430	14,000	
1, 700 2, 432 1, 480 13, 600 18, 562 247, 516 247, 516 24	Subtotal, Salaries and expenses	194,906	181,838	
1,7000 1,7000 13,0000 18,682 247,516 247,516 240,377 116,887	Program support			
2,452 13,000 18,862 24,027 247,516 -7,138 240,377 -116,887	Minority aconomic impact	000	350	
13, 500 18, 502 213, 488 34, 027 247, 516 240, 377 -116, 887	Environmental policy studies	2,432	000,	
24, 027 24, 516 24, 516 24, 516 240, 377 116, 887	Scientific and technical training	13,000	12,000	
24, 257 247, 516 247, 516 240, 377 116, 887	Subtotal, Program support	18,582	15,500	
34,027 247,516 -7,138 240,377 -116,887 -123,480	Total Administrative engagetions	717 488	107 238	
34,027 247,516 -7,138 240,377 -116,887 -123,490		_		
247,516 -7,138 240,377 -115,887 -123,490	Cost of work for others	34,027	34,027	
-7,138 240,377 -116,887 -	Subtotal, Departmental Administration	247,515	231,365	
INISTRATION (net)	Use of prior year balances and other adjustments Transfer from other defense activities	-7,138	-15,000	
-116,887 INISTRATION (net)	Total, Departmental administration (gross)	240,377	206,365	
INISTRATION (het)123,490	Miscellaneous revenues		-106,887	
	TOTAL, DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION (net)		99,478	
	OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL			
30.000	Office of Inspector General.	30,000	29,500	

Budget Radust Conference															
Conference	1,610,355	8,000	26.000	1,800	3,800	2,000	2,400	1,000	6,500	5,000	61,000	2,640	10,900	131,140	1,741,495
Budget	1,635,355	8,000	26.000	1,800	3,900	2.000	2,400	1,000	6,500	7,005	61,000	2,640	10,900	133,145	1,768.500
	Stockpile stewardship Cons stockpile stewardship	DD-D-103, Terascale simulation facility,	OD-D-105 Strategic computing complex, LANL Los Alemos, NM	00-0-107 Joint computational anginearing taboratory, SNL, Albuquerque, NM	99-0-102 Rehabilitation of maintenance facility, Livermore, CA	99-D-103 Isotope sciences facilities, LLNL,	99-D-104 Protection of real property (roof reconstruction-Phase II), LLNL, Livermore, CA	99-D-105 Central health physics callbration facility, LANL, Los Alamos, NM	99-D-106 Model validation & system certication center, SNL, Albuquerque, NM	99-0-108 Renovate existing roadways, Nevada Test Site, NV	97-D-102 Dual-axis radiographic hydrotest facility (LANL), Los Alamos, NM	96-D-102 Stockpile stewardship facilities revitalization (Phase VI), various locations	96-D-104 Processing and environmental technology laboratory (SNL)	Subtotal, Construction	Subtotal, Core stockpile stewardship

Ó
ŏ
ă
<u> </u>
ĕ
œ

_
ø
ē
8.8
õ
5
₽
c
V
797
E C I
D
ť
Ē
۲
Ē
Õ

	Request	Conference	
Insertial fusion	217,600	227,600	
nstruction 96-D-111 National ignition facility, LLNL	248,100	248,100	
Subtotal, Inertial fusion	465,700	475,700	
Technology transfer/education Technology transfer Education	22,200	14,500	
Subtotal, Technology transfer/education	52,000	33,100	
Total, Stockpile stewardship	2,286,200	2,250,295	
Stockpile menagement	1,839,621	1,804,621	
Construction 99-D-122 Rapid reactivation, various locations	11,700	11,700	
99-D-127 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Kansas City, MO	17,000	17,000	
99-D-128 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Pantex consolidation, Amarillo, TX	3,429	3,429	
99-D-132 SMRI nuclear material safeguards and security upgrade project (LANL), Los Alamos, NM	11,300	11,300	
96-D-123 Stockpile mgmt. restructuring initiative Trittum factory accentation and consolidation. Seventan River, SG.	21,800	21,800	
98-D-124 Stockpile mgmt. restructuring initiative Y-12 consolidation, Oak Ridge, TN	3,150	3,150	
98-D-125 Tritlum extraction facility, SR	33,000	33,000	
98-0-126 Accelerator production of Trittium, various locations	31,000	36,000	
97-D-123 Structural upgrades, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, KS	4,800	4,800	
95-D-102 Chemistry and metallurgy research (CMR) upgrades project (LANL)	18,000	15,000	

R\$GPOC Department of Energy (in thousands)	gy (in thousa	(spu	13:35 9/25/99 PAGE 11
	Budget	Conference	
88-D-123 Security enhancements, Pantex plant, Amerillo, TX	3,500	3,500	
Subtotal, Construction	158,679	160,679	
Total, Stockpile management	1,998,300	1,998,300 1,965,300	
Transportation safeguards division Operations and equipment		60,000 31,812	
Total, Transportation safeguards division		91,1812	
Program direction	246,500	209,000	
Subtotal, Weapons activities		4,531,000 4,516,407	
Use of prior year balances. Contractor travel savings. General reduction.	-23,065	-7,668 -30,000 -5,000 -29,800	
TOTAL, WEAPONS ACTIVITIES	4,507,935	4,507,935 4,443,939	

	Request	Conference
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT.		
te/project completion Operation and maintenance	892,629	902,002
nstruction 99-0-402 Tank farm support services, F&H area, Savannah River site, Aiken, SC	3,100	3,100
99-D-404 Health physics instrumentation Laboratory (INEL), ID	7,200	5,000
98-D-401 H-tank farm storm water systems upgrade, Savannah River, SC	2,977	2,977
98-D-453 Plutonium stabilization and handling system for PFP, Richland, WA	16,860	16,860
98-D-700 Road rehabilitation (INEL), ID	2,590	2,590
97-D-450 Savannah River nuclear material storage. Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC	4,000	4,000
97-D-470 Regulatory monitoring and bicassay Laboratory, Savannah River site, Aiken, SC	12,220	12,220
96-D-406 Spent nuclear fuels canister storage and stabilization facility, Richland, WA	24,441	20,941
96-D-464 Electrical & utility systems upgrade, Idaho chemical processing plant (INEL), ID	11,971	11,971
96-D-471 CFC HVAC/chiller retrofit, Savannah River site, Aiken, SC	931	931
86-D-103 Decontamination and waste treatment facility (LLNL), Livermore, CA	2,000	2,000
Subtotal, Construction	88,290	82,590
Total, Site/project completion	980,919	984,592

Department of Energy (in thousands)

12:02 9/26/99 PAGE 13

R\$GPOC Department of Energy (in thousands)	y (in thousand	(Sp	12:02 9/26/99	66/
	Budget Request	Conference		
Post 2006 completion Operation and maintenance	2,478,997	2,511,997 420,000		
Construction CO-D-401 Spent Nuclear Fuel treatment and storage facility Title I & II, Savannah River, SC	7,000	7,000		
99-D-403 Privatization Phase I infrastructure support, Richland, WA	13,988	13,988		
97-D-402 Tank farm restoration and safe operations, Richland, WA	20,516	20,516		
94-D-407 Initial tank retrieval systems, Richland, WA	4,060	4.060		
93-D-187 High-Level waste removal from filled waste tanks, Savannah River, SC	8,987	8,987		
Subtotal, Construction	54,551	54,551		
Total, Post 2006 completion	2,953,548	2,986,548		
Science and technology.	230,500	230,500 339,409		
Subtotal, Defense environmental management	4,514,376	4,541,049		
Use of prior year balances/general raduction Contractor travel savings Offsating collections Directed savings	-7,725 -8,700	-40,000 -6,000 -8,700 -2,000		
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRON. RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT	4,497,951	4,484,349		
DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS Closura projects	1,054,492 1,064,492	1,064,492		

N\$GPOC Department of Energy (in thousands)	ly (in thousan	ds)	12:02	9/26/99 PAGE	4
	Budget	Conference			1
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION					
Privatization initiatives, various locations	253,000	233,000			
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MGMT. PRIVATIZATION	228,000	189,000			
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT	5,780,443	5,737,841			
Other national security programs Nonproliferation and national security Verification and control technology Verification and control technology R&D	215,000	215,000			
Construction Understand Nonproliferation and international security center (NISC), LANL	6,000	6,000			
Subtotal, Nonproliferation & verification	221,000	221,000			
Arms control	296,000	281,000			
Subtotal, Verification and control technology.	517,000	502,000			
Emergency management Nuclear safeguards and security Security investigations HEU transparency implementation International nuclear safety Program direction - NN	21,000 59,100 15,000 15,000 90,450	21,000 69,100 33,000 15,750 15,000			
Subtotal, Nonproliferation and national security	767,300	744,850			
Intelligence. Counterintelligence. Security and emergency operations. Independent oversight and performance assurance	36,059 39,791 65,214 6,800	36,059 39,200 5,000			
Environment, safety and health (Defense)	67,231 24,769	73,231 24,769			
Subtotal, Environment, safety & health (Defense)	92,000	98,000			
Worker and community transition	3,500	21,000			
Subtotal, Worker and community transition	30,000	24,500			

Department of Energy (in thousands)	/ (in thousar	ds)	12:02 9/26/99 PAGE 15
	Budget	Conference	
Fissile materials disposition Program direction - MD	129,766	134,766 7,343	
Construction 00-D-142 Immobilization and associated processing facility, various locations	21,765	1	
99-D-141 Pit disassembly and conversion facility, various locations	28,751	18,751	
99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, various locations	12,375	12,375	
Subtotal, Construction	62,891	31,126	
Subtotal, Fissile materials disposition	200,000	173,235	
National Security programs administrative support Office of hearings and appeals	3,000	3,000	
Subtotal, Other national security programs	1,240,164	1,133,844	
Contractor travel savings	-5,790	-13,000	
Total, Other national security programs	1,234,374	1,120,844	

RSGPO

R\$GPOC Department of Energy (in thousands)	ly (in thousan	ds)	12:02	12:02 9/26/99 PAGE	9
	Budget Request	Conference	1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
Naval reactors Naval reactors development	620,400	633,000			
GPN-101 General plant projects, various	000'6	9,000			
98-D-200 Site laboratory/facility upgrade, various locations	3,000	3,000			
90-N-102 Expended core facility dry cell project, Naval Reactors Facility, ID	12,000	12.000			
Subtotal, Construction	24,000	24,000			
Subtotal, Naval reactors development	644,400	657,000			
Program direction	20,600	20,600			
Total, Naval reactors	665,000 677,600	677,600			
Subtotal, Other defense activities	1,899,374	1,798,444			
Use of prior year balances. Offset to user organizations Contribution from labs. Directed savings.	-20,000 -12,559 -3,800	-49,000 -20,000 -7,000			
TOTAL, OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	1,863,015	1,722,444			
Defense nuclear waste disposal	112,000 112.000	112.000			
TOTAL, ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	12,263,393 12,016,224	12,016,224			

R&GPOC

12:02 9/26/99 PAGE 17

R\$GP0C

R\$GPOC Department of Energy	y (in thousands)	ds)	
	Budget Request	Conference	
POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS			
SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION			
Operation and maintenance Operation and maintenance/program direction Purchase power and wheeling	; ; ; ; ; ;	11,594	
TOTAL, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		39,594	
SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION			
	3,625	3,625	
Purchase power and wheeling.	17,631	833 17,631 6,684	
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	27,940	28,773	
Transfer from Southeastern Power	-773	-773	
TOTAL, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		28,000	
WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION			
Operation and maintenance Construction and rehabilitation. System operation and maintenance.	35,096	26,802 35,096 41,885	
Program direction	104,537	5,036	
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	171,471	213,357	
Use of prior year balances	}	-20,000	
TOTAL, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION	171,471	193,357	
FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND			
Operation and maintenance	1,309	1,309	
TOTAL, POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS	199,947	262,260	

R&GPOC Department of Energy (in thousands)	yy (in thousar	(spu	12:02	9/26/99 PAGE	18
	Budget Request	Conference			
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION					
Federal energy regulatory commissionFERC revenues	179,900	174,950 -174,950			
TOTAL, FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION					
NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL					
Repository programProgram direction.	198,189	180,589 59,811			
Subtotal from Nuclear Waste Disposal Fund	258,000	240,500			
Transfer from defense nuclear waste disposal	(39,000)	1 1			
TOTAL, NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL	258,000	258,000 240,500			
GRAND TOTAL, DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY17,112,197 16,670,746	17,112,197	17,112,197 16,670,746			

### TITLE IV

### INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

### APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes \$66,400,000 for the Appalachian Regional Commission instead of \$60,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$71,400,000 as proposed by the Senate. Of this amount, \$1,000,000 is for the Richie County Dam project in West Virginia.

# DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

The conference agreement appropriates \$17,000,000 for the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board instead of \$16,500,000 as proposed by the House or \$17,500,000 as proposed by the Senate.

### DENALI COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes \$20,000,000 for the Denali Commission instead of \$25,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House rescinding \$18,000,000 previously appropriated to the Denali Commission.

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes \$465,000,000, instead of \$455,400,000 as recommended by the House or \$465,400,000 as recommended by the Senate. The conferees have provided \$19,150,000, to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund, for the Commission's ongoing work to characterize Yucca Mountain as a potential site for a permanent nuclear waste repository. The conference agreement also includes \$3,850,000 for regulatory reviews and other assistance provided to the Department of Energy.

#### OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement includes \$5,000,000, the same amount provided by the Senate, instead of \$6,000,000 as provided by the House.

# NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

The conference agreement appropriates \$2,600,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$3,150,000 as proposed by the Senate.

## TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate appropriating \$7,000,000 for the Tennessee Valley Authority. The conference agreement includes language providing authority for the Tennessee Valley Authority to use up to \$3,000,000 in previously appropriated funds to pay for transition costs of Land Between the Lakes.

# TITLE V—RESCISSIONS DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

### DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

### CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate rescinding appropriations for specified projects within the General Investigations and Construction, General account, amended to delete language proposed by the Senate to rescind appropriations from: the Red River Waterway, Shreveport, Louisiana, to Daingerfield, Texas, investigation; the Southern and Eastern Kentucky, Kentucky, construction project; and the South Central Pennsylvania, Environmental Improvements Program, Pennsylvania, construction project.

# DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes a rescission of \$3,000,000 instead of language proposed by the Senate rescinding \$5,500,000 from the Southeastern Power Administration.

### NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement includes language to rescind \$4,000,000 from the multi-purpose canister design program in the Nuclear Waste Disposal Fund. This funding was provided in Public Law 105–62, the FY 1998 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act.

# TITLE VI

# GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 601. The conference agreement includes language proposed by both the House and Senate directing that none of the funds in this Act may be used in any way, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in section 1913 of title 18, United States Code.

SEC. 602. The conference agreement includes language proposed by both the House and Senate regarding the purchase of American-made equipment and products, and prohibiting contracts with persons falsely labeling products as made in America.

Sec. 603. The conference agreement includes language proposed by both the House and Senate providing that no funds may be used to determine the final point of discharge for the interceptor drain for the San Luis Unit of the Central Valley Project until certain conditions are met. The language also provides that the costs of the Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and the San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program shall be classified as reimbursable or non-reimbursable by the Secretary of the Interior as described in

the Bureau of Reclamation report entitled, "Repayment Report, Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program, February 1995" and that any future obligation of funds for drainage service or drainage studies for the San Luis Unit shall be fully reimbursable by San Luis Unit beneficiaries pursuant to Reclamation law.

SEC. 604. The conference agreement includes language proposed by both the House and Senate providing a one-year extension of the authority of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to collect

fees and charges to offset appropriated funds.

SEC. 605. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House to repeal the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule Sioux Tribe and State of South Dakota Terrestrial Wildlife Habitat Restoration Act, as authorized under title VI of division C of Public Law 105–277. This Act was reauthorized in subsequent legislation.

SEC. 606. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House making a technical change to a provision of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 authorizing reimbursement for work by non-Federal interests on certain civil works projects of the Corps of Engineers.

SEC. 607. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House limiting the use of funds to propose or issue rules, regulations, decrees, or orders for the purpose of imple-

menting the Kyoto Protocol.

SEC. 608. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate amending the United States Enrichment Corporation Fund.

SEC. 609. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate changing the name of the Cascade Reservoir in Idaho to "Lake Cascade."

SEC. 610. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate amending the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act by changing an annual cost limitation.

SEC. 611. The conference agreement includes language providing permanent authority for the Corps of Engineers to expend funds for various activities in the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP). The Committees on Appropriations have been providing annual authorization for these activities.

Other.—The Senate bill included section 604 prohibiting the restart of the High Flux Beam Reactor. The conference agreement includes this prohibition in Title III, Department of Energy, General

Provisions.

## CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 2000 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 1999 amount, the 2000 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 2000 follow:

## (In thousands of dollars)—Continued

House bill, fiscal year 2000	20,640,395
Senate bill, fiscal vear 2000	21,717,325
Conference agreement, fiscal year 2000	21,729,969
Conference agreement compared with:	, ,
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1999	-428,356
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year	,
2000	-291,057
House bill, fiscal year 2000	+1,089.574
Senate bill, fiscal year 2000	+12,644
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,

RON PACKARD,
HAROLD ROGERS,
JOE KNOLLENBERG,
RODNEY P. FRELINGHUYSEN,
SONNY CALLAHAN,
TOM LATHAM,
ROY BLUNT,
BILL YOUNG,
PETER VISCLOSKY,
CHET EDWARDS,
ED PASTOR,
MIKE FORBES,
DAVE OBEY,

Managers on the Part of the House.

PETE DOMENICI,
THAD COCHRAN,
SLADE GORTON,
MITCH MCCONNELL,
ROBERT F. BENNETT,
CONRAD BURNS,
LARRY E. CRAIG,
TED STEVENS,
HARRY REID,
ROBERT C. BYRD,
ERNEST F. HOLLINGS,
PATTY MURRAY,
HERB KOHL,
BYRON L. DORGAN,
DANIEL INOUYE,

Managers on the Part of the Senate.

 $\cap$